Anammox, denitrification and fixed-nitrogen removal in sediments from the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary
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Abstract. Incubations of intact sediment cores and sediment slurries reveal that anammox is an important sink for fixed nitrogen in sediments from the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary (LSLE), where it occurs at a rate of 5.5 ± 1.7 µmol N m⁻² h⁻¹. Canonical denitrification occurs at a rate of 11.3 ± 1.1 µmol N m⁻² h⁻¹, and anammox is thus responsible for up to 33 % of the total N₂ production. Both anammox and denitrification are mostly (>95 %) fueled by nitrate and nitrite produced in situ through benthic nitrification. Nitrification accounts for >15 % of the benthic oxygen demand and may, therefore, contribute significantly to the development and maintenance of hypoxic conditions in the LSLE. The rate of dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium is three orders of magnitude lower than denitrification and anammox, and it is insignificant to N-cycling. NH₄⁺ oxidation by sedimentary Fe(III) and Mn(III/IV) in slurry incubations with N isotope labels did not occur at measurable rates; moreover, we found no evidence for NH₄⁺ oxidation by added Mn(III)-pyrophosphate.

In estuarine systems, N often limits primary production (Capone et al., 2008), and coastal eutrophication, resulting from nitrogen loading to rivers and estuaries, is a growing global concern (Cloern, 2001; Capone et al., 2008; Breitburg et al., 2009). In a stratified body of water, eutrophication is most often reflected by increased microbial oxygen demand and decreased oxygen availability to both benthic and pelagic organisms (Cloern, 2001; Breitburg et al., 2009). Eutrophication has been implicated in the progressive development of hypoxic bottom waters in the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary (LSLE) over the last century (Thibodeau et al., 2006; Gilbert et al., 2005; Gilbert et al., 2007).

The ability of a system to buffer anthropogenic N loading and resist the ensuing eutrophication rests largely on its capacity to remove fixed forms of N through the production and loss of N₂ gas (Capone et al., 2008). Two biogeochemical reactions, denitrification and anammox (see Fig. 1 for a schematic representation of the sedimentary N-cycle) account for nearly all N₂ production and fixed-N loss from marine and freshwater ecosystems (Canfield et al., 2005; Capone et al., 2008). The microorganisms responsible for these reactions are highly sensitive to oxygen, and marine N₂ production is therefore largely confined to anoxic environments, including coastal sediments and oxygen minimum zones (Capone et al., 2008; Canfield et al., 2005). Bottom waters over much of the LSLE are hypoxic (Gilbert et al., 2005) with O₂ concentrations as low as 50 µmol L⁻¹, but water column denitrification is only known to occur at O₂ concentrations < 4 µmol L⁻¹ (Codispoti et al., 2001), and the enzymes responsible for complete denitrification exhibit varying degrees of sensitivity to O₂ (Zumft, 1997).

1 Introduction

The Laurentian Great Lakes-St. Lawrence drainage basin covers about 1.32 × 10⁶ km² and is home to approximately 35 million North Americans. The St. Lawrence River-Estuary provides the second largest freshwater discharge (11 900 m³ s⁻¹) to the ocean in North America and is subject to extensive anthropogenic N loading from urban, industrial and agricultural sources (Gilbert et al., 2007).
Anammox bacteria are believed to be more O$_2$ tolerant, but they still appear to require O$_2$ concentrations below 10 µmol l$^{-1}$ (Kuyper et al., 2005; Jensen et al., 2008). Thus, most fixed-N loss in the LSLE likely occurs in the underlying sediment.

Rates of sediment N$_2$ production in the LSLE have been: (1) measured directly using the original isotope-pairing technique (IPT) (Wang et al., 2003); (2) estimated from NO$_3^-$ and N$_2$ fluxes (Thibodeau et al., 2010; Katsev et al., 2007) and water column nitrogen deficits (Thibodeau et al., 2010); and (3) derived from diagenetic modeling (Katsev et al., 2007). Although there is variability in the reported rates, the diverse methods used yield a generally coherent picture of fixed-N removal in the LSLE: relatively high rates of N$_2$ production in the sediment with in situ benthic nitrification contributing significantly to the NO$_3^-$ supply. The most recent study suggests that fixed-N removal through sedimentary N$_2$ production is nearly sufficient to balance nitrate inputs from the St. Lawrence River and that little nitrate exits to the Gulf of St. Lawrence (Thibodeau et al., 2010). Despite our relatively comprehensive understanding of the LSLE N-budget, the different fixed-N removal pathways have yet to be determined (Thibodeau et al., 2010) and the importance of anammox is unknown. Accurate partitioning of N-removal pathways is now possible with a recent refinement of the original isotope-pairing technique (IPT) to determine anammox rates in sediments (Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2003; Trimmer and Nicholls, 2009; Trimmer et al., 2006). Our ability to predict productivity, eutrophication, and hypoxia and their relationships in the LSLE depends on our knowledge of the specific biogeochemical processes involved.

The ubiquity of anammox in continental shelf sediments and the deep sea is becoming clear, but the factors regulating its relative importance to total N$_2$ production remain poorly known (Trimmer and Nicholls, 2009; Thamdrup and Dalsgaard, 2008; Francis et al., 2007). In shelf and deep-sea sediments, the importance of anammox to total N$_2$ production is positively correlated with water depth (Thamdrup and Dalsgaard, 2002; Trimmer and Nicholls, 2009). This correlation was explained by the progressive decrease with depth in the delivery of reactive organic matter to the sediments (Thamdrup and Dalsgaard, 2002; Dalsgaard et al., 2003); heterotrophic denitrification would be limited by the availability of these organic substrates, and the chemolithotrophic anammox process should be comparably insensitive. Anammox activity is also modulated by temperature (Dalsgaard and Thamdrup, 2002; Rysgaard et al., 2004) and the supply of nitrite either produced in situ via nitrification or diffusing from overlying water (Meyer et al., 2005; Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2005; Trimmer et al., 2005).

Anammox has also been detected in a number of estuaries (Trimmer et al., 2003, 2005; Meyer et al., 2005; Rich et al., 2008). The most comprehensive study to date (Nicholls and Trimmer, 2009) reports that anammox is important to N$_2$ production in numerous estuaries of the UK with a maximum contribution of 11% in the Medway. In the UK estuaries, the contribution of sedimentary anammox to N$_2$ production is positively correlated with nitrate concentrations in the overlying waters and sediment organic content (Nicholls and Trimmer, 2009). Given the ubiquity of the anammox reaction in marine sediments and its importance to N$_2$ production in UK estuaries, it is also likely important to N$_2$ production in the LSLE. However, most of the historical information on anammox activity is based on slurry incubations, which translate poorly to in situ rates, and the heterogeneity of estuarine ecosystems precludes reliable extrapolation of data from UK estuaries to estuaries in general (Capone et al., 2008).

An alternative pathway for N$_2$ production, through the direct oxidation of NH$_4^+$ by (hydro)oxides of Fe and Mn in sediment of the LSLE, has also been proposed (Luther et al., 1997; Anschutz et al., 2000). Although thermodynamically favorable (Luther et al., 1997), conclusive evidence for the operation of this pathway in the environment remains elusive. Early tests of this pathway found no evidence for Mn-dependent NH$_4^+$ oxidation in Mn-rich Skagerrak sediments but instead yielded early evidence for anammox in natural environments (Thamdrup and Dalsgaard, 2000). More recently, Fe-dependent NH$_4^+$ oxidation has been reported in wetland soils (Clement et al., 2005; Shrestha et al., 2009) and wastewaters (Park et al., 2009), but the veracity of these reports remains untested and their significance is unknown. Porewater profiles in deep Indian Ocean sediments have recently provided indirect evidence for the oxidation of NH$_4^+$ by sulfate, despite the marginal thermodynamic yield of this reaction (Schrum et al., 2009). The discovery of soluble
Mn(III) species in the anoxic waters of the Black Sea and Chesapeake Bay (Trouwborst et al., 2006) and in the porewaters of the LSLE (Madison et al., 2011) raises the possibility that an additional oxidant, with the thermodynamic potential to oxidize NH$_4^+$ to N$_2$, NO$_3^-$ or NO$_2^-$ in the absence of O$_2$, may play a role in the N-cycle. Overall, the available evidence for alternative pathways of fixed-N conversion to N$_2$ is inconclusive and warrants further investigation. In this work, we report quantitative rate measurements of anammox and denitrification, we partition the fixed-N removal reactions, and test for alternative pathways to N$_2$ in sediments from the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary.

2 Methods

2.1 Site description

The 300 km long, 50 km wide, and 0.3 km deep Lower St. Lawrence Estuary (LSLE) occupies the landward portion of the Laurentian Trough, a glacial bathymetric feature that extends 1200 km landward from the edge of the continental shelf (Fig. 2). Due to its great depth, the water column in the LSLE is permanently stratified with net seaward flow in the surface layer and net landward flow in the bottom layer (Saucier et al., 2003). Sediments in the channel are composed of fine-grained particulates (pelites) with, on average, 60% clay, 35% silt and 5% sand (Nota and Loring, 1964). The sediments are dark yellowish-brown in the first 1–3 cm below the sediment-water interface, reflecting the presence of detrital and authigenic ferric iron [Fe(III)] and manganic [Mn(IV)] minerals (Loring and Nota, 1968; Lyle, 1983; Konig et al., 1997). Below this oxidized layer, the sediments are dark greenish-grey (Loring and Nota, 1968).

2.2 Sampling

All samples were collected during a cruise in the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary (LSLE) on the R/V Coriolis II in July of 2009. Surface and bottom water samples were collected using a 12×12-l Niskin bottle/CTD rosette (SeaBird SBE 911). The core used for the incubations was recovered at Station 23 (48°42.032′N, 68°39.171′W; 345 m depth). Overlying water O$_2$, NO$_3^-$ and soluble reactive phosphate (SRP) concentrations were 63 µmol l$^{-1}$, 34 µmol l$^{-1}$ and 2.7 µmol l$^{-1}$, respectively. Sediments were dark yellowish-brown in the first 1–3 cm below the sediment-water interface, reflecting the presence of detrital and authigenic ferric iron [Fe(III)] and manganic [Mn(IV)] minerals (Loring and Nota, 1968; Lyle, 1983; Konig et al., 1997). Below this oxidized layer, the sediments are dark greenish-grey (Loring and Nota, 1968).

2.3 Slurry incubations

Sediment slurries were prepared by mixing sediment from the top 2 cm of the box core with an equivalent volume of bottom water that was previously purged with ultra-high-purity He gas to remove O$_2$ and N$_2$. The sediment slurry was subsequently purged with He for an additional 12 h to remove residual N$_2$ gas and allow NO$_3^-$ present in the bottom water and sediment porewaters to be consumed. Following this 12-h period, the sediment slurry was transferred, with no headspace, into ninety 12-ml gas tight vials (Exetainers, LabCo). Isotopic labels, substrates, and specific inhibitors were added as shown in Table 1. The predicted $^{15}$N-labeled N$_2$ products of the individual experiments for a given process are presented in Table 2. The sediment slurries were incubated at 4°C, close to the in situ bottom water temperature of 4.7°C, mixed periodically by inversion, and sacrificed over an interval of 36 h. Upon sacrificing, 1 ml of slurry was removed from the Exetainer using a needle and syringe and replaced with He gas and 200 µl of a 37% formaldehyde solution to stop microbial activity. The withdrawn sediment slurry (1 ml) was filtered directly through a 0.2 µm pore size syringe filter and the filtrate was frozen for later analysis. The formaldehyde-fixed sediment slurry was stored upside down in the Exetainers until isotopic analysis.

2.4 Intact core incubations

Our intact core incubations followed the refined IPT protocol described by Trimmer and Nicholls (2009), in which the isotopic composition of NO$_3^-$ within the zone of denitrification...
is determined from the isotopic composition of N₂O, which is produced as an intermediate during denitrification, but not during anammox. Unlike previous versions of the IPT protocol, which relied either on slurry incubations or a concentration series of intact core incubations to estimate the isotopic composition of NO₃⁻ in the NO₃⁻ reduction zone, the refinement permits both denitrification and anammox rates to be calculated using a single set of intact sediment cores without slurry. Following sub-coring and replacement of the overlying water, magnetic stirring devices were inserted into the tubes and suspended 3 cm above the sediment-water interface. Each sub-core was allowed to stand and re-equilibrate at 4°C for approximately 12 h to near in situ temperatures while the overlying water was stirred. After the equilibration period, 1.5 ml of a 100 mmol l⁻¹ solution of ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ was added to the overlying water. Following an additional 6 h, the overlying water was sampled for the determination of N species, and the sub-cores were sealed with no headspace using thick butyl rubber stoppers. The 6 sub-cores were periodically sacrificed over the next 34 h, upon which stirring was halted and the stoppers carefully removed. The overlying water was sampled for NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ oxidation to N₂, Z=Mn and Fe dependent NH₄⁺ oxidation to NOₓ.

is determined from the isotopic composition of N₂O, which is produced as an intermediate during denitrification, but not during anammox. Unlike previous versions of the IPT protocol, which relied either on slurry incubations or a concentration series of intact core incubations to estimate the isotopic composition of NO₃⁻ in the NO₃⁻ reduction zone, the refinement permits both denitrification and anammox rates to be calculated using a single set of intact sediment cores without slurry. Following sub-coring and replacement of the overlying water, magnetic stirring devices were inserted into the tubes and suspended 3 cm above the sediment-water interface. Each sub-core was allowed to stand and re-equilibrate at 4°C for approximately 12 h to near in situ temperatures while the overlying water was stirred. After the equilibration period, 1.5 ml of a 100 mmol l⁻¹ solution of ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ was added to the overlying water. Following an additional 6 h, the overlying water was sampled for the determination of N species, and the sub-cores were sealed with no headspace using thick butyl rubber stoppers. The 6 sub-cores were periodically sacrificed over the next 34 h, upon which stirring was halted and the stoppers carefully removed. The overlying water was sampled for NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ oxidation to N₂, Z=Mn and Fe dependent NH₄⁺ oxidation to NOₓ.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ (µmol cm⁻³)</th>
<th>¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ (µmol cm⁻³)</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>²⁹N₂ (x 10⁻⁴ µmol cm⁻³ h⁻¹)</th>
<th>³⁰N₂ (µmol cm⁻³ h⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 ± 1</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.165 µmol cm⁻³ ATU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.635 µmol cm⁻³ Mn-PP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.165 µmol cm⁻³ ATU</td>
<td></td>
<td>9 ± 1</td>
<td>5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PP stands for pyrophosphate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>²⁹N₂</td>
<td>³⁰N₂</td>
<td>²⁹N₂</td>
<td>³⁰N₂</td>
<td>²⁹N₂</td>
<td>³⁰N₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W, X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W, Y</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W, Z</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X, Z</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y, Z</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W, X, Y, Z</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Slurry incubation conditions (label additions) and labeled N₂ production rates: series A received 10 µl of a 100 mmol l⁻¹ solution of ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺; series B received 10 µl of a 100 mmol l⁻¹ solution of ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻; series C received 10 µl of a 100 mmol l⁻¹ solution of ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ and 10 µl of a 100 mmol l⁻¹ solution of ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺; series D received 10 µl of a 100 mmol l⁻¹ solution of ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ and 200 µl of a 10 mmol l⁻¹ solution of allylthiourea (ATU), a specific inhibitor of nitrification; series E received 10 µl of a 100 mmol l⁻¹ solution of ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ and 200 µl of a freshly prepared 40 mmol l⁻¹ solution of Mn(III)-pyrophosphate; and series F received 10 µl of a 100 mmol l⁻¹ solution of ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺, 10 µl of a 100 mmol l⁻¹ solution of ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ and 200 µl of a 10 mmol l⁻¹ solution of ATU.

Table 2. Predicted outcomes for slurry incubations (assuming no DNRA). The bold X’s mark the observed labeling. The emphasized row highlights the combination of processes (denitrification and anammox) operative in the LSLE sediments (data in Fig. 4). W=Denitrification, X=Anammox, Y=Mn and Fe dependent NH₄⁺ oxidation to N₂, Z=Mn and Fe dependent NH₄⁺ oxidation to NOₓ.
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et al., 1994). Triplicate samples were collected in 7-ml glass tubes, fixed with 25 µl 0.1 mol l⁻¹ HgCl₂ and sealed with a ground-glass stopper. These tubes were submerged in water and kept cold until analysis. An additional portion of the slurry was transferred to a plastic centrifuge tube and frozen for later analysis of ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺. Rate calculations based on these measurements are described in Appendix A.

2.5 Analyses

Porewater O₂ was measured with a Unisense PA2000 picocameter and a Unisense “Clark” type microelectrode fitted with a stainless steel needle tip to prevent breakage. This electrode was calibrated with two points: Lower St. Lawrence Estuary bottom water saturated in O₂ by vigorous stirring in ambient atmosphere, and an anoxic, alkaline ascorbate solution. The detection limit for O₂ was 0.2 µmol l⁻¹, calculated from the standard deviation of five background measurements taken in an anoxic, alkaline ascorbate solution. Samples for the measurement of N species concentrations were transported on dry ice back to the NordCEE lab in Denmark and stored frozen until analysis. NH₄⁺ concentrations were measured using a gas-exchange, flow-injection method (Hall and Aller, 1992) with a detection limit of 0.1 µmol l⁻¹ and a reproducibility of 5% RSD. Combined NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ concentrations (NOₓ) were determined by chemiluminescence (Braram and Hendrix, 1989). (NOₓ analyser model 42c, Thermo Environmental Instruments Inc.) with a detection limit of < 10 nmol l⁻¹ and a reproducibility of better than 5% RSD. The slurry samples designated for isotopic analyses were maintained in their Exetainers at room temperature, and upside down when possible. The isotopic composition of N₂ was determined by injecting 25–50 µl of headspace gas into an in-house built injection system. Following injection, CO₂ was trapped using Ascarite (III), N₂ and N₂O separated using a Poropak R GC column, and the sample stream passed through a reduction reactor to reduce N₂O to N₂ and O₂ to H₂O. H₂O was trapped on Mg perchlorate, and the sample stream was introduced using a Conflo III to a Thermo Electron DELTA V plus IR-MS operated in continuous-flow mode. N₂ was measured at masses 28, 29, and 30. Similarly, the N isotopic composition of N₂O was measured by injecting 200–1000 µl of headspace gas, but the reduction reactor was bypassed and isotopic measurements were made on masses 44, 45, and 46. Changes in N₂ concentrations and N₂/Ar ratios were measured directly using MIMS (Kana et al., 1994). Measurements of ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ were conducted by converting NH₄⁺ to N₂ following oxidation by hypobromite, as described by Rysgaard and Risgaard-Petersen, (1997). In the case of the slurry incubations, NH₄⁺ was extracted in a 2 mol l⁻¹ KCl solution prior to hypobromite oxidation and isotopic analysis. The reactive Mn and Fe (hydr)oxide content of the sediment used for our slurry incubations (the upper 2 cm of the sediment core) was determined using 1 M hydroxylamine-HCl and citrate-dithionite sequential, selective extractions (Poulton and Canfield, 2005).

3 Results

3.1 Porewater profiles

Porewater profiles of O₂, NOₓ and NH₄⁺ are shown in Fig. 3. After re-establishing thermal equilibrium over several hours open to the ambient atmosphere, O₂ concentrations in the water overlying the sediment-water interface were between 40 and 60 µmol l⁻¹. These values are similar to those measured in the bottom waters using both the oxygen sensor (Seabird SBE-42) on the CTD and Winkler titration (Grasshoff et al., 1999; Gilbert et al., 2005). Dissolved oxygen concentrations decreased logarithmically and became undetectable (< 0.2 µmol l⁻¹) 6 to 9 mm below the sediment-water interface (SWI). These values are consistent with O₂ profiles measured previously (Anschutz et al., 2000; Luther et al., 1998; Katsev et al., 2007). The NOₓ concentration was 23 µmol l⁻¹ in the bottom waters and decreased from 3.5 µmol l⁻¹ in the 0–0.5 cm sediment sampling interval to 0.8 µmol l⁻¹ in the 0.5–1.0 cm depth interval. Traces of dissolved NOₓ (< 1 µmol l⁻¹) were detected throughout the core and a small peak in NOₓ was observed between 6 and 8 cm below the SWI. Ammonium was undetectable (< 0.5 µmol l⁻¹) in the bottom waters, increased below the sediment-water interface, and reached a maximum of 115 µmol l⁻¹ approximately 17 cm below the SWI. The NOₓ and NH₄⁺ profiles are consistent with previous measurements (Katsev et al., 2007; Anschutz et al., 2000).

3.2 Slurry incubations

The production of ¹⁵N-labeled N₂ in slurry incubations is shown in Fig. 4. ²⁹N₂ is produced from the coupling of a single unlabeled ¹⁴N atom with a labeled ¹⁵N atom (Fig. 4a), whereas ³⁰N₂ is produced from the coupling of two labeled ¹⁵N atoms (Fig. 4b). Using combinations of different labeled N species, it is possible to identify the source of N used to produce N₂. Volume specific rates were calculated by least squares regressions through the linear periods of N₂ production. These rates are presented in Table 1, and a summary of the expected and observed incorporation of ¹⁵N-labeled N into N₂ is presented in Table 2. In treatments A, D, and E, in which the only labeled nitrogen was in the form of ammonium, there was no production of labeled N₂. In treatments B, C and F, which all contained labeled nitrate, there was abundant production of labeled N₂. Thus, in these experiments, the production of isotopically labeled N₂ requires the addition of labeled nitrate. Measurements of dissimilatory nitrate reduction (DNRA) in treatment B yielded volume specific rates of 1.7 ± 0.2 × 10⁻⁶ µmol cm⁻³ h⁻¹. Details of each incubation series and their interpretation with respect to sediment N transformations are discussed below.
3.3 Extractions

The 1 M hydroxylamine HCl extraction of wet sediments from the upper 2 cm of the core liberated 1.5 ± 0.1 µmol Mn g⁻¹ and 37 ± 3 µmol Fe g⁻¹, and the citrate-dithionite extraction liberated 0.3 ± 0.03 µmol Mn g⁻¹ of wet sediment and 37 ± 4 µmol Fe g⁻¹ of wet sediment. A porosity of 0.87 (Mucci, unpublished results) and a sediment density of 2.65 g cm⁻³ (Anschutz et al., 2000) yields the volume specific solid phase Mn and Fe (hydr)oxide concentrations presented in Table 3.

3.4 Intact core incubations

In intact core incubations, O₂ and NO₃⁻ enter the sediment from the overlying water. Some of this O₂ is used to drive benthic nitrification, which in turn generates NO₃⁻ that fuels both denitrification and anammox. As a result, anammox and denitrification proceed in the intact cores without further addition of NO₃⁻, which is rapidly consumed in the closed slurries and therefore must be supplemented. The addition of NO₃⁻ to the intact core incubations is solely to provide the isotopic tracer. The other advantage of using intact sediment cores over slurries is the retention of the sediment structure, which can play an important role in biogeochemical processes (Nielsen et al., 2010). Results of the intact core incubations (Table 4) provide direct measurements of N₂ production rates and the identity of the responsible pathways. Both denitrification and anammox contribute to N₂ production in the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary, and in situ nitrification accounts for a large fraction of the NO₃⁻ supplied for both pathways. The calculations used to compute the rates we report in this paper are the same as those used by Trimmer and Nicholls (2009) and are summarized in Appendix A.

4 Discussion

The modern N-cycle and its evolution through time have been recently reviewed (Canfield et al., 2010). A schematic representation of the sedimentary N-cycle is presented in Fig. 1, which also summarizes the rates measured in this study. Of particular importance to the work presented here are the following processes: nitrification, the aerobic transformation of NH₄⁺, via NH₂OH and NO₂⁻, to NO₃⁻; denitrification, the anaerobic transformation of NO₃⁻, via NO₂⁻, NO, and N₂O, to N₂; anammox, the anaerobic transformation of NH₄⁺ and NO₂⁻, via N₂H₄, to N₂; and dissimilatory nitrate reduction, the anaerobic reduction of NO₃⁻, via NO₂⁻, to NH₄⁺.

4.1 Porewater profiles

With nitrate concentrations in the LSLE bottom water on the order of 23 µmol l⁻¹, the NO₃, porewater profiles (Fig. 3) show that the surface sediment is a sink for NO₃⁻ from the overlying water. Undetectable NH₄⁺ in the overlying water and a strong sub-surface NH₄⁺ gradient imply a large upward flux of NH₄⁺ towards the sediment-water interface. In the classical view of the N-cycle, these profiles would be taken to indicate that nitrification in the oxic sediment layer is the likely sink for ammonium and that denitrification, occurring just below the oxygen penetration depth (8–10 mm below the SWI), provides a sink for NO₃⁻. Nitrification and denitrification are often tightly coupled near the oxic-anoxic boundary of the sediment with little loss of fixed nitrogen (NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺) to the overlying water (Thamdrup and Dalsgaard, 2008). With the recent discovery of anammox in natural environments, the classical view needs to be amended because anammox may serve as a sink for both NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ via the reactive intermediate NO₂⁻.

It has been proposed that NH₄⁺ can be anaerobically oxidized to N₂, NO₃⁻ or NO₂⁻ by Mn (hydr)oxides or organic complexes of Fe(III) and Mn (Mn(III/IV), which are ubiquitous and abundant in many soils and sediments (Luther et al., 1997; Hulth et al., 1999; Madison et al., 2011). These reactions are thermodynamically favorable under a variety of environmental conditions and could be globally important contributors to N cycling (Luther et al., 1997). The Mn- and Fe-dependent reactions are conceptually consistent with observed N species distributions in sediments of the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary (Luther et al., 1997; Anschutz et al., 2000), and diagenetic models incorporating these reactions accurately reproduce N-species profiles (Katsev et al., 2007).

4.2 Slurry incubations and N₂ production pathways

Our slurry incubations constrain the N-transformation pathways operating in St. Lawrence Estuary sediments. In treatment A, which received an addition of ¹⁵N-labeled NH₄⁺, there was no production of ²⁰N₂ or ³⁰N₂, and none of the added NH₄⁺ was converted to N₂ (Fig. 4). This demonstrates the absence of direct oxidation of NH₄⁺ to N₂ by the Mn(III, IV) or Fe(III) species present in these sediments. In conjunction with the results of treatment B, it also demonstrates that NH₄⁺ is not oxidized to NO₃⁻ or NO₂⁻ because, if it were, ¹⁵N originating from ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ would register in the N₂ pool following denitrification of the newly produced ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻. Treatment B, which received ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻, produced ample ³⁰N₂ (Fig. 4), confirming active denitrification (discussed further below).
Fig. 3. Porewater profiles (a) O₂, (b) N species.

Fig. 4. Results from slurry incubations. (a) \(^{29}\)N₂ production, (b) \(^{30}\)N₂ production. Series A labeled with \(^{15}\)N-NH₄; series B labeled with \(^{15}\)N-NO₃; series C labeled with \(^{15}\)N-NO₃ and \(^{15}\)N-NH₄; series D labeled with \(^{15}\)N-NH₄ and spiked with allylthiourea (ATU); series E labeled with \(^{15}\)N-NH₄ and spiked with 40 mmol l\(^{-1}\) Mn(III)-pyrophosphate; series F labeled with \(^{15}\)N-NH₄ and \(^{15}\)N-NO₃ and spiked with ATU.

It could be argued that the reactive Mn and Fe pools in the sediment were rapidly consumed during the equilibration period prior to our incubations and thus were not available for the oxidation of NH₄⁺. We can constrain this argument by considering the size of the reactive Mn(IV) and Fe(III) pools, the potential rates of Mn and Fe reduction from organic matter oxidation, and the duration of the experiments. Assuming that most of the labile organic matter is mineralized within the upper 2 cm of the sediment, the volume specific demand for oxidants can be estimated from the published oxygen uptake rates. Taking the value of 0.43 µmol cm\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) for the O₂ uptake (Katsev et al., 2007) and normalizing for the stoichiometry of oxic respiration, we estimate maximum volume specific C mineralization rates of 0.22 µmol C cm\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\). These are maximum rates because of the assumption that anaerobic C mineralization, as would occur in our slurries, would be as rapid as aerobic C mineralization, although other studies indicate that C mineralization rates during Fe(III) and Mn(IV) reduction are slower than during oxic respiration (Magen et al., 2011). Considering the stoichiometry of Mn(IV) and Fe(III) respiration and the reactive Fe and Mn (hydr)oxide concentrations operationally defined by the 1 M hydroxylamine-HCl extractions, we estimate that the reactive Mn(IV) and Fe(III) pools would be exhausted in closed anoxic incubations after 2 and 25 days, respectively. Stated differently, less than 25 % of the total...
pyrophosphate is not an effective oxidant of NH$_3$ during the incubation (Fig. 4), demonstrating that Mn(III)-pyrophosphate is a strong complex which may not be kinetically reactive or biologically available for NH$_4^+$ oxidation during our experiments.

We also tested the hypothesis that organically-complexed Mn(III) species, which have recently been discovered in the Black Sea and Chesapeake Bay (Trouwborst et al., 2006) and quantitatively measured in the LSLE sediment porewaters (Madison et al., 2011), could serve as oxidants for NH$_4^+$ reduction. Treatment E received both $^{15}$N-labeled NH$_4^+$ and Mn(III)-pyrophosphate at a concentration of 635 µmol l$^{-1}$. As with treatment A, neither $^{29}$N$_2$ nor $^{30}$N$_2$ were generated during the incubation (Fig. 4), demonstrating that Mn(III)-pyrophosphate is not an effective oxidant of NH$_4^+$ in the LSLE sediments, even at relatively high Mn(III) concentrations. It could be argued that Mn(III)-pyrophosphate is a strong complex which may not be kinetically reactive or (bio)available for NH$_4^+$ oxidation. Even though the Mn(III)-pyrophosphate complex stability constant is poorly constrained (Klewicki and Morgan, 1998), information on its reactivity can be gleaned from published experimental data. For example, the complex reacts readily with Fe(II) and HS$^-$ and can be used as an electron acceptor in the respiration of simple organic acids by Shewanella putrefaciens MR1 (Kostka et al., 1995). Thus, the available experimental evidence attests that both the kinetic reactivity and the bioavailability of Mn(III)-pyrophosphate make it an appropriate analogue of natural Mn(III) complexes. Our slurry experiments thus provide no evidence for the coupling of Mn(III/IV) or Fe(III) reduction with the oxidation of NH$_4^+$ to N$_2$, NO$_x$, or NO$_2^-$. We conclude that these reactions are unlikely to take place in the LSLE sediments.

In contrast, the slurry incubations reveal that anammox occurs at high rates in LSLE sediments. In the absence of $^{14}$N-NO$_2^-$, which was completely consumed during the 12-h pre-equilibration period, denitrification cannot produce $^{29}$N$_2$ in treatment B, which only received $^{15}$N-labeled NO$_3^-$. In other words, there was no $^{14}$N-NO$_3^-$ available during denitrification to pair with the $^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and form $^{29}$N$_2$. Thus, we attribute the observed $^{29}$N$_2$ formation (Table 1) to the anammox reaction, which in our experiment produced $^{29}$N$_2$ at rates of 6.6±0.7 × 10$^{-4}$ µmol cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$, coupling $^{15}$N-NO$_2^-$, produced from added $^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$, with naturally-occurring $^{14}$N-NH$_4^+$. As the sediment was diluted 1:1 with seawater, we can scale these rates up by a factor of two to estimate in situ, volume specific, anammox rates of 1.32±0.14 x 10$^{-3}$ µmol cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$.

Dissimilatory NO$_3^-$ reduction to NH$_4^+$ could in principle produce $^{15}$N-NH$_4^+$ from the $^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ added, which would translate to $^{30}$N$_2$ production via anammox and a corresponding underestimation of total anammox rates by only considering the $^{29}$N$_2$ pool. Similarly, denitrification based on $^{30}$N$_2$ production would be overestimated. However, our measured rates of dissimilatory NO$_3^-$ reduction to NH$_4^+$ are two orders of magnitude lower than the denitrification and anammox rates. Thus, dissimilatory NO$_3^-$ reduction to NH$_4^+$ has an insignificant effect on our estimates of anammox and denitrification rates. From the data presented in Fig. 4b, we can estimate maximum N$_2$ production rates from denitrification of 3.3±0.6 × 10$^{-4}$ µmol cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ (Table 1), and in situ rates of 6.6±1.2 × 10$^{-4}$ µmol cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$. In our slurry incubations, anammox would therefore account for ≥ 67 % of the total N$_2$ production.

We also tested for NH$_4^+$ limitation of anammox and the possibility that trace leakage of oxygen into the Exetainers might cause nitrification. To test for NH$_4^+$ limitation of anammox, $^{15}$N-NH$_4^+$ and $^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ were added in treatment C. Both $^{29}$N$_2$ and $^{30}$N$_2$ production rates were statistically equivalent to those in treatment B ($^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ only, Fig. 4). This demonstrates that NH$_4^+$ was not limiting for anammox and

Table 4. N reaction rates (all in µmol N m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Thibodeau et al. (2010)</th>
<th>Katsev et al. (2007)</th>
<th>Wang et al. (2003)</th>
<th>This study intact core</th>
<th>This study slurry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3^-$ flux</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>14$^a$</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$ production</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>16.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denitrification</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>11.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anammox</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Anammox</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% in situ</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrification</td>
<td>&gt; 13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonification</td>
<td>&gt; 16$^c$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assimilatory nitrate reduction</td>
<td>&gt; 13$^b$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNRA</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.0003</td>
<td>6 ± 1 × 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Calculated with Fick’s first law using a temperature and salinity corrected diffusion coefficient, and taking into account tortuosity (Boudreau, 1996).

$^b$ Calculated as the difference between denitrification driven by a diffusive NO$_3^-$ flux from the water column and the total diffusive NO$_3^-$ flux.

$^c$ Calculated as the sum of sediment nitrification and 0.5 of the anammox rate. N$_2$ production determined using MIMS: 10.19 ± 1.31 µmol m$^{-2}$ h$^{-2}$.
confirms that little $^{15}$N-NH$_4^+$ is incorporated into the $^{30}$N$_2$ pool during anammox. This validates our measurements of dissimilatory nitrate reduction rates, which are very low, and confirms that $^{30}$N$_2$ production is exclusively due to denitrification. Lack of $^{29}$N$_2$ and $^{30}$N$_2$ production in treatments A, D, and E demonstrates that nitrification rates in our slurry experiments are insignificant. Otherwise, any nitrification would be recorded in the $^{29}$N$_2$ and $^{30}$N$_2$ pools due to subsequent denitrification. The addition to treatment F of allylthiourea (ATU), a specific inhibitor of nitrification that blocks the oxidation of NH$_4^+$ to NO$_2^-$ (Hall, 1984), resulted in a weak, but statistically significant stimulation of both anammox and denitrification (Table 1). The reasons for this stimulation are unclear, but one possible explanation could be that ATU is used as an electron donor or carbon substrate by denitrifying or anammox bacteria.

The results of our slurry incubations demonstrate that Mn(III/IV)- and Fe(III)-dependent ammonium oxidation are not a significant component of the sedimentary N-cycle at Station 23 in the LSLE. Anammox, on the other hand, is an important pathway for N$_2$ production. The estimated volume-specific denitrification and anammox rates are 0.66 and 1.3 x $10^{-3}$ µmol cm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$, respectively. Assuming that these rates are representative of the upper 2 cm of sediment and that all N$_2$ production occurs within this interval, these rates translate to area-specific rates of 13 and 26 µmol m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ for N$_2$ production through denitrification and anammox, respectively (see Appendix for calculation details).

### 4.3 Intact core incubations and in situ rates

Although slurry incubations can constrain potential rates and the qualitative importance of different reaction pathways, many biogeochemical reactions are stimulated in such slurries. Incubations with intact sediment cores provide more realistic estimates of in situ rates. The recent development of a method to measure both denitrification and anammox in intact sediment cores allows us to partition N$_2$ production between these reactions and provides a robust estimate of their in situ rates (Trimmer and Nicholls, 2009). Results of our intact sediment core incubations are consistent with those of our slurry experiments to the effect that both anammox and denitrification are important components of the sedimentary N-cycle in the LSLE (Tables 1 and 4). Nevertheless, the anammox reaction accounts for only 33% of total N$_2$ production in intact cores compared to ≥ 67% in the slurries. Although both denitrification and anammox were stimulated in the slurry incubations relative to the intact core incubations, anammox was stimulated to a much larger extent. In the absence of nitrification, it is likely that the initial step in denitrification, the conversion of NO$_3^-$ to NO$_2^-$, provided $^{15}$NO$_2^-$ to fuel anammox. Given that the initial step of denitrification is energetically the most favorable (Zumft, 1997), complete denitrification may be inhibited under the electron-acceptor-limiting conditions of the slurry incubations, thus augmenting the relative importance of anammox in the slurry relative to the whole core incubations.

Our measurements of N$_2$ production rates in intact cores are in good agreement with previous measurements and model predictions (Table 4) (Katsev et al., 2007; Thibodeau et al., 2010), though the measurements made by MIMS are slightly, but not irreconcilably, lower (see Table 4 footnote). Despite the agreement between our measured rates and those modeled by Katsev et al. (2007), the modeled rates are based on a different set of biogeochemical reactions than those we observe. The model includes Fe(III) and Mn(IV) dependent NH$_4^+$ oxidation and neglects anammox. These differences would not affect the ability of the model to reproduce current rates because it was calibrated using existing measurements. Model-based predictions of future changes would, however, be unreliable if the active sedimentary processes respond differently than model reactions to environmental changes. Similarly, previous reaction rate estimates were based on different techniques with different assumptions (Wang et al., 2003; Katsev et al., 2007). For example, rates based on NO$_3^-$ fluxes across the sediment-water interface are blind to tightly-coupled, in situ, sedimentary nitrification and denitrification and cannot distinguish between the different possible sinks for NO$_3^-$ (Thibodeau et al., 2010). As anammox may contribute as much as a third of the total N$_2$ production in sediments of the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary, it should be considered in any predictions about the future of the N-cycle in the LSLE.

In UK estuaries, the importance of anammox to N$_2$ production correlates positively with the concentration of NO$_3^-$ in the overlying water and with sediment organic carbon content, but apparently not with the reactivity of the latter (Nicholls and Trimmer, 2009). The much greater percentage of N$_2$ production attributed to anammox in the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary cannot be ascribed to differences in organic carbon content as the sedimentary organic carbon content at our study site varies between 1.2 and 1.7 wt. %, similar to that at Medway (2.0 wt. %), which had the highest percent anammox of all the UK estuaries surveyed. Furthermore, the reactivity of organic carbon in the sediments of the LSLE, as characterized by the pseudo-first order oxic respiration reaction rate ($k = 1.8$ yr$^{-1}$; Katsev et al., 2007), is broadly comparable to that of the UK estuaries (0.6 yr$^{-1}$; Nicholls and Trimmer, 2009). Whereas NO$_3^-$ concentrations in water overlying the Medway sediments (7–790 µmol l$^{-1}$) are much higher than those in the LSLE (∼25 µmol l$^{-1}$), the relationship between NO$_3^-$ concentrations and the importance of anammox to N$_2$ production does not appear to apply to cross-system comparisons over large geographical distances. By comparing the isotopic composition of the nitrate in the overlying waters and that of the N$_2$ produced through denitrification and anammox, we can discriminate between N$_2$ generated from NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ diffusing from the overlying water and NO$_3^-$ produced in the sediment via nitrification.
(see Appendix A for calculation details). These calculations suggest that most of the NO$_x$ converted to N$_2$ through denitrification and anammox is supplied through sedimentary nitrification and that diffusion of NO$_x$ from the overlying water accounts for only $\sim 5\%$ (1 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) of the total N$_2$ production. Summing the diffusive NO$_x$ fluxes of 14 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ (Table 4) with the rates of nitrification measured by the IPT (> 13 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) yields a total nitrate supply to the surface sediment layer of $> 27$ $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$. Subtracting NO$_x$ consumption through N$_2$ production (11.3 and 2.75 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ by denitrification and anammox, respectively) from the total NO$_x$ supply yields an unaccounted NO$_x$ sink of $> 13$ $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$. As our measurements indicate that dissimilatory NO$_x$ reduction rates are low, benthic NO$_x$ assimilation may account for the discrepancy and would therefore constitute a major intermediate pathway in the removal of nitrate from the St. Lawrence Estuary. The ultimate fate of this putative, assimilated N is unknown at this time and is difficult to reconcile with current estimates of N burial (Gobeil, 2006). Alternatively, as the porewater profiles and the computed NO$_x$ fluxes were generated from a separate box core, spatial heterogeneity at Station 23 could account for some of the difference between the measured NO$_x$ sinks and the calculated sources. Our finding that DNRA rates in sediments from the LSLE are very low is consistent with the findings apply across the entire estuary, its various sediment lithologies, and the wide range of bottom-water O$_2$ concentrations ($\sim$ 60 to 150 $\mu$mol l$^{-1}$), but this study suggests that anammox plays an important role in the nitrogen budget of the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary and in mitigating continental fluxes of fixed N to the ocean.

Appendix A

Rate calculations

The revised isotope pairing technique (r-IPT) (Trimmer and Nicholls, 2009) was used to estimate total N$_2$ production, $p_{14}$ (as N) as

$$ r - \text{IPT} \cdot 14 \cdot 14 = 2 \cdot 14 \cdot [14 \cdot 29 \cdot N_2 + 14 \cdot 30 \cdot N_2 (1 - 14)] \quad (A1) $$

and anammox ($p_{14}$ anammox) as

$$ p_{14} \cdot \text{anammox} = 2 \cdot 14 \cdot [14 \cdot 29 \cdot N_2 - 14 \cdot 30 \cdot N_2] \cdot 14 \cdot 14 \cdot (A2) $$

Denitrification is calculated by subtracting $p_{14}$ anammox Eq. (A2) from r-IPT $p_{14}$ Eq. (A1). $p_{29} \cdot N_2$ and $p_{30} \cdot N_2$ are the production rates of $29 \cdot N_2$ and $30 \cdot N_2$ after the addition of $15 \cdot N_\cdot NO_3$. The advantage of the latest version of the r-IPT (Trimmer and Nicholls, 2009) lies in the estimation of the $15 \cdot N$ distribution in NO$_3$ within the zone of sedimentary denitrification ($r_{14}$) from measurements of the isotopic composition of N$_2$, which is assumed to be produced only via denitrification. $r_{14}$ is estimated as

$$ r_{14} = p^{15} N_2 / 2 \cdot p^{16} N_2 \cdot (A3) $$

The contribution of $p_{14}$ supported by NO$_3$ diffusing from the overlying water column, $p_{14w}$, versus nitrate produced though sedimentary nitrifications, $p_{14n}$, can be calculated as follows:

$$ p_{14w} = p_{14w} / r_{14} \quad (A4) $$

$$ p_{14n} = p_{14} - p_{14w} \quad (A5) $$
where \( r_{14w} \) is the ratio of \( ^{14}\text{NO}_3^- \) to \( ^{15}\text{NO}_3^- \) in the overlying water, calculated from measurements of \( \text{NO}_3^- \) concentrations before the addition of the \( ^{15}\text{N}-\text{NO}_3^- \) label and the concentration and volume of the \( ^{15}\text{N}-\text{NO}_3^- \) label. The contributions of denitrification and anammox to \( p_{14w} \) and \( p_{14n} \) were assigned by substitution of \( p_{14} \) anammox and \( p_{14} \) denitrification into Eqs. (4) and (5).

Rates of differential nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA) were calculated as follows:

\[
\text{DNRA} = r_{14p}^{15}\text{NH}_4^+ .
\]  

(A6)

where \( p^{15}\text{NH}_4^+ \) is the production rate of labeled \( ^{15}\text{N}-\text{NH}_4^+ \).

To calculate area specific rates from our slurry experiments, we first multiply the measured rates by a factor of 2 in order to correct for the 1:1 dilution of sediment with seawater. We then assume that the slurry rates are representative of the upper 2 cm of sampled sediment and multiply by 2 cm to convert from \( \mu\text{mol cm}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1} \) to \( \mu\text{mol cm}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1} \).
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