Activity and diversity of methane-oxidizing bacteria in glacier forefields on siliceous and calcareous bedrock
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Abstract. The global methane (CH4) cycle is largely driven by methanogenic archaea and methane-oxidizing bacteria (MOB), but little is known about their activity and diversity in pioneer ecosystems. We conducted a field survey in forefields of 13 receding Swiss glaciers on both siliceous and calcareous bedrock to investigate and quantify CH4 turnover based on soil-gas CH4 concentration profiles, and to characterize the MOB community by sequencing and terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) analysis of pmoA. Methane turnover was fundamentally different in the two bedrock categories. Of the 36 CH4 concentration profiles from siliceous locations, 11 showed atmospheric CH4 consumption at concentrations of ~1–2 µL L−1 with soil-atmosphere CH4 fluxes of −0.14 to −1.1 mg m−2 d−1. Another 11 profiles showed no apparent activity, while the remaining 14 exhibited slightly increased CH4 concentrations of ∼2–10 µL L−1, most likely due to microsite methanogenesis. In contrast, all profiles from calcareous sites suggested a substantial, yet unknown CH4 source below our sampling zone, with soil-gas CH4 concentrations reaching up to 1400 µL L−1. Remarkably, most soils oxidized ~90% of the deep-soil CH4, resulting in soil-atmosphere fluxes of 0.12 to 31 mg m−2 d−1. MOB showed limited diversity in both siliceous and calcareous forefields: all identified pmoA sequences formed only 5 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at the species level and, with one exception, could be assigned to either Methylomonas or the as-yet-uncultivated Upland Soil Cluster γ (USCγ). The latter dominated T-RFLP patterns of all siliceous and most calcareous samples, while Methylomonas dominated in 4 calcareous samples. Members of Upland Soil Cluster α (USCα) were not detected. Apparently, USCγ adapted best to the oligotrophic cold climate conditions at the investigated pioneer sites.

1 Introduction

Methane is a major contributor to recent changes in the global climate system (Forster et al., 2007). Since preindustrial times, its concentration in the atmosphere has more than doubled and, after appearing to approach a steady state in the early 2000s, has continued to rise again to a global average of 1.80 µL L−1 (Dlugokencky et al., 2009). Whether influenced by anthropogenic activities or not, the terrestrial CH4 cycle is largely driven by microorganisms (Conrad, 2009). Methane is produced by strictly anaerobic methanogens that convert products from anaerobic breakdown of organic matter to CH4 (Conrad, 1996). However, only a fraction of produced CH4 actually reaches the atmosphere, while a large part is transformed to CO2 by methanoxidizing bacteria (MOB) in the transition zone between the anaerobic habitat and the atmosphere (De Visscher et al., 2007; Conrad, 2009). The aerobic MOB diversity occurring in such transition zones is well represented by cultured bacteria, which are Gram-negative and belong to either the Gammaproteobacteria (Type I), Alphaproteobacteria (Type II) or, as recently discovered, to the phylum Verrucomicrobia (Hanson and Hanson, 1996; Dunfield et al., 2007). Anaerobic CH4 oxidation might also be widespread; so far it has been reported for a sulfate-reducing consortium of archaea (e.g. Boetius et al., 2000; Orphan et al., 2002) and for “Candidatus Methyloirabilis oxyfera”, an anaerobic nitrite reducer of the phylum NC10 that produces its own oxygen (Ettrig et al., 2010). However, in this paper we focus on aerobic MOB in unsaturated, well-aerated (upland) soils.

Upland soils have been observed to consume atmospheric CH4 in all climate zones, including cold environments like tundra, boreal forests and alpine meadows (e.g. Whalen and Reeburgh, 1990; Adamsen and King, 1993; Smith et al.,
In upland soils, CH$_4$ uptake seemed to follow Michaelis-Menten kinetics with low $K_m$-values (Bender and Conrad, 1992). It is hypothesized that specialized “high-affinity” MOB living on trace levels of CH$_4$ and, to a lesser extent, alphaproteobacterial *Methylocystis* spp. are responsible for this sole terrestrial sink of atmospheric CH$_4$ (Dunfield, 2007). Cultivation attempts for specialized high-affinity MOB have been unsuccessful to date (Kolb, 2009). Evidence of their identity was gained through molecular analyses based on the functional gene *pmoA* that encodes for a subunit of the particulate methane monoxygenase (pMMO). This enzyme catalyzes the first step of CH$_4$ oxidation, i.e. the conversion of CH$_4$ to methanol, and is present in almost all known MOB (Hanson and Hanson, 1996; McDonald et al., 2008). Mainly two novel groups of *pmoA* sequences have been identified in soils consuming atmospheric CH$_4$. The first group detected, Upland Soil Cluster α (USCα), represents Type-II-related MOB, with *Methylcoccus acidiphila* as their closest cultivated MOB (Holmes et al., 1999; Henckel et al., 2000; Knief et al., 2003; Kolb et al., 2005). They were predominantly found in acidic forest soils. The second group, USC γ, is comprised of *pmoA* sequences most closely related to Type I *Methylococcaceae* and was found in soils with neutral to basic pH values (Knief et al., 2003; Zheng et al., 2011). In addition, *pmoA* from *Methylocystis* was frequently identified in soils oxidizing atmospheric CH$_4$ (e.g. Knief et al., 2003). Some isolated strains like *Methylocystis* sp. strain SC2 show the capability of growth at CH$_4$ concentrations of 10–100 µL L$^{-1}$ (Knief and Dunfield, 2005; Baani and Liesack, 2008). The ability of strain SC2 to grow at such low CH$_4$ concentrations is related to the presence of a high-affinity form of pMMO (encoded by *pmoCAB*). The high-affinity pMMO2 even oxidized methane at atmospheric CH$_4$ concentrations, contrary to the conventional pMMO1 (encoded by *pmoCAB1*) that oxidized CH$_4$ only at concentrations above 600–700 µL L$^{-1}$ (Baani and Liesack, 2008). It is hypothesized that *Methylocystis* exhibits a “flush-feeding” strategy: it grows and acquires storage compounds during phases of elevated CH$_4$ concentrations while maintaining cell function with consumption of atmospheric CH$_4$ (Dunfield, 2007; Kolb, 2009).

Molecular evidence for the presence of *pmoA* provides no information on the magnitude of MOB activity. It is important to accompany molecular ecology techniques by in-situ measurements of CH$_4$ turnover to assess if MOB are part of the active bacterial community and to provide hands-on information for ecosystem modeling. Most studies investigating CH$_4$ turnover in situ use the closed-chamber approach and measure CH$_4$ fluxes across the soil-atmosphere boundary (e.g. Smith et al., 2000). These net fluxes represent a bulk measurement of three underlying processes: physical transport (dominantly diffusion), CH$_4$ oxidation by MOB and CH$_4$ production by methanogens. While the conventional chamber method cannot distinguish between the three processes, the soil-CH$_4$ profile method, i.e. extraction of soil gas at different depths and subsequent analysis of CH$_4$ concentrations, provides qualitative information about CH$_4$ production and consumption zones (Yavitt et al., 1990). Under certain conditions it can also be used to quantify the magnitude of CH$_4$ oxidation and production (Born et al., 1990; Fechner and Hemond, 1992). Soil physical transport parameters, i.e. the effective diffusion coefficient of CH$_4$ in soil $D_{eff}$ (cm$^2$ min$^{-1}$), can be estimated through concomitant measurement of total soil porosity $\theta_i$ and volumetric water content $\theta_w$ using empirical relationships (e.g. Kristensen et al., 2010).

Terrestrial CH$_4$ turnover has been well investigated in developed soils, but surprisingly little is known about magnitude and dynamics of the underlying processes in pioneer ecosystems. In alpine environments, the rapid retreat of many glaciers creates excellent study sites for microbial colonization, diversity and functional strategies (Sigler and Zeyer, 2002; Nemergut et al., 2007; Lazzaro et al., 2009; Brandtschak et al., 2010; Lazzaro et al., 2011). After glacier meltdown, the creation of a well-aerated vadose zone in recently exposed glacier sediments (termed “glacier forefield”) might imply an advantage for high-affinity MOB, as they are able to cover their carbon and energy needs from the atmosphere in an otherwise C-limited environment. Additionally, the presence of anoxic conditions in young glacier forefields seems to be widespread (Wadham et al., 2007), and there is evidence of locally confined buried organic carbon, e.g. sub-fossil wood and peat disks (Hormes et al., 2001; Joerin et al., 2006). Such circumstances might enhance microsite methanogenesis and opportunistic flush-feeding MOB. Limitations of N in glacier forefields might be overcome by the ability of many MOB to fix N$_2$ (Auman et al., 2001; Dedys et al., 2004). Accordingly, a recent study investigating *nifH*-gene diversity of N$_2$-fixing bacteria in a Swiss glacier forefield affiliated 16% of total diversity to MOB (Duc et al., 2009).

We therefore hypothesized that glacier forefield soils may exhibit low but measurable consumption of CH$_4$ through the activity of high-affinity and flush-feeding MOB. To investigate the extent and magnitude of CH$_4$ oxidation in Swiss Alpine glacier forefields, we conducted a field survey in 13 forefields with different site characteristics. Special attention was given to adequately represent the two main types of subglacial bedrock in the Swiss Alps: siliceous and calcareous. Due to the contrasting weathering mechanisms and soil properties (especially pH) of the two bedrock types (Lazzaro et al., 2009), we also hypothesized differences between the respective MOB communities, in particular occurrence of USCα in acidic and USCγ in neutral and basic soils. Specific objectives of the survey were i) to investigate recently exposed soils in different glacier forefields for CH$_4$ turnover and quantify CH$_4$ fluxes using the soil-CH$_4$ profile method; (ii) to screen these soils for the presence of *pmoA* and investigate the diversity of indigenous MOB with molecular-ecology techniques; and (iii) to characterize
sampled forefields in terms of soil physical and chemical properties, and relate potential activity and diversity patterns to relevant parameters.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Field sites

All sampling took place in summer and autumn 2010 during dry weather conditions. Study sites comprised forefields of 13 receding glaciers in the Swiss Alps (Table 1). Our focus for site selection lay on glaciers that had a forefield with moderate to low steepness featuring fractionated gravel, sand and silt patches, rather than just pure bedrock. The main criteria for choosing sampling locations in each forefield were the possibility of extracting soil gas from depths >30 cm, relatively close proximity to the glacier terminus (~100 to 200 m) and the absence of plant cover. As a consequence mainly of the first criterion, sampled locations throughout all forefields lay in similarly structured patches with minimal skeletal or rock fraction (compared to the rest of the forefield). Hence, although sampled locations are representative only for parts of the respective forefield, they are comparable across sites. Locations are referred to by site abbreviation followed by sampling sequence number (Table 1). Sampled soils may be classified as lithic/skeletal leptosols (IUSS Working Group, 2006), exhibiting a low level of aggregation. The respective soil age (i.e. the duration since exposure to the atmosphere; Table 1) was determined from historical cartographical material (Swiss Federal Office of Topography, Wabern, Switzerland).

2.2 Soil and soil-gas sampling

Soil gas was extracted through a custom-made 2-cm o.d. stainless steel rod of 80 cm total length, featuring a 1-mm i.d. capillary. The tip of the rod comprised four conical holes of 8 mm o.d., reduced to 1 mm i.d. at 5 mm depth, which were connected to the inner capillary. The rod was gradually hammered into the soil in steps of 5 cm (10 cm after 50 cm depth), until we reached the maximum sampling depth of 70 cm, or hit a boulder. At each step, we extracted 20 mL soil gas with a plastic syringe (after discarding the first 10 mL), then injected it into a gas-tight sampling vial previously N2-flushed and evacuated. Pressure was measured before and after injection to account for dilution. Due to time constraints and the destructive nature of the sampling procedure, no replicates of soil-gas samples could be taken. Methane was measured on a GC-FID system described in Urmann et al. (2007) in samples of the two profiles that were available for laboratory analyses. Due to low soil aggregation, we used spoons, previously washed in commercial bleach and autoclaved, to sample from 4–5 spots in close vicinity to where the soil-gas profile was taken. For each location, the soil was then pooled into one mixed sample and stored on ice until arrival in the lab. For molecular analyses, soil was transferred into a falcon tube and freeze-dried. Fresh soil extracts of 10 g soil in 25 mL 1M KCl solution and 1 g soil in 10 mL distilled water were prepared and put on an overhead shaker for 1 h and overnight, respectively. The extracts were filtered with 0.45 µm nylon filters and frozen until further analysis. The rest of the sample was dried for 72 h at 60°C and stored at room temperature.

2.3 Soil physical and chemical properties

After soil and soil-gas sampling had been completed, temperature and θw from 0–10 cm depth were measured in the field with a handheld TDR probe (Hydrosense™ System, Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA). For determination of soil bulk density, we used an adapted PU-foam method suitable for soils with a high skeletal fraction (Muller and Hamilton, 1992). In a quadratic frame of 12-cm edge length, we excavated approximately 1 L of soil to a depth of 10–15 cm. The excavation was then filled with one-component closed-cell PU foam (SOUDAL Mega Schaum, SOUDAL N.V., Turnhout, Belgium) and left to cure for at least 2 h. The volume of the excavation cast was later determined by water displacement. Soil sampled in this way was weighted in the field, then dried in the lab for 72 h at 60°C for an additional measure of θw and particle density, to estimate ρ and water saturation Sw. We compared the method against a core-sampling procedure in a homogenous sandy soil, where it gave consistent results (standard deviation sd = ±2.5%). From the same samples we determined grain-size distribution using a laser-diffraction particle size analyzer (LS 13320, Beckman Coulter Inc., Brea, CA, USA). TDR measurements were erratic and consistently lower than estimated from PU-foam samples, due to the high skeleton fraction of many soils. These values were therefore only used if no PU-foam samples could be taken due to time constraints (i.e. complete curing of the foam was not possible).

The KCl extracts were used to determine soil pH (Soil Survey Staff, 2011) with a Mettler Toledo 830 pH meter (Mettler Toledo, Greifensee, Switzerland), nitrate, sulfite and phosphate by ion chromatography (IC-320, Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA, USA), and ammonium colorimetrically using the protocol of Sims et al. (1995). DOC in the water extracts was determined on a Shimadzu TOC-5000 analyzer (Shimadzu SSI, Columbia, MD, USA) after acidification with 40 µL of 35% HCl. Total elemental contents, except carbon, were measured by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis (Spectro-X-Lab 2000, Spectro, Kleve, Germany), in pellets of 4 g of milled soil mixed with 0.9 g Hoechst wax. Total carbon (TC) and total inorganic carbon (IC) were measured on a coulometer.
(CM 5012, UIC Inc., Joliet, IL, USA) using ~10 to 20 mg of milled soil. For TC, the samples were pyrolysed at 950°C in an autosampler furnace (CM 5200), whereas for IC they were acidified with 2N perchloric acid in an acidification module (CM 5130). Due to high variance of replicate measurements (sd up to ±0.5 % for siliceous, and ±0.3 % for calcareous samples), organic carbon (OC = TC – IC) was not reported, as computed values were below this threshold.

### 2.4 DNA extraction and amplification

A total of 27 samples were chosen for molecular analysis, comprising two or three freeze-dried subsamples from each of the 13 sites. The subsamples were chosen according to the greatest differences in CH4 concentrations at 10 cm depth. The extraction of total DNA was performed using the MP FastDNA®Spin Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH, USA), with some minor modifications. Instead of the protein precipitation step as suggested by the manufacturer, the soil homogenate was incubated with proteinase K at 68°C for 30 min. The DNA was successively extracted with (i) phenol (saturated with 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA), (ii) phenol-chloroform (1:1 [vol/vol]), and (iii) chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (24:1 [vol/vol]). The final supernatant was combined with the binding matrix supplied by the MP FastDNA®Spin Kit, and the remaining steps were performed as specified in the user manual. DNA yield ranged between 1 to 2 µg (g d.w.)⁻¹. Sufficient purity of all the DNA extracts was confirmed by measurement of the 260/280 ratio that ranged between 1.6 and 1.8 (NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer, NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA). In addition, the amplifiability of all the DNA extracts was tested by PCR amplification of bacterial 16S rRNA genes using the primer pair 27f and 1492r (Lane, 1991).

In first-round PCR of pmOA, we employed the forward primer A189f in combination with reverse primers A682r, mb661 and A650r (Holmes et al., 1995; Costello and Lidstrom, 1999; Bourne et al., 2001). PCR was run using a touch-down program: initial denaturation (94°C, 4 min), followed by 10 cycles of denaturation (94°C, 1 min), primer annealing at 62°C for 1 min (reduced by 1°C each cycle to a “touchdown” temperature at 52°C), and elongation (72°C, 1 min). Additional 20 cycles were run using the same thermal profile with an annealing temperature of 52°C. The final elongation step was extended to 7 min. Due to the failure to obtain PCR amplicons from all 27 DNA extracts in the first round, nested PCR was performed with the forward primers A189f and pmOA206f (Tchawa Yimga et al., 2003) combined with either mb661 or A650r, using 1 µL of the PCR product of primer set A189f-A682r as template. The thermal profile of second-round PCR consisted of an initial denaturation (94°C, 4 min), followed by 22 cycles of denaturation (94°C, 1 min), primer annealing (56°C, 1 min), and elongation (72°C, 1 min). PCR amplification was carried out using

### Table 1. Overview of sampled glacier forefields and site characteristics. The date of sampling (dd.mm.) for each site is followed by the number of locations sampled on this date in parentheses. Soil age is reported in sampling sequence for each location.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glacier</th>
<th>Site abbrev.</th>
<th>Coordinates WGS84</th>
<th>Altitude (m as.l.)</th>
<th>Average rainfall mm yr⁻¹</th>
<th>Bedrock type</th>
<th>Sampling dates in 2010 (no. of locations)</th>
<th>Maximum soil age (yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unterer Arolla</td>
<td>BAR</td>
<td>45°59'52&quot; N, 7°29'39&quot; E</td>
<td>2150</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>silicious</td>
<td>30.07. (4) 20,20,20,10</td>
<td>20,20,20,10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damma</td>
<td>DAM</td>
<td>46°38'10&quot; N, 8°27'24&quot; E</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>1559</td>
<td>silicious</td>
<td>12.10. (4) 20,20,20,20</td>
<td>20,20,20,20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forno</td>
<td>FOR</td>
<td>46°20'20&quot; N, 9°41'60&quot; E</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td>1462</td>
<td>silicious</td>
<td>04.07. (5) 5,5,5,20,20</td>
<td>5,5,5,20,20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mont-Miné</td>
<td>MOM</td>
<td>46°02'39&quot; N, 7°33'12&quot; E</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>silicious</td>
<td>28.07. (4) 10,10,10,10</td>
<td>10,10,10,10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morteratsch</td>
<td>MRT</td>
<td>46°25'45&quot; N, 9°56'05&quot; E</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>silicious</td>
<td>03.07. (7) 5,5,5,5,5,20,&gt;50</td>
<td>&gt;50,&gt;50,&gt;50,&gt;50,&gt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stein</td>
<td>STI</td>
<td>46°43'14&quot; N, 8°26'01&quot; E</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1661</td>
<td>silicious</td>
<td>18.06. (3) 10,5,5</td>
<td>10,5,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steinliimi</td>
<td>STL</td>
<td>46°42'39&quot; N, 8°24'42&quot; E</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>1661</td>
<td>silicious</td>
<td>16.07. (4) 5,5,5,5</td>
<td>5,5,5,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tschiera</td>
<td>TSV</td>
<td>46°24'22&quot; N, 9°51'48&quot; E</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>silicious</td>
<td>02.07. (4) 10,10,10,20</td>
<td>10,10,10,20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claridenfirn</td>
<td>CLF</td>
<td>46°51'12&quot; N, 8°55'25&quot; E</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>1802</td>
<td>calcareous</td>
<td>22.09. (3) 5,5,5</td>
<td>5,5,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griessfirn</td>
<td>GRF</td>
<td>46°50'37&quot; N, 8°49'45&quot; E</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td>1798</td>
<td>calcareous</td>
<td>10.08. (4) 20,20,20,20</td>
<td>20,20,20,20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griessen</td>
<td>GRI</td>
<td>46°50'52&quot; N, 8°29'07&quot; E</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>1591</td>
<td>calcareous</td>
<td>07.07. (4) all &gt;50</td>
<td>all &gt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Im Giess</td>
<td>KLG</td>
<td>46°51'31&quot; N, 8°52'23&quot; E</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>1789</td>
<td>calcareous</td>
<td>04.08. (1) 10,10</td>
<td>10,10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildstrubel</td>
<td>WIL</td>
<td>46°23'54&quot; N, 7°33'42&quot; E</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>calcareous</td>
<td>09.07. (2) 10,10</td>
<td>10,10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildstrubel</td>
<td>WIL</td>
<td>46°23'54&quot; N, 7°33'42&quot; E</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>calcareous</td>
<td>21.07. (4) 50,50,&gt;50,&gt;50</td>
<td>50,50,&gt;50,&gt;50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


b Years since last record of ice coverage, rounded to 5-yr intervals.
a reaction mixture containing 1 µl of template DNA, 10 µl of 5×GoTaq Flexi reaction buffer (Promega, Mannheim, Germany), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 mM each dNTP, 5 µg of bovine serum albumin (Promega), 0.5 µM of each primer, and 2.5 U of Flexi Taq DNA polymerase (Promega). PCR was performed after adjusting the total volume to 50 µl with sterile H₂O₂, using a DNA thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

2.5 Analysis of pmoA amplicons

Four pmoA clone libraries were constructed from composite pmoA amplicons generated by nested PCR from FOR 1, GRF 2, MRT 7, and mixed WIL 1, 4 and 5. Cloning was done using the pGEM-T Easy cloning kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. In total, 82 pmoA sequences were selected for analysis (8 from FOR 1, 21 from GRF 2, 19 from MRT 7, and 35 from WIL 1 + WIL 4 + WIL 5). Cloned inserts (508 bp) were completely sequenced using the BigDye V3.1 terminator chemistry (Applied Biosystems). The identities of the pmoA gene sequences were confirmed by searching public sequence databases using BLASTN (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/). Phylogenetic analyses at the DNA and deduced amino acid sequence levels were carried out using the ARB program package (Ludwig et al., 2004). pmoA sequences obtained in the course of this study were aligned to a manually curated pmoA database containing >3000 sequences. Regions of sequence ambiguity and incomplete data were excluded from the analyses. Trees were constructed using the neighbor-joining and maximum-likelihood methods. Representative pmoA gene sequences of each species-level OTU have been deposited in the EMBL, GenBank and DDBJ nucleotide databases under the accession numbers HE647840 to HE647850.

T-RFLP fingerprint patterns were generated in triplicate for each DNA sample. PCR was performed with the forward primer (A189f or pmoA206f) being FAM (6-carboxyfluorescein)-labeled. The pmoA PCR products were gel purified by using Wizard SV Gel and PCR Cleanup System (Promega) according to the supplier’s protocol. Approximately 100 ng of each pmoA amplicon was digested with 10 U of the restriction endonucleaseMspI (Promega). The digestion was carried out in a total volume of 10 µl for 12 h at 37 °C. The restriction digests were purified using Illustra AutoSeq G-50 columns (GE Healthcare UK Limited, Little Chalfont, UK). Aliquots (2.5 µl) of the digested amplicons were mixed with 12 µl of deionized formamide (Applied Biosystems) and 0.3 µl of an internal DNA fragment length standard (MapMarker®1000; BioVentures, Murfreesboro, TN, USA). The mixtures were denatured at 94 °C for 3 min and then chilled on ice. The fluorescently labeled terminal restriction fragments (T-RFs) were size-separated on an ABI 3100 capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems). The length of each fluorescently labeled T-RF was determined by comparison with the internal standard using GeneScan 3.71 software (Applied Biosystems). The accuracy of size calling between replicates was ±1 bp. The relative abundance of T-RFs in a given T-RFLP pattern was determined as the peak height of the respective T-RF divided by the total peak height of all T-RFs detected within a fragment size range between 50 and 510 bp, and was expressed as percentages (Dunbar et al., 2001; Shrestha et al., 2011).

2.6 Analysis of soil-CH₄ profiles

Methane fluxes J (mg CH₄ m⁻² d⁻¹) from deep soil (>70 cm) into the sampling zone (J_air), and from there into the atmosphere (J_atm), were approximated according to Fick’s first law (e.g. Fechner and Hemond, 1992):

\[
J \approx D_{eff} \frac{\Delta C}{\Delta z}
\]

where \(\Delta C/\Delta z\) is the discrete difference gradient of the 0–5 cm depth interval (J_air), or the two deepest sampling points (J_air), respectively. If the gradient changed direction in the next interval, the mean value of three intervals was taken. From \(\theta_t\) and \(\theta_w\) we estimated \(D_{eff}\) according to the WLR-Marshall model (Moldrup et al., 2000):

\[
D_{eff} = D_{air} \theta_h^{1.5} \theta_a^{0.5} / \theta_t
\]

where \(D_{air}\) (14.9 cm² min⁻¹ at 15 °C and 0.8 bar atmospheric pressure; Fuller et al., 1966) denotes the diffusion coefficient of CH₄ in air, and \(\theta_a\) is the air-filled porosity of the respective soil (\(\theta_a = \theta_t - \theta_w\)). Estimated \(D_{eff}\) were computed for the first 10 cm of the soil, and assumed to be constant with depth. With this simplification we estimated CH₄ fluxes for profiles showing spatially separated production and consumption zones, and additionally for profiles indicating atmospheric CH₄ consumption.

For profiles indicating atmospheric CH₄ consumption only, a simplified diffusion-consumption model was fitted to measured soil-CH₄ concentrations to estimate first-order rate coefficients \(k\) (h⁻¹) of CH₄ oxidation (Born et al., 1990):

\[
C_z = C_\infty + (C_0 - C_\infty) \exp(-z \sqrt{\frac{k}{D_{eff}}}).
\]

Here, \(C_z\) (µl l⁻¹) denotes CH₄ concentrations at the respective depth \(z\), \(C_\infty\) is the fitted concentration at \(z = \infty\), and \(C_0\) is the measured above-ground concentration of CH₄. Equation (3) was fitted to data using non-linear least squares with a Gauss-Newton algorithm in the R environment (R 2.11.1; www.r-project.org).

2.7 Statistical analysis

For possible explanations of MOB activity and diversity patterns, we tested individual parameters for significant differences among bedrock types, profile categories and MOB
groups (see discussion) using analysis of variance, Pearson’s chi-squared test and linear regression in $R$. The explorative design of this survey did not allow a more rigorous statistical analysis to be performed; test statistics served solely the purpose of providing hypotheses for further studies and should not be interpreted conclusively.

3 Results

3.1 Soil properties

Temperatures in 0–10 cm depth varied over a wide range of 4–26°C (Table 2), with highest values measured at STL (16 July), and lowest at DAM (12 October). Sandy soils were the most common, irrespective of bedrock type. Skeleton content was highly variable; at 13 locations it exceeded 50% (Table 2). Porosities ranged from 0.36 to 0.59, with a mean of 0.45 ± 0.06 (sd), while $S_w$ ranged from 2 to 37 vol%, with a mean of 16 ± 10 vol% and one outlier of 60 vol%. Computed $D_{eff}$ varied between 1.1 and 4.9 cm$^2$ min$^{-1}$ with a mean of 2.54 ± 0.87 cm$^2$ min$^{-1}$, not counting one low outlier (0.49) from the location with highest $S_w$, and one high outlier (6.5) from the location with the highest $θ_r$ and low $S_w$.

Chemical parameters (site means) are summarized in Table 3. Measured (potential) pH values were generally between 8 and 9 at all calcareous sites, and between 6 and 7.5 at siliceous sites. Exceptional siliceous sites were TSV and MORM, where sampled soils exhibited basic pH values, and DAM, where low pH values of ~4.9 agreed well with previous findings (Bernasconi et al., 2011). Total carbon was dominated by IC at all calcareous sites (7 to 11%) and siliceous site TSV (0.3%), whereas at other siliceous sites TC and IC were in the same low range (0.01 to 0.08%). Note that TOC content was highly variable; at 13 locations it exceeded 0.49 from the location with highest TOC, whereas at other siliceous sites TC and IC were in the same low range (0.01 to 0.08%).

Chemical parameters (site means) are summarized in Table 3. Measured (potential) pH values were generally between 8 and 9 at all calcareous sites, and between 6 and 7.5 at siliceous sites. Exceptional siliceous sites were TSV and MORM, where sampled soils exhibited basic pH values, and DAM, where low pH values of ~4.9 agreed well with previous findings (Bernasconi et al., 2011). Total carbon was dominated by IC at all calcareous sites (7 to 11%) and siliceous site TSV (0.3%), whereas at other siliceous sites TC and IC were in the same low range (0.01 to 0.08%). Note that TOC is not reported, as the difference between TC and IC was always in the range of individual sample variability. Elemental contents of Al, Fe and P were significantly lower in calcareous soils; on the contrary, these soils were enriched in S compared to siliceous sites. Total contents of Cu showed no dependence on site or bedrock type.

Contents of DOC were generally low at all sites (22 to 78 µg (g d.w.)$^{-1}$) and showed no significant correlation with site or bedrock type. Concentrations of mineral nitrogen species NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ were both significantly enriched at calcareous sites, although concentrations were in the lower µg (g d.w.)$^{-1}$ range and close to detection limit. Phosphate was below detection limit (~0.8 µM) of our instrument at almost all sites, with the exception of BAR and FOR. In accordance with total S contents, SO$_4^{2-}$ showed significantly higher concentrations at calcareous sites.

3.2 Soil CH$_4$ profiles and CH$_4$ fluxes

Profiles from four selected sites representing typical situations encountered in this survey are plotted in Fig. 1. Graphs of all measured profiles are provided in Supplementary

Fig. S1. At 11 sampled locations in siliceous forefields, soil-gas CH$_4$ concentrations decreased with depth and showed a curvature, which indicates consumption of atmospheric CH$_4$ (e.g. BAR 1-4, Fig. 1a). For these locations, lowest measured concentrations ranged between 1.0–1.5 µL L$^{-1}$. In 11 other profiles from siliceous sites, CH$_4$ concentrations fluctuated around atmospheric levels (e.g. FOR 1, Fig. 1b). We expect no significant CH$_4$ turnover at these locations, although we cannot fully exclude the possibility that consumption and production balanced out at the micro-scale level. In 37 of the 59 analyzed profiles, measured soil-gas CH$_4$ concentrations clearly exceeded atmospheric CH$_4$ concentrations. At 15 of these locations, all but one (GRF 1) from siliceous sites, highest CH$_4$ concentrations were only slightly elevated, but always <10 µL L$^{-1}$, while lowest concentrations could drop below atmospheric levels (e.g. FOR 2, 4 and 5, Fig. 1b). The remaining 22 locations, all from calcareous sites, exhibited soil-gas CH$_4$ concentrations >10 µL L$^{-1}$, sometimes by orders of magnitude (e.g. GRF and WIL, Fig. 1c and d). Highest concentrations reached 15 to 130 µL L$^{-1}$, with the exception of WIL where values up to 1400 µL L$^{-1}$ were measured. Profile shapes of most locations clearly indicated a substantial CH$_4$ source below our sampling zone (hereafter referred to as deep-soil CH$_4$ source), coupled with CH$_4$ oxidation in higher soil layers. Note that oxygen concentrations in soil gas from WIL 4 and 5 profiles were close to 20 vol% at all depths (data not shown).
Table 2. Overview of physical properties at sampled sites in 0–10 cm soil depth. Soil texture is reported in sequence of sampled locations; soil temperature, skeleton content, $\theta_t$ and $S_w$, we reported the median with the range of measured values in parentheses. Note that the median should be interpreted with care for sites with a small number of sampled locations (see Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site abbrev.</th>
<th>Soil temperature$^a$ (°C)</th>
<th>Soil texture$^b$ (&lt;2 mm)</th>
<th>Skeleton content (&gt;2 mm; wt %)</th>
<th>Porosity $\theta_t$</th>
<th>Water saturation $S_w$ (vol %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAR</td>
<td>10(9–13)</td>
<td>s, s, ls, s</td>
<td>27(10–59)</td>
<td>0.43(0.39–0.52)</td>
<td>20(15–26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAM</td>
<td>6(4–10)</td>
<td>s, s, s, s</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0.43(0.38–0.45)</td>
<td>9(7–16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOR</td>
<td>11(8–15)</td>
<td>sil, s, s, s, s</td>
<td>6(2–21)</td>
<td>0.54(0.46–0.59)</td>
<td>22(2–37)$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOM</td>
<td>16(15–21)</td>
<td>ls, s, sl, sl</td>
<td>27(2–36)</td>
<td>0.40(0.39–0.50)</td>
<td>29(18–34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT</td>
<td>16(8–22)</td>
<td>s, s, s, s, s, s, ls</td>
<td>3(1–16)</td>
<td>0.49(0.36–0.57)</td>
<td>112(28)$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STI</td>
<td>12(7–17)</td>
<td>s, s, s</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0.50$^c,d$</td>
<td>20$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STL</td>
<td>23(20–26)</td>
<td>s, s, s</td>
<td>30(6–38)</td>
<td>0.46(0.40–0.48)</td>
<td>12(7–60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSV</td>
<td>16(15–16)</td>
<td>ls, ls, sl, sl</td>
<td>21(14–45)</td>
<td>0.47(0.41–0.49)</td>
<td>6(4–17)$^c$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Soil temperatures (0–10 cm) measured at the actual time of sampling.
$^b$ s = sand; ls = loamy sand; sl = sandy loam; 1 = loam; sil = silty loam.
$^c$ Measurements of volumetric water content by TDR.
$^d$ Data for location 4 only; no data available for location 1–3
n.a. not available.

Table 3. Site mean of measured chemical parameters for each glacier forefield. Values are reported with ± 2 sd, which reflects the variance within the site. Organic carbon is not reported, as the difference between TC and IC was below the sd of individual sample replicates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site abbrev.</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>TC</th>
<th>IC</th>
<th>DOC</th>
<th>NH$_4^+$</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$</th>
<th>PO$_4^{3-}$</th>
<th>SO$_4^{2-}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAR</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAM</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.17</td>
<td>&lt;0.13</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOR</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOM</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.17</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.18</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STI</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.17</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>6.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STL</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>3.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSV</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.17</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d.: not detected; n.a.: not available
Table 4. Number of samples with successful amplification using different primer combinations during normal (first round) and nested (second round) PCR reactions. The second-round PCR was performed with the product of the first-round primer set A189f-A682r. Total number of samples selected for molecular analyses was 27.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCR reaction</th>
<th>Forward primer</th>
<th>Reverse primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>first round</td>
<td>A189f</td>
<td>A682r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mb661r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A650r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>second round</td>
<td>A189f</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pmoA206f</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the 11 profiles exhibiting atmospheric CH₄ consumption, estimated (negative) J_{atm} ranged from ~0.14 to ~1.1 mg m⁻² d⁻¹, while k ranged from 0.003 to 29 h⁻¹, with large uncertainties associated with smallest and largest k (Fig. 2). All 18 profiles indicating a deep-soil CH₄ source were net CH₄ emitters, and J_{atm} ranged from 0.12 to 31 mg m⁻² d⁻¹, with a mean of 6.9 mg m⁻² d⁻¹. Half of the soils oxidized more than 90% of the CH₄ before it could reach the atmosphere (Fig. 2). Sink strength of CH₄ oxidation, i.e., the difference between J_{atm} and J_{atm}, was in the range of 2.5 to 240 mg m⁻² d⁻¹, except for WIL 3-5, where the sink was in the range of 350 to 700 mg m⁻² d⁻¹.

3.3 pmoA presence and diversity analysis

The procedure recommended by the manufacturer (MP Biomedicals) for DNA extraction did not yield a sufficient amount of total DNA from most of our samples. DNA in sufficient yield and purity was, however, consistently obtained after we replaced the protein precipitation step by a proteinase K treatment. PCR of bacterial 16S rRNA genes gave strong products of correct size for all the DNA extracts. For initial detection of pmoA, we tested 27 DNA extracts with the forward primer A189f in combination with various reverse primers (A650r, mb661r, and A682r). None of the primer combinations consistently produced pmoA amplicons from all extracts, but the use of the primer set A189f-A682r resulted in detectable amplicons from 17 samples (Table 4). Nested PCR (with the product of the primer set A189f-A682r) using forward primer A189f in the second round failed, regardless of whether it was combined with A650r or mb661r. However, replacement with pmoA206f (a variant of A189f with 17 additional bases added to its 3’ end) consistently produced detectable pmoA amplicons from all the 27 DNA extracts using reverse primer mb661 (Table 4). The combination pmoA206f-A650r again produced no amplicons. Therefore, for cloning, sequencing and T-RFLP analysis, we used primarily pmoA amplicons generated in nested PCR with pmoA206f-mb661 in second-round PCR (27 samples representing all 13 sites). First-round PCR amplicons from primers A189f-682r (17 samples from 10 sites) were used for validation of results.
Fig. 3. Maximum likelihood tree showing the phylogenetic affiliation of pmoA sequences obtained from different glacier forefields. The pmoA tree was constructed based on 139 derived amino acid sequence positions. The neighbor-joining method resulted in essentially the same tree topology. Sequences obtained in the course of this study were grouped into 5 species-level units (OTU 1 to 5) based on an amino acid sequence identity threshold of 93%. The number of clones obtained for each OTU is given in parentheses. All species-level OTUs also contain published pmoA sequences. Predicted T-RF lengths based on MspI are shown on the left side, while the experimental T-RF lengths are indicated on the right side. The in silico predicted T-RF lengths were experimentally confirmed by T-RFLP analysis of individual pmoA clone sequences. Bootstrap values greater than 80 are shown (100 replicates). The sequence of RA21 (AF148522) was used as outgroup. The scale bar represents 10% sequence divergence or 0.1 substitutions per amino acid position.

Of the 82 pmoA clones randomly selected for analysis, 59 clone sequences were assigned to the pmoA1 of Methylocystis, while 22 pmoA sequences belonged to USCγ. These 81 pmoA clone sequences were used to define four species-level operational taxonomic units (OTU1 to OTU4) based on 7% divergence of deduced amino acid sequences (Fig. 3). The Methylocystis-like OTU was separated into two subgroups (OTU1a, 1b). The pmoA1 clone sequences of OTU1a are most similar to that of Methylocystis sp. M (derived amino acid sequence identity of 98.9%), and those of the OTU1b (the vast majority) share greatest identity with pmoA1 of Methylocystis sp. strain Rockwell (ATCC 49242; 98.9%). The three USCγ-like OTUs 2, 3, and 4 are distinct from each other, with sequence identity values of 92% at the amino acid level. Each is most closely related to pmoA sequences retrieved from an alpine meadow soil. The single pmoA sequence of OTU5 is most closely related to a Cluster I pmoA sequence that was detected in deciduous forest soil.

The T-RFLP patterns obtained from all 27 samples by nested PCR can be grouped into two distinct sets of profiles that are dominated by either a 241-bp T-RF or a 243-bp T-RF (Fig. 4). Comparative analysis of pmoA clones assigned the 241-bp T-RF to USCγ, while the 243-bp T-RF was highly characteristic of the pmoA1 of Methylocystis (Fig. 3). These assignments were consistently confirmed by in silico analysis of all the 81 pmoA clones that had been assigned to either Methylocystis or USCγ. The USCγ-related 241-bp T-RF dominated most T-RFLP patterns, including all samples from siliceous sites (Fig. 4). A few T-RFLP patterns (MRT 7, GRI 2, KLG 3 and KLG 4) showed the presence of both the 241-bp and 243-bp T-RFs, with the 241-bp T-RF being always the dominant fragment. The Methylocystis-related 243-bp T-RF dominated only in four T-RFLP patterns (GRF 1, GRF 2, WIL 1 and WIL 5), all obtained from calcareous sites.
Fig. 4. Bar diagrams of pmoA-based T-RFLP fingerprint patterns obtained from all 27 subsamples representing the 13 different glacier forefields. The T-RFLP patterns were generated using the primer set A189f-A682r in first-round PCR and the primer set pmoA206f-mb661 in nested second-round PCR. The percentage abundances (mean ± sd; n = 3) of 7 distinguishable T-RFs are indicated by different colors.

Besides the dominant 241-bp and 243-bp T-RFs, the T-RFLP profiles showed the presence of two additional T-RFs with a size of 339 and 350 bp. No pmoA clone sequences affiliated with these two T-RFs could be obtained. However, in computational analysis using a manually curated database, the 339-bp T-RF was affiliated with pmoA of a particular USCγ population, while the 350-bp T-RF appears to represent pmoA2 of the Methylocystis/Methylosinus group. These in silico assignments are supported by the consistent co-occurrence of the 241-bp and 339-bp T-RFs and, on the other hand, the 243-bp and 350-bp T-RFs in the T-RFLP patterns (Fig. 4). As suggested by a single pmoA clone obtained from sample MRT 7, the 75-bp T-RF found mainly in MRT 6 may represent members of the pmoA Cluster 1. The T-RFLP patterns generated from 17 samples by first-round PCR with primer set A189f-682r confirmed the dominant presence of either the combination of the 241-bp and 339-bp T-RFs, or the 243-bp T-RF (Supplement Fig. S2).

4 Discussion

4.1 Soil properties

Physical properties of the sampled soils varied over a wide range (Table 2), as would be expected from soils in their initial development stage (Egli et al., 2006). However, properties showed no obvious dependence on site characteristics, including bedrock type. The majority of soils had a high porosity, and S_w was low, as we sampled in dry weather and during the warmest period of the year. Hence, our estimates for Deff, and therefore both J_{atm} and J_{ds}, are most likely at the higher end of values that could be expected for glacier forefields.

In general, concentrations of nutrients such as P, N, and DOC were low in glacier forefield soils irrespective of bedrock type (Table 3; Lazzaro et al., 2009 and 2011; Bernasconi et al., 2011). Conversely, chemical parameters including pH, total Si, Ca, Al, Fe, S, and IC depended on geology and were significantly different between bedrock types. As expected for calcareous sites consisting mainly of limestone (CaCO_3) and being low in organic carbon, high TC was comprised mainly of IC. Notably, IC and Ca values at individual calcareous sites agreed exceptionally well with each other when taking into account the species’ 1:1 stoichiometry in CaCO_3 (computations not shown). We tested selected parameters within each bedrock type for correlations with CH_4 turnover and MOB diversity, and discuss them in respective sections below.

4.2 Soil-CH_4 profile categorization

Our initial expectation was that most soils would show CH_4 concentrations slightly below atmospheric levels as a result of atmospheric CH_4 oxidation, with some rare exceptions of slight concentration increases resulting from microsite methanogenesis. Surprisingly, almost two thirds of all measured profiles showed elevated CH_4 concentrations, and around one third of all profiles indicated a substantial deep-soil CH_4 source. To provide an overview of the
distribution of dominating processes, we therefore assigned each measured profile to a category according to the generalized shape of the profile (Fig. 5). We distinguished five profile categories that featured the following dominating processes: (A) oxidation of atmospheric CH$_4$; (B) no apparent CH$_4$ turnover; (C) small production of CH$_4$ in anoxic microsites (CH$_4$ conc. $<10$ µL L$^{-1}$; limit for growth of cultured oligotrophic MOB; Knief and Dunfield, 2005); (D) substantial deep-soil CH$_4$ source (>10 µL L$^{-1}$) and CH$_4$ oxidation in the topsoil; (E) substantial CH$_4$ source (>10 µL L$^{-1}$) but undefined profile shape (zig-zag). All profiles from siliceous sites fell into categories A, B and C, while all profiles from calcareous sites featured a substantial deep-soil CH$_4$ source and fell into categories D and E. Given this clear distinction, we discuss the two bedrock types separately below.

Errors in concentration gradients were most likely dominated by local soil heterogeneity, but as no replicate profiles could be taken due to the destructive sampling procedure, we cannot estimate their magnitude. However, having collected several profiles in close proximity, we are confident that our results reflect the general conditions in situ and provide a first estimate of the magnitude of CH$_4$ turnover in Swiss glacier forefields.

### 4.2.1 Methane turnover and fluxes at siliceous sites

Considering only siliceous sites, our results support our initial hypothesis of low atmospheric CH$_4$ consumption (cat. A) and limited but prevalent methanogenesis in anoxic microsites (cat. C). Bárcena et al. (2010) recently investigated CH$_4$ oxidation in a (siliceous) glacier forefield in Greenland and found uptake of atmospheric CH$_4$ in 7 of their 12 sites, with one site at the glacier front emitting CH$_4$. However, our $J_{\text{atm}}$ values were two orders of magnitude higher than their values ($-0.0034$ to $-0.018$ mg m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$), and compared best with the lower end of estimates from cold and temperate ecosystems obtained with similar methods (Born et al., 1990: $0.25$–$3.4$ mg m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$; Whalen et al., 1992: $0.77$–$1.78$ mg m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$). Direct comparability with chamber-based net CH$_4$ fluxes such as reported by Bárceña et al. is limited, as CH$_4$ consumption could have been confounded by microsite methanogenesis (Andersen et al., 1998; Kammann et al., 2001 and 2009). In glacier forefields, a possible carbon source for methanogenesis might be subfossil wood and peat from glacier-buried vegetation (Hormes et al., 2001). For example, compressed peat disks recovered from the FOR forefield and described in Joerin et al. (2006) could be the origin of localized anoxic conditions due to increased heterotrophic activity at the peat surface.

Profile categories A, B and C did not significantly differ in $S_w$ but seemed to be temperature-dependent, i.e. temperatures at locations in cat. A were significantly lower than in cat. B and C (p-value = 0.025). Similarly, average soil-CH$_4$ concentrations of “siliceous” profiles were positively correlated with temperature (p-value = 0.0004; $R^2 = 0.35$). High-affinity MOB have low temperature sensitivity ($Q_{10} \sim 1$–2; Dunfield et al., 1993; Dunfield, 2007), while methanogens show much higher sensitivity ($Q_{10} \sim 5$–16; Dunfield et al., 1993; Le Mer and Roger, 2001). Possibly, a rather stable activity of MOB might be confounded by increasing methanogenesis at higher temperatures. Soil pH was the only other factor showing a correlation with profile categories, i.e. locations in cat. A exhibited significantly lower pH values (p-value = 0.002). Methanogens and MOB occur over wide pH ranges (e.g. Le Mer and Roger, 2001), but it might be
possible that they have different resilience to a gradual decrease in pH occurring during soil development in siliceous glacier forefields (Bernasconi et al., 2011).

4.2.2 Methane turnover and fluxes at calcareous sites

Detection of substantial soil-CH₄ sources at all sampled locations in calcareous glacier forefields was unexpected, as was the magnitude of associated fluxes. At the time of sampling, all soils from calcareous sites appeared to be net CH₄ emitters. The range of J atm from locations of cat. D compared best with temporarily submerged upland soils (Wang and Bettany, 1997: 7.8–10.5 mg m⁻² d⁻¹) and the lower end of estimates from peat bog environments (e.g. Moore and Knowles, 1990: ∼5 mg m⁻² d⁻¹; Fechner and Hemond, 1992: 3.5–51 mg m⁻² d⁻¹; Le Mer and Roger, 2001: 43.3 mg m⁻² d⁻¹ (median of 4 sources)). Half of the soils of cat. D oxidized more than 90 % of J atm, a magnitude usually found in landfill cover soils (De Visscher et al., 2007). This would imply a substantial population of MOB in the most active zone with highest CH₄ turnover, located at ∼50–70 cm depth in most profiles. Unfortunately, our soil sampling depth of ∼3–10 cm did not cover this zone; this will be a subject of future investigations.

The nature of the substantial CH₄ source in calcareous glacier forefields remains unknown. Glacially overridden vegetation might directly or indirectly (through relatively labile DOC of subglacial origin; Lafrenière and Sharp, 2004) serve as carbon source for biogenic CH₄ production. Alternatively, cryoconite holes on glacier surfaces have been suggested as relevant sources of organic carbon in glacial ecosystems (e.g., Sawstrom et al., 2002; Hodson et al., 2010; Stibal et al., 2010). In both siliceous and calcareous forefields, it is possible that basal ice or permafrost below the vadose zone (note that permafrost is unlikely to exist at our sampling sites; Maisch et al., 2000) might impede drainage and create near-saturated layers that could turn anoxic (Hinkel et al., 2001). However, only on calcareous bedrock can a significant loss in soil porosity occur due to calcite precipitating during freezing (Lacelle, 2007). This could enhance anoxia, and hence methanogenesis.

Alternatively, the sedimentary origin of the calcareous bedrock would also permit a deep geological CH₄ source. Thermogenic CH₄ formed from trapped organic matter might migrate upwards through faults and fractures and result in emission over wide areas (“microseepage”; Matthews, 1996; Etiope and Klusman, 2002). Interestingly, positive microseepage fluxes reported by Klusman et al. (up to 43 mg CH₄ m⁻² d⁻¹ at the soil-atmosphere boundary; Klusman et al., 2000) were in the same order of magnitude as our positive J atm. To shed light on the origin of the CH₄ source, we will focus on the determination of the isotopic composition of soil-CH₄ in future work.

From the different parameters tested, only soil temperature showed a correlation with profile categories: temperatures were higher at locations in cat. D compared to cat. E (p-value = 0.0096). However, measured topsoil temperatures bear little informational value for deep-soil processes. Hence, we cannot provide a meaningful interpretation here.

4.3 pmoA presence and diversity of MOB in Swiss glacier forefields

After an initial survey of different primer combinations and PCR conditions, we were able to amplify pmoA fragments from all 27 samples and hence confirm the presence of MOB in the 13 glacier forefields studied. The key for consistent detection was a nested PCR approach and the use of the forward primer pmoA206f, originally designed to specifically target pmoA2 (Tchawa Yimga et al., 2003). The pmoA sequences detected in our samples shared sufficient sequence similarity with pmoA206f to be efficiently amplified by the nested PCR approach. Contrary to a study on MOB diversity in glacier forefields in southeast Greenland (Bärccena et al., 2011), PCR using the primer pair A189F-A650R was unsuccessful. This primer pair favors the detection of USCy-like populations. Apparently, the glacier forefields studied here and in southeast Greenland are dominated by different MOB communities.

All but one of the pmoA sequences were associated with either Methylocystis- or USCy-like MOB, resulting in a total of only five species-level OTUs. A difference of 7 % between deduced amino acid sequences of pmoA corresponds to 13 % difference in their nucleotide sequences for known methanotrophs (Degelmann et al., 2010; Shrestha et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 2011) and correlates with the species-level distance cutoff value of 3 % based on the 16S rRNA gene (Degelmann et al., 2010). Sequence analysis of an increasing number of pmoA clones may have resulted in the detection of additional species-level diversity within, for example, USCy. Nonetheless, it is notable that all the 22 USCy-like pmoA sequences belonged to only three species-level OTUs (Fig. 3) and each of these three OTUs was composed of pmoA clones from at least two geographically different sampling sites. This finding suggests that the Swiss glacier forefields may be colonized by a few dominant MOB species. It might be a consequence of the young age of the soils, lack of vegetation and the harsh environmental conditions (e.g. high altitude with strong UV radiation and large temperature shifts) selecting for a few highly specialized MOB.

Although Methylocystis was frequently found in environments with low or atmospheric CH₄ concentrations, USCy has rarely been identified (Knief et al., 2003; Knief et al., 2006; Zheng et al., 2011; Henneberger et al., 2012). Interestingly, the closest related pmoA sequences to the three USCy OTUs were all retrieved by Zheng et al. (2011) at the same study site, an alpine meadow at the Haibei Ecosystem research station on the Tibetan Plateau. The site has a mean annual temperature of −2 °C, and soils at this site are cryic cambisols typically occurring in permafrost areas. The single
pmoA sequence of OTU5 is most closely related to a Cluster I pmoA sequence that was detected in deciduous forest soil, a net sink of atmospheric methane (Knief et al., 2006). It makes sense that this clone was retrieved from MRT 7, the only sample taken in a developing forest where the soil was older than 50 years.

The two sets of T-RFLP patterns from first- (Fig. S2) and second-round PCR (Fig. 4) compared well. Both sets of patterns consistently show the co-occurrence of the USCγ-assigned 241-bp and 339-bp T-RFs or dominance of the Methylocystis-assigned 243-bp T-RF. The co-occurrence of the Methylocystis-assigned 243-bp T-RF (pmoA1) and 350-bp T-RF (pmoA2) can be observed only in the patterns from second-round PCR, because pmoA2 is not efficiently amplified by the primer pair A189f-A682r used in first round. We are therefore confident that the nested PCR approach generated a representative picture of MOB diversity in sampled glacier forefields. The relative abundances of the T-RFs in Fig. 4 corroborated the low diversity in the pmoA sequences and reflected the strong dominance of USCγ. Surprisingly, USCγ dominated even in sites with acidic pH (DAM and MRT), while in previous studies this group was primarily detected in neutral soil (Knief et al., 2003; Zheng et al., 2011). As Type I MOB tend to dominate MOB communities in cold environments (reviewed in Liebner and Wagner, 2010; Martineau et al., 2010; Yergeau et al., 2010), members of USCγ might be widespread in cold ecosystem upland soils. A recent study also observed the prevalent occurrence of Type II Methylocystis in a permafrost active layer (Barbier et al., 2012). In our study, the occurrence of Methylocystis was restricted to a few glacier forefields on calcareous bedrock. Accordingly, CH4 concentrations at sampling depth (10 cm) were significantly higher for Methylocystis-dominated locations (p-value = 0.0019; including all 27 samples). Growth of isolated strains of Methylocystis was restricted to CH4 concentrations >10 µL L⁻¹ (Knief and Dunfield, 2005; Baani and Liesack, 2008). This would explain the limitation of Methylocystis to calcareous sites. As Methylocystis might have the ability to express different pMMO isozymes for low (~2–600 µL L⁻¹) and high (>600 µL L⁻¹) CH4 concentrations (Baani and Liesack, 2008), one would also imply an advantage over USCγ at higher CH4 availability. However, Methylocystis-dominated GRF 1 and 2 showed CH4 concentrations much lower than 10 µL L⁻¹ in 10 cm depth, and the USCγ-dominated WIL 4 exhibited the highest CH4 concentrations of all sites. Therefore, with the current data set, we cannot give a confident explanation for the occurrence of USCγ or Methylocystis at calcareous sites. However, it should be noted that soil-CH4 concentrations and fluxes likely exhibit diurnal and seasonal variability not reflected by our one-time measurements (Friborg et al., 1997; Hendriks et al., 2010). Second, for most calcareous locations the active zone (largest shift in CH4 gradient) was much deeper than our sampled depth of 3–10 cm. It will be interesting to analyze pmoA diversity and abundance together with CH4 concentrations in a vertical profile.

5 Conclusions

Our results confirmed the presence of MOB in all sampled Swiss glacier forefields, while MOB activity was evident at many locations. Siliceous and calcareous forefields showed clearly different CH4 turnover patterns: while atmospheric CH4 oxidation accompanied by microsite methanogenesis was common at siliceous sites, all calcareous glacier forefields featured a deep-soil CH4 source of unknown origin, with MOB consuming up to 90 % of CH4 before reaching the atmosphere. However, diversity of MOB was limited in both siliceous and calcareous forefields and was strongly dominated by the USCγ group, while USCα-like populations were not detected. Members of USCγ might be widespread in cold climate upland environments.
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