

Supplementary material to the BG article:

Greenhouse gas emissions from the grassy outdoor run of organic broilers

Towards a net GHG budget of the outdoor run

Emission factors are needed from an inventory viewpoint (IPCC), but to assess the whole climate change impact of a production system, a total net GHG exchange (NGHGE), taking into account all global warming potential (GWP) contributions by CO₂, N₂O and CH₄ fluxes should be calculated, expressed in CO₂ equivalents (Soussana et al., 2007). We calculated a tentative NGHGE according to Eq. (1) adapted from Soussana et al. (2007):

$$NGHGE = \frac{44}{28} F_{N_2O,a} \cdot GWP_{N_2O} + \frac{16}{12} F_{CH_4,a} \cdot GWP_{CH_4} + \frac{44}{12} NEE_{G+B} \quad (1)$$

where $F_{N_2O,a}$ and $F_{CH_4,a}$ are respectively the annual N₂O and CH₄ fluxes from the run (in kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and kg CH₄-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, respectively); GWP_{N_2O} and GWP_{CH_4} the respective GWPs of CH₄ (25 CO₂ eq.) and N₂O (298 CO₂ eq.) (IPCC, 2007a); NEE_{G+B} is the net ecosystem exchange (kg CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) defined as the sum of NEE_G (kg CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), the net ecosystem exchange of grassland (vegetation+soil), and $R_{broilers}$ the respiration of broilers on the run. CH₄ emissions from enteric fermentation of broilers is neglected given the lack of knowledge (IPCC, 2006a), but is certainly very small.

Usually, NEE_G is measured by eddy covariance (Soussana et al., 2007) or by chambers, and Gross Primary Production (GPP) is calculated by difference between NEE and R_{eco} , which is derived from night-time measurements (Woodwell and Whittaker, 1968; Reichstein et al., 2005). By convention, a negative value of NEE_G indicates a net sink. In this study we only measured R_{eco} but not NEE, and thus no GPP estimates could be made either. However, a tentative estimate of NEE_G may be made according to Eq. (2) adapted from Ammann et al. (2007) and Loubet et al. (2011), based on the measured changes in soil organic carbon over the time scale of the experiment and assumptions regarding other C fluxes into and out of the ecosystem:

$$NEE_G = C_{import} - \frac{\Delta SOC}{\Delta t} - C_{export} - C_{leaching} - F_{CH_4} \quad (2)$$

where C_{import} is the import of C on the outdoor by broiler excretion; $\frac{\Delta SOC}{\Delta t}$ the measured change in SOC with time (a positive value corresponding to a carbon sequestration in the

grassland); C_{export} the export of C out of the run; C_{leaching} the organic carbon leached out of the soil and $F_{\text{CH}_4, \text{a}}$ the annual methane flux measured in our study.

In this study, C_{export} is only due to the consumption of vegetation, soil or soil fauna by the broilers, as the grassland was not cut, and was estimated according to Eq. (3) in which the total C export for each batch is calculated as the difference between total C ingestion on the run and the part of this C excreted on the run.

$$C_{\text{export}} = n_{\text{out}} \cdot n_{\text{broilers}} \cdot [I_{\text{soil}} \cdot C_{\text{soil}} \cdot (1 - EI_{\text{soil}} \cdot r_{\text{out}}) + I_{\text{veg}} \cdot C_{\text{veg}} \cdot (1 - EI_{\text{veg}} \cdot r_{\text{out}}) + I_{\text{fauna}} \cdot DM_{\text{fauna}} \cdot C_{\text{fauna}} \cdot (1 - EI_{\text{fauna}} \cdot r_{\text{out}})] \quad (3)$$

with n_{out} the number of days with outdoor access; n_{broilers} the number of broilers; I_{soil} , I_{veg} and I_{fauna} are the daily intake of soil, vegetation and soil fauna per broiler (in kg dry matter (DM) for soil and vegetation, in kg fresh matter for fauna); C_{soil} , C_{veg} , C_{fauna} are the carbon content of soil, vegetation and fauna (in kg C per kg DM); DM_{fauna} the DM content of soil fauna (in %); EI_{soil} , EI_{veg} , EI_{fauna} are the Excretion/Intake ratio (in %) for soil, vegetation and fauna, respectively; r_{out} is the ratio of outdoor excretion to total excretion used previously to estimate N outdoor excretion. Values and references used for the estimation of C_{export} are given in Table S2.

Total ingestion of carbon from vegetation, soil and soil fauna was estimated about 2541 kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, which was equivalent to less than 10% of C ingested from feed in the house. This value is consistent with the values given by Rivera-Ferre et al. (2007) who estimated that consumption of vegetation by broilers represented less than 10% of energy and protein daily requirements. C_{export} was estimated at about 2111 kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for the year 2010 as shown in Table S3, with about 65% due to vegetation C, 34% to fauna C and less than 1% to soil C. C_{export} represented about 83% of total C ingestion from the run.

The other terms of Eq. (2) were more straightforward, and probably less uncertain. $\frac{\Delta \text{SOC}}{\Delta t}$ can be only be viewed as a short-term C change, but not necessarily as long-term C sequestration, and was estimated from measurements at 3765 kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Table S3). C_{import} was estimated from calculated N outdoor excretion assuming a C:N ratio in fresh droppings of 10 (Sasáková et al., 2010) and estimated to 3440 kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for the year 2010 (Table S3), which is of a comparable magnitude to $\frac{\Delta \text{SOC}}{\Delta t}$. C_{leaching} was estimated at 294 kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ according to the average value for a range of grazed grasslands given by Kindler et al. (2011). By difference between all these terms (Eq. 2), NEE_G would be a C sink of about -2730 kg CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Table S3).

R_{broilers} is an additional term needed for the calculation of the NGHGE, and can be estimated using the heat production of broilers, which is a function of live weight and air

temperature (CIGR, 2002). However, heat production of broilers (in W) has been studied with fast-growing strains in clausturation conditions (metabolic chambers) and this equation is probably not well adapted to slow-growing strains such as the one reared in our study because of differences in animal metabolism (slower growth rate). In order to take into account these differences of growth rate, heat production was weighted for each batch by the ratio between average daily gain (ADG in kg d^{-1}) of a fast-growing strain (0.05 kg d^{-1} i.e. about 2 kg in 42 d) and the ADG of the batch (about 0.02 kg d^{-1}). R_{broilers} was then calculated assuming that 0.185 m^3 of CO_2 are produced per hour per kW of total heat produced (CIGR, 2002). For 2010, R_{broilers} was estimated to about $1567 \text{ kg CO}_2\text{-C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ as shown in Table S3, roughly one third of R_{eco} .

The estimate of $\text{NEE}_{\text{G+B}}$ thus obtained ($-1163 \text{ kg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, i.e. $-4264 \text{ kg CO}_2 \text{ eq. ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) implies that the whole (soil+vegetation+broilers) ecosystem would still have been a net sink of CO_2 . This sink was offset by roughly one third by N_2O emissions, which represented a net emission of $+1722 \text{ kg CO}_2 \text{ eq. ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Table S3). The impact of CH_4 (about $-19 \text{ kg CO}_2 \text{ eq. ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, mean of the values estimated with two different interpolation methods) could be neglected, as CH_4 oxidation compensated only 1.1% of the N_2O forcing effect.

Overall, the NGHGE of $-2561 \text{ kg CO}_2 \text{ eq. ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ would suggest that the outdoor part of the rearing system behaves as a net sink of greenhouse gases, though uncertainties are very large and this would have to be confirmed by plot-scale measurements of all component terms of Eq. (2), including NEE by eddy covariance (which should include R_{broilers}) as well as DOC leaching. Furthermore, an estimate of $\text{CO}_2 \text{ eq.}$ emissions from the house should also be estimated in order to propose a full GHG budget of the rearing system (broiler house+run) (Meda, 2011).

No published data concerning GHG outdoor emissions from “grazing poultry” were found in the literature, but studies concerning grassland grazed by cattle (Allard et al., 2007; Gilmanov et al., 2007; Soussana et al., 2007) can serve as reference points. In these studies, grassland management practices concerns cutting, grazing and fertilization. In our study, the grassland was “grazed” but not cut and we consider that it was fertilized because nutrients excreted on the run are mainly due to droppings enriched by feed consumption, which is not part of the ecosystem. In grazed grassland studies, the measurement of NEE by eddy covariance is assumed to include both soil/vegetation as well as animal respiration, and constitutes therefore a reference for our estimated $\text{NEE}_{\text{G+B}}$. The average value of NEE in the afore-mentioned studies from the GREENGRASS project (2002–2004) is about $-8260 \text{ kg CO}_2 \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ whereas our estimate of $\text{NEE}_{\text{G+B}}$ ($-4263 \text{ kg CO}_2 \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) was 48% lower. This may be partly explained by a combined effect of lower GPP (lower leaf area index, drier conditions at Le Magneraud) and lower respiration values in our study (Table S3), compared to average values of 48 220 and 39 960 $\text{kg CO}_2 \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ respectively for GPP and

respiration calculated from Allard et al. (2007) and Gilmanov et al. (2007). Stocking density in our study was equivalent to 5.5 Livestock Unit (LU) ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ whereas for cattle studies stocking density was closer to 1 LU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Allard et al., 2007). The high stocking density was responsible for vegetation destruction in the first part of the run where broilers were frequently observed, and for trampling and soil compaction in that area. This may have led to a decrease in the capacity of the grassland to assimilate carbon and could explain the lower GPP value that we estimated. However, our estimation of NEE_{G+B} mostly relies on estimates of R_{broilers} and C_{export} with strong hypotheses, and this estimate should be treated with caution. In future experiments, NEE_{G+B} should be measured directly using eddy-covariance technique such as in the pasture studies (Allard et al., 2007; Gilmanov et al., 2007; Soussana et al., 2007), and ensuring that the flux footprint of the EC tower includes the flock of broilers.

References

- Allard, V., Soussana, J.-F., Falcimagne, R., P. Berbigier, P., Bonnefond, J.-M., Ceschia, E., D'hour, P., Hénault, C., Laville, P., Martin, C., and Pinarès-Patino, C.: The role of grazing management for the net biome productivity and greenhouse gas budget (CO₂, N₂O and CH₄) of semi-natural grassland, *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 121, 47-58, 2007.
- Amman, C., Flechard, C. R., Leifeld, J., Neftel, A., and Fuhrer, J.: The carbon budget of newly established temperate grassland depends on management intensity, *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 121, 5-20, 2007.
- CIGR: 4th Report of Working Group on Climatization of Animal Houses - Heat and moisture production at animal and house levels, 45 p., 2002.
- de Vries, M., Kwakkel, R. P., and Kijlstra, A.: Dioxins in organic eggs: a review, *Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences*, 54, 207-222, 2006.
- Devliegher, W., and Verstraete, W.: *Lumbricus terrestris* in a soil core experiment: Nutrient-enrichment processes (NEP) and their gut-associated processes (GAP) and their effect on microbial biomass and microbial activity, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 12, 1573-1580, 1995.
- Dutton, R. G., Jiao, J., Tsujita, M. J., and Grodzinski, B.: Whole plant CO₂ exchange measurements for nondestructive estimation of growth., *Plant Physiol.*, 86, 355-358, 1988.
- Germain, K., Niang, B. M., Juin, H., Jondreville, C., and Jurjanz, S.: Impact de l'ingestion de sol et de végétaux par le poulet de chair sur la valorisation énergétique de la ration, 9èmes Journées de la Recherche Avicole, Tours, France, 275-279, 2011.
- Gilmanov, T. G., Soussana, J. F., Aires, L., Allard, V., Ammann, C., Balzarolo, M., Barcza, Z., Bernhofer, C., Campbell, C. L., Cernusca, A., Cescatti, A., Clifton-Brown, J., Dirks, B. O. M., Dore, S., Eugster, W., Fuhrer, J., Gimeno, C., Gruenwald, T., Haszpra, L., Hensen, A., Ibrom, A., Jacobs, A. F. G., Jones, M. B., Lanigan, G., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., G.Manca, Marcolla, B., Nagy, Z., Pilegaard, K., Pinter, K., Pio, C., Raschi, A., Rogiers, N., Sanz, M. J., Stefani, P., Sutton, M., Tuba, Z., Valentini, R., Williams, M. L., and Wohlfahrt, G.: Partitioning European grassland net ecosystem CO₂ exchange into gross primary productivity and ecosystem respiration using light response function analysis, *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 121, 93-120, 2007.
- IPCC: Good practice guidance for land use, land-use change and forestry, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 632 p., 2003.
- IPCC: 2006 IPCC Guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories - Chapter 10: Emissions from livestock and manure management, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 87 p., 2006a.
- IPCC: Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 52 p., 2007a.
- Jurjanz, S., Germain, K., Juin, H., and Jondreville, C.: Ingestion de sol et de végétaux par le poulet de chair sur des parcours enherbés ou arborés, Neuvièmes Journées de la Recherche Avicole, Tours, France, 101-105, 2011.
- Kindler, R., Siemens, J. A. N., Kaiser, K., Walmsley, D. C., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Cellier, P., Eugster, W., Gleixner, G., GrÜnwald, T., Heim, A., Ibrom, A., Jones, S. K., Jones, M., Klumpp, K., Kutsch, W., Larsen, K. S., Lehuger, S., Loubet, B., McKenzie, R., Moors, E., Osborne, B.,

- Pilegaard, K. I. M., Reibmann, C., Saunders, M., Schmidt, M. W. I., Schrupf, M., Seyfferth, J., Skiba, U. T. E., Soussana, J.-F., Sutton, M. A., Tefs, C., Vowinckel, B., Zeeman, M. J., and Kaupenjohann, M.: Dissolved carbon leaching from soil is a crucial component of the net ecosystem carbon balance, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 17, 1167-1185, 2011.
- Loubet, B., Laville, P., Lehuger, S., Larmanou, E., Fléchar, C., Mascher, N., Genermont, S., Roche, R., Ferrara, R., Stella, P., Personne, E., Durand, B., Decuq, C., Flura, D., Masson, S., Fanucci, O., Rampon, J.-N., Siemens, J., Kindler, R., Gabrielle, B., Schrupf, M., and Cellier, P.: Carbon, nitrogen and Greenhouse gases budgets over a four years crop rotation in northern France, *Plant Soil*, 343, 109-137, 2011.
- Meda, B.: Une approche dynamique des flux d'éléments et d'énergie des ateliers de production avicole avec ou sans parcours: Conception et application du modèle MOLDAVI, Ph.D. thesis, Agrocampus Ouest, France, 238 pp., 2011.
- Reichstein, M., Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Papale, D., Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Gilmanov, T., Granier, A., Grünwald, T., Havránková, K., Ilvesniemi, H., Janous, D., Knohl, A., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Loustau, D., Matteucci, G., Meyers, T., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J.-M., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rotenberg, E., Sanz, M., Tenhunen, J., Seufert, G., Vaccari, F., Vesala, T., Yakir, D., and Valentini, R.: On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: review and improved algorithm, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 11, 1424-1439, 2005.
- Rivera-Ferre, M. G., Lantiga, E. A., and Kwakkel, R. P.: Herbage intake and use of outdoor area by organic broilers: effects of vegetation type and shelter addition, *Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences*, 54, 279-291, 2007.
- Sasáková, N., Venglovský, J., Papajová, I., Gregová, G., Lakticová, K., Ondrašovicová, O., and M., O.: Chemical changes in poultry manure during composting, 14th Ramiran International Conference, Lisbon, 2010.
- Soussana, J.-F., Allard, V., Pilegaard, K., Ambus, P., Amman, C., Campbell, C., Ceschia, E., Clifton-Brown, J., Czobel, S., Domingues, R., Flechar, C., Fuhrer, J., Hensen, A., Horvath, L., Jones, M., Kasper, G., Martin, C., Nagy, Z., Neftel, A., Raschi, A., Baronti, S., Rees, R. M., Skiba, U., Stefani, P., Manca, G., Sutton, M., Tuba, Z., and Valentini, R.: Full accounting of the greenhouse gas (CO₂, N₂O, CH₄) budget of nine European grassland sites, *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 121, 121-134, 2007.
- Woodwell, G. M., and Whittaker, R. H.: Primary production in terrestrial ecosystems, *Am. Zool.*, 8, 19-30, 1968.

Table S1. Temporal variations (between initial (March 2009) and final (December 2010) measurements) of C, N and P stocks in the topsoil (0-15 cm) layer, for the composite samples from 25 equally-sized (94 m²) sampling squares of the paddock.

| Soil sampling Square ID | Mean distance to house door (m) | C stock per sampling square | | | | N stock per sampling square | | | | P stock per sampling square | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | | Initial (kg) | Final (kg) | Variation (final-initial, kg) | Variation (g m ⁻² yr ⁻¹) | Initial (kg) | Final (kg) | Variation (final-initial, kg) | Variation (g m ⁻² yr ⁻¹) | Initial (kg) | Final (kg) | Variation (final-initial, kg) | Variation (g m ⁻² yr ⁻¹) |
| 1 | 19 | 349 | 340 | -9 | -56 | 35 | 33 | -1 | -9 | 4.25 | 6.30 | 2 | 12 |
| 2 | 11 | 352 | 371 | 19 | 113 | 31 | 36 | 4 | 27 | 4.04 | 7.76 | 4 | 23 |
| 3 | 5 | 301 | 380 | 79 | 480 | 32 | 37 | 6 | 36 | 4.09 | 10.18 | 6 | 37 |
| 4 | 11 | 339 | 366 | 28 | 167 | 36 | 36 | -1 | -3 | 4.82 | 7.22 | 2 | 15 |
| 5 | 19 | 341 | 458 | 117 | 710 | 37 | 44 | 7 | 43 | 4.14 | 6.90 | 3 | 17 |
| 6 | 24 | 300 | 345 | 45 | 273 | 31 | 34 | 2 | 15 | 3.74 | 5.61 | 2 | 11 |
| 7 | 18 | 298 | 327 | 29 | 176 | 31 | 31 | 1 | 3 | 4.93 | 5.50 | 1 | 3 |
| 8 | 15 | 317 | 383 | 66 | 403 | 34 | 38 | 4 | 25 | 7.90 | 11.04 | 3 | 19 |
| 9 | 18 | 372 | 437 | 64 | 391 | 37 | 43 | 6 | 36 | 7.31 | 9.89 | 3 | 16 |
| 10 | 24 | 374 | 382 | 8 | 46 | 38 | 37 | -1 | -4 | 4.00 | 5.55 | 2 | 9 |
| 11 | 31 | 288 | 381 | 94 | 569 | 30 | 36 | 6 | 37 | 3.13 | 4.07 | 1 | 6 |
| 12 | 27 | 332 | 414 | 82 | 497 | 34 | 39 | 5 | 30 | 4.43 | 5.41 | 1 | 6 |
| 13 | 25 | 318 | 416 | 98 | 595 | 34 | 40 | 6 | 34 | 5.62 | 6.68 | 1 | 6 |
| 14 | 27 | 346 | 415 | 69 | 418 | 38 | 41 | 3 | 17 | 5.66 | 5.65 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 31 | 358 | 459 | 101 | 611 | 39 | 45 | 6 | 37 | 3.71 | 5.31 | 2 | 10 |
| 16 | 40 | 279 | 377 | 98 | 594 | 28 | 35 | 7 | 41 | 2.44 | 2.74 | 0 | 2 |
| 17 | 36 | 293 | 329 | 37 | 222 | 30 | 31 | 1 | 7 | 2.84 | 3.09 | 0 | 2 |
| 18 | 35 | 304 | 383 | 79 | 480 | 31 | 37 | 6 | 34 | 2.88 | 3.67 | 1 | 5 |
| 19 | 36 | 322 | 392 | 70 | 423 | 33 | 37 | 4 | 26 | 3.01 | 3.46 | 0 | 3 |
| 20 | 40 | 382 | 407 | 25 | 150 | 36 | 40 | 4 | 27 | 3.17 | 3.90 | 1 | 4 |
| 21 | 49 | 298 | 341 | 43 | 259 | 29 | 33 | 4 | 23 | 2.10 | 2.04 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 46 | 262 | 363 | 101 | 611 | 27 | 35 | 9 | 52 | 2.37 | 2.97 | 1 | 4 |
| 23 | 45 | 321 | 388 | 67 | 408 | 34 | 38 | 4 | 23 | 3.02 | 3.44 | 0 | 3 |
| 24 | 46 | 321 | 391 | 69 | 421 | 34 | 40 | 7 | 40 | 2.95 | 3.56 | 1 | 4 |
| 25 | 49 | 338 | 412 | 74 | 452 | 37 | 41 | 4 | 25 | 3.45 | 3.67 | 0 | 1 |
| Sum (paddock) | | 8104 | 9655 | 1551 | 377 | 833 | 936 | 103 | 25 | 100 | 136 | 36 | 9 |

Table S2. Parameters used in the estimation of carbon export out of the outdoor run (C_{export}). See text for details.

| | Value | Source |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Daily soil intake I_{soil} (g DM d ⁻¹ broiler ⁻¹) | 0 – 1.6 ^a | Jurjanz <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
| Daily vegetation intake I_{veg} (g DM d ⁻¹ broiler ⁻¹) | 1.3 – 15.4 ^a | Jurjanz <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
| Daily soil fauna intake I_{fauna} (g d ⁻¹ broiler ⁻¹) | 20.0 | de Vries <i>et al.</i> , 2006 |
| Soil organic C content C_{soil} (g C kg ⁻¹ DM) | 31.0 | measured in this study |
| Vegetation C content C_{veg} (kg C kg ⁻¹ DM) | 0.4 | Dutton <i>et al.</i> , 1988 |
| Soil fauna C content C_{fauna} (kg C kg ⁻¹ DM) | 0.5 | IPCC, 2003 |
| Soil fauna DM content DM_{fauna} (%) | 19% | Devliegher and Verstraete, 1995 |
| Soil Excretion:Ingestion ratio EI_{soil} (%) | 100% ^b | Germain <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
| Vegetation Excretion:Ingestion ratio EI_{veg} (%) | 50% ^c | Germain <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
| Soil fauna Excretion:Ingestion ratio EI_{fauna} (%) | 25% ^d | - |

DM = Dry matter

^aValues were determined for different seasons.

^bAssuming that soil is not digestible (in agreement with Germain *et al.*, 2011).

^cAssuming that vegetation is rich in non digestible fibers (in agreement with Germain *et al.* 2011).

^dAssuming that fauna is highly digestible.

Table S3. An assessment of annual carbon budget, greenhouse gases fluxes and their net budget (NGHGE) in CO₂ equivalents (CO₂ eq.) for the outdoor run in 2010.

| C fluxes (kg C ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹) ^a | | | | CO ₂ fluxes (kg CO ₂ -C ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹) ^d | | | | GHG fluxes (kg CO ₂ eq. ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹) ^h | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| $\Delta\text{SOC}/\Delta t^b$ | C_{import}^c | C_{export}^c | C_{leaching}^c | NEE_G^e | R_{eco}^f | GPP_G^g | R_{broilers}^c | $F_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}^i$ | $F_{\text{CH}_4}^j$ | NEE_{G+B} | NGHGE ^k |
| 3765 | 3440 | 2111 | 294 | -2730 | 4351 ^c | -7081 ^f | 1567 | 1722 | -19 | -4264 | -2561 |

^a $\Delta\text{SOC}/\Delta t$, change in soil organic carbon with time; C_{import} , C imported on the outdoor by droppings; C_{export} , C exported by the consumption by broilers of soil, vegetation and soil fauna; C_{leaching} C losses by leaching.

^bMeasured.

^cEstimated (see text of Supplementary material for details).

^d NEE_G , net ecosystem exchange (includes soil and vegetation but not the broilers); R_{eco} , respiration of the soil+vegetation ecosystem; GPP_G , Gross Primary Production of the grassland; R_{broilers} , respiration of broilers on the outdoor run.

^eCalculated according to Eq. 2 (see text of Supplementary material for details).

^fMean of the 3 estimates given in Table 4 of main paper.

^gCalculated as the difference between NEE_G and R_{eco} .

^h $F_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$, F_{CH_4} , N₂O and CH₄ fluxes; NEE_{G+B} , net ecosystem exchange (defined as the sum of NEE_G and R_{broilers}); NGHGE, net greenhouse gas exchange.

ⁱCalculated as the mean of the 3 N₂O estimated fluxes (Table 4 of main paper) multiplied by its Global Warming Potential (298 kg CO₂ eq. per kg of N₂O).

^jCalculated as the mean of the 2 CH₄ estimated fluxes (Table 4 of main paper) multiplied by its Global Warming Potential (25 kg CO₂ eq. per kg of CH₄).

^kCalculated as the sum of $F_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$, F_{CH_4} and NEE_{G+B} in CO₂ equivalents (Eq. 1).