Dissolved and particulate reactive nitrogen in the Elbe River/NW Europe: a 2-yr N-isotope study

T. Schlarbaum1,2, K. Dähnke1,2, and K. Emeis2

1Helmholtz Zentrum Geesthacht, Institute of Coastal Research, Max-Planck-Str. 1, 21502 Geesthacht, Germany
2IfBM, University of Hamburg, Bundesstr. 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany

Received: 17 September 2010 – Published in Biogeosciences Discuss.: 19 October 2010
Revised: 28 October 2011 – Accepted: 14 November 2011 – Published: 1 December 2011

Abstract. Rivers collect and transport reactive nitrogen to coastal seas as nitrate, ammonium, dissolved organic nitrogen (DON), or particulate nitrogen. DON is an important component of reactive nitrogen in rivers and is suspected to contribute to coastal eutrophication, but little is known about seasonality of DON loads and turnover within rivers. We measured the concentrations and the isotope ratios δ15N of combined DON + NH4+ (δ15DON + NH4+), nitrate (δ15N – NO3−) and particulate nitrogen (δ15PN) in the non-tidal Elbe River (SE North Sea, NW Europe) over a period of 2 yr (June 2005 to December 2007) at monthly resolution. Combined DON + NH4+ concentrations ranged from 22 to 75 µM and comprised nearly 23% of total dissolved nitrogen in the Elbe River in annual mean; PN and nitrate concentrations ranged from 11 to 127 µM, and 33 to 422 µM, respectively. Combined PN and DON + NH4+ concentrations were, to a first approximation, inversely correlated to nitrate concentrations. δ15DON + NH4+, which varied between from 0.8‰ to 11.5‰, changed in parallel to δ15PN (range 6 to 10‰), and both were anti-correlated to δ15N – NO3− (range 6 to 23‰). Seasonal patterns of DON + NH4+ concentrations and δ15DON + NH4+ diverge from those expected from biological DON + NH4+ production in the river alone and suggest that the elution of organic fertilisers significantly affects the DON + NH4+ pool in the Elbe River.

1 Introduction

Dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) is a major contributor to total dissolved nitrogen (TDN, the sum of nitrate, nitrite, ammonium and DON) discharged from land to the coastal ocean. Meybeck (1993) estimated that nearly 70% of the nitrogen entering coastal regions via rivers is in the form of DON, and highest relative DON contributions characterize TDN loads of unpolluted rivers (Wieger et al., 2006). But although DON comprises a smaller fraction than nitrate of the total N load in many eutrophied rivers, anthropogenic sources may significantly increase the natural DON background (Agedah et al., 2009; Howarth, 2004) up to a point where anthropogenic sources exceed wetland-derived DON in low-N streams (Stanley and Maxted, 2008). DON was long thought to be an inert pool of heterogeneous composition that is not a relevant N-source for freshwater and estuarine ecosystems (Williams and Druffel, 1987), but recent work suggests that labile fractions of DON are selectively turned over in estuaries (Schlarbaum et al., 2010). When discharged to coastal seas, DON may substitute for dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) as a substrate for phytoplankton assimilation. A substantially larger fraction of DON is assimilated by marine than by freshwater bacterioplankton; the susceptibility of DON to mineralization by bacteria appears to increase with increasing salinity during transport from fresh to marine water (Stepanauskas et al., 1999a, b). In seasons when the inorganic N pools are exhausted DON may in particular promote harmful algal blooms (Bronk, 2002).

The lack of knowledge on DON sources and turnover in rivers, as well as the potential influence of coastal ecosystems near river discharge areas motivated our investigation into DON dynamics in the Elbe river, possible seasonality of DON discharge into the adjacent estuary and coastal sea, and use of isotopic indicators for origin, as well as for possible sources and sinks of DON in the river.

As a sequel to a study on combined DON and ammonium dynamics in the Elbe estuary (Schlarbaum et al., 2010), we here present a data set on concentrations and the 15N/14N composition of combined DON and ammonium (DON + NH4+), particulate nitrogen (PN), and nitrate (expressed as the δ value in ‰ = [(Rsample/Rstandard)−1] × 1000, R = 15N/14N in DON + NH4+, nitrate, or PN, and in the...
international standard atmospheric dinitrogen) of the Elbe River. The first objective of this study is to investigate the seasonal pattern of combined DON and ammonium in the river that drains an intensely farmed (70 % agriculture) catchment of 148 268 km$^2$ in central Europe, and in which policy measures, such as a ban on organic fertilizers from beginning of November to the end of January (DüV, 2009), potentially impose an external rhythm on possible allochtonous DON and ammonium sources. Secondly, we were interested in links between the DON and PN pools, which both may be products of phytoplankton assimilation of the dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) load, or – in the case of DON – may originate from dissimilation of PN within the river. The data set is of monthly resolution and permits us to assess seasonal variations depending on internal cycling or external inputs, and turnover of reactive N between different pools.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study site

The Elbe River is 1094 km long and one of the largest rivers in Germany discharging into the North Sea. The weir at Geesthacht (built 1957–1959 AD) at stream kilometer 585 is the only barrage along the Elbe River and separates a tidal estuary from the upstream river system (Fig. 1). The average fresh water discharge at the weir Geesthacht is 700 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$, and can rise to 4000 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$ during floods; nearly 25 million people live in the entire catchment area of 148 268 km$^2$ (Behrendt et al., 2004). The Elbe River is the largest nutrient source of the German Bight (Brockmann and Pfeiffer, 1990), which is severely affected by eutrophication (OSPAR, 2008). In 2007, the Elbe discharged 87 kt reactive N (85 % nitrate, 15 % DON + NH$_4^+$ + PN) into the estuary (Arge, 2008).

2.2 Sampling

From June 2005 to December 2007, monthly water samples were collected at the weir using a Ruttner sampler. Initially, samples were collected at two different water depths (0.5 m and 3 m), which was reduced to only 1 sample from 1–2 m after initial analyses showed no significant difference between the two depths. The river water was immediately filtered through precombusted GF/F filters and stored in PE bottles that had been soaked in acid overnight and rinsed with deionised water (DIW). Each bottle was rinsed with sample water before being filled. Water samples were frozen at −18°C until analysis. Filters were dried at 60–70°C and stored at 4°C before analyses.

2.3 Methods

Glassware was washed with deionised water (DIW), soaked in soap and 10 % HCl baths and washed again with DIW after each single step. All non-volumetric glassware was combusted at 450°C for at least 4 h, volumetric glassware and PE-bottles were washed with DIW, soaked in 10 % HCl bath overnight, washed again with DIW and dried at 50°C.

Concentration of total dissolved nitrogen (TDN) in DIW was determined using the “persulfate oxidation method” (Solórzano and Sharp, 1980; Koroleff, 1976; Knapp et al., 2005) (see below) with a blank of <1µmol l$^{-1}$.

2.3.1 Concentrations and $\delta^{15}$N analysis of Nitrate and Nitrite

Concentrations of nitrate and nitrite were measured with standard colorimetric techniques (Grasshoff et al., 1999) on an AutoAnalyzer 3 (Bran & Luebbe). Concentration of nitrite in the water samples was negligible (below 2 % of the nitrate concentration) at a detection limit of 0.05 µM. $\delta^{15}$N − NO$_3^-$ was analysed with the denitrifier method (Sigman et al., 2001; Casciotti et al., 2002). In brief, nitrate was quantitatively reduced to nitrous oxide (N$_2$O) by using a strain of denitrifier bacteria that lacks N$_2$O reductase activity. N$_2$O was automatically extracted, purified in a Gasbench (ThermoFinnigan) and analysed on a Finnigan Delta plus XP mass spectrometer. The sample size was adjusted to 20 nmol nitrate in each sample. The samples were referenced to injections of N$_2$O from a pure N$_2$O gas cylinder and then standardised using an internationally accepted nitrate isotopic reference material (IAEA-N3, $\delta^{15}$N = 4.7‰). We used an internal potassium nitrate standard for further validation of our results, which we measured with each batch of samples. The standard deviation for replicate analyses ($n = 4$) was ±0.2‰. The method also permits determination of $\delta^{18}$O − NO$_3^-$ (Sigman et al., 2001; Casciotti et al., 2002; Dähnke et al., 2008), which is referenced to IAEA-N3 with a $\delta^{18}$O − NO$_3^-$ of 22.7‰ versus Vienna Standard Mean
Concentration and $\delta^{15}$N analysis of TDN

To determine the TDN concentration and $\delta^{15}$TDN we used the method of Knapp et al. (2005), with small modifications as described in Schlarbaum et al. (2010). In brief, total dissolved nitrogen is oxidized to nitrate using the “persulfate oxidation method” (Solórzano and Sharp, 1980; Koroleff, 1976; Knapp et al., 2005). Concentration of TDN was determined as nitrate after oxidation by the method described above.

For the determination of $\delta^{15}$TDN, nitrate in oxidised samples and reagent blanks was converted to N$_2$O using the denitrifier method (Sigman et al., 2001; Casciotti et al., 2002) as described above.

Concentration and $\delta^{15}$N analysis of combined DON + NH$_4^+$

Concentration of combined DON + NH$_4^+$ was calculated by the difference between TDN and nitrate, because concentration of nitrite was consistently negligible.

For the $\delta^{15}$DON + NH$_4^+$ mass balance calculations were made using the measured nitrate concentrations and $\delta^{15}$N values of the oxidised sample, the reagent blank and the un-oxidised sample:

$$\delta^{15}\text{DON} + \text{NH}_4^+ = \delta^{15}\text{TDN} \cdot c(\text{TDN})/c(\text{DON} + \text{NH}_4^+)$$

$$- [\delta^{15}\text{N} - \text{NO}_3^- \cdot c(\text{NO}_3^-)$$

$$+ \delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{blank}} \cdot c(\text{Blank})]/c(\text{DON} + \text{NH}_4^+)$$

(1)

The combination of persulfate digestion and denitrifier method to measure $\delta^{15}$DON + NH$_4^+$ has been tested by oxidation of urea standard solutions with a concentration range of 10 to 400 µM. The $\delta^{15}$N of solid urea was measured by using a Flash EA 1112 elemental analyzer coupled to a Finnigan Delta plus XP mass spectrometer, yielding a $\delta^{15}$N value of 0.5 % ± 0.2 %. Measurements of the urea solutions after preparation as above yielded in $\delta^{15}$N values of 0.4 % ± 0.2 % after blank correction.

Repeated measurements of the same water sample demonstrated the reproducibility of the $\delta^{15}$N method for $\delta^{15}$DON + NH$_4^+$ analyses. The mean measured standard deviation of $\delta^{15}$TDN and $\delta^{15}$N – NO$_3^-$ was 0.2 % (3 to 4 repetitions). The mean standard deviation for TDN and nitrate concentration was 1 µM. Because of error propagation, the calculated standard deviation of $\delta^{15}$DON + NH$_4^+$ ranged from 0.1 to 2.8 % with a mean value of 1.2 %, and the calculated mean standard deviation for combined DON + NH$_4^+$ concentration was 2.2 µM.

The method does not separate DON and ammonium. However, in comparison with DON concentration, ammonium concentrations were near to or below detection limit (<2.9 µM) except for samples taken during winter seasons and in June 2007. During winter seasons, ammonium concentrations occasionally increased up to 34 µM (February 2006), equalling 50 % of the combined DON + NH$_4^+$ loads; in June 2007, ammonium accounted for approximately 10 % of combined DON + NH$_4^+$.

Concentration and $\delta^{15}$N analysis of PN

Particulate nitrogen was sampled by filtering the water samples through precombusted (6h, 450°C) and tared GF/F filters. After filtration the filters were dried at 60°C and stored dark at 4°C until analysed. The weight of particulate matter on the filters was determined, and C and N weight % were analysed by using a Flash EA 1112 elemental analyzer. The $\delta^{15}$PN was analysed with a Flash EA 1112 elemental analyzer coupled to a Finnigan Delta plus XP mass spectrometer. Results were standardised using the internationally accepted isotopic reference materials “High organic sediment standard OAS” (Cat. no. B2151, Batch no. 2824, $\delta^{15}$N = +4.4 % ± 0.19 %), “Low Organic Content Soil Standard OAS” (Cat. no. B2153, Batch no. 2822, $\delta^{15}$N = +6.7 % ± 0.15 %) and IAEA-N1 ($\delta^{15}$N = +0.4 %). The standard deviation for replicate analysis was 0.2 % (3 replicates).

Annual and seasonal loads and load-weighted isotopic values

For an estimate of the mass loads of DON + NH$_4^+$, TDN, PN, and nitrate and the average N-isotope composition of these compounds, we used our analytical data and the discharge of the respective concentration and weighted with the loads according to the formulas

$$\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{wml}} = \sum \delta^{15}\text{N}_i \cdot c_i \cdot \text{flow}_i / \sum c_i \cdot \text{flow}_i$$

(3)

$$\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{wml}} = \sum \delta^{18}\text{O}_i \cdot c_i \cdot \text{flow}_i / \sum c_i \cdot \text{flow}_i$$

(4)

where $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{wml}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{wml}}$ are the load-weighted annual isotopic values, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_i$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_i$ are the measured isotope values of individual samples, $c_i$ is the respective concentration, and flow$_i$ the discharge flow.
3 Results

The analytical results from sampling in the period June 2005 to December 2007 are plotted in Fig. 2. Water discharge for the sampling dates were plotted as bars and show an exceptional spring flood in April 2006. In the first 6 months of sampling, we determined only concentrations and \( \delta^{15}N \) of nitrate and DON + NH\(_4^+\); the last two years, measurements included also \( \delta^{18}O - NO_3^- \), and concentrations and \( \delta^{15}PN \) (from July 2006 to December 2007).

3.1 Nitrate concentrations and isotopic compositions

Throughout the entire sampling period covered in our current study, nitrate displays a clear seasonal trend in concentrations and isotopic composition. \( \delta^{15}N - NO_3^- \) and \( \delta^{18}O - NO_3^- \) were both enriched during summer months (maxima 23 \( \%_e \) and 12 \( \%_e \), respectively) and were both depleted during winter times (minima 6 \( \%_e \) and < 1 \( \%_e \), respectively) (Fig. 2a) and are anti-correlated \( (r^2 = 0.84, r = -0.92, \alpha \leq 0.01) \) (Table 1) to nitrate concentrations (min. 30 \( \mu M \) in summer seasons, max. 420 \( \mu M \) in winter seasons). \( \delta^{15}N - NO_3^- \) and \( \delta^{18}O - NO_3^- \) varied almost parallel and are strongly correlated \( (r^2 = 0.96, r = 0.98, \alpha \leq 0.01, \) Table 1). A plot of \( \delta^{18}O \) vs. \( \delta^{15}N \) shows that the isotopic values were higher in summer seasons (55.0–65.6 \( \mu M \)) while lower concentrations (20.2–41.4 \( \mu M \)) were detected in samples from winter and autumn seasons. PN thus shows a similar seasonal cycle as nitrate, but varies in a considerably smaller range. In contrast to nitrate, no significant anti-correlation between \( \delta^{15}PN \) and PN concentration was evident \( (r^2 = 0.14, r = -0.30, \alpha > 0.05, \) Table 1). \( \delta^{15}PN \) has a distinct seasonal pattern with one peak at times of biological production and another peak in winter. In comparison to \( \delta^{15}DON + NH_4^+ \), \( \delta^{15}PN \) is higher but closely tracks \( \delta^{15}DON + NH_4^+ \) (Fig. 4), with a correlation of \( r^2 = 0.53 \) \( (r = 0.73, \alpha \leq 0.01) \) between these two parameters (Fig. 5).

3.2 DON + NH\(_4^+\) concentrations and isotopic compositions

DON + NH\(_4^+\) concentrations also showed a distinct seasonality: concentrations varied between 22 \( \mu M \) and 76 \( \mu M \), \( \delta^{15}DON + NH_4^+ \) ranged from 1 \( \%_e \) in November 2006 to 12 \( \%_e \) in March 2006 (Fig. 2b). We found two distinct maxima in both concentration and \( \delta^{15}DON + NH_4^+ \) per year. The first maximum with higher values in both concentration and \( \delta^{15}DON + NH_4^+ \) appeared in winter months (from December to March), the second in summer; minima occurred during spring and autumn seasons. Unlike nitrate, \( \delta^{15}DON + NH_4^+ \) and DON + NH\(_4^+\) concentration are only loosely correlated \( (r^2 = 0.35, r = 0.59, \alpha \leq 0.01, \) Table 1).

3.3 DON + NH\(_4^+\)/TDN ratios

The DON + NH\(_4^+\) contribution to TDN differs through seasons (Table 2), with an annual average of (DON + NH\(_4^+\))/TDN of 23 \( \% \). The highest (DON + NH\(_4^+\))/TDN ratio occurred in August 2006 (57 \( \% \)), the lowest in March 2006 (8 \( \% \), Table 2). On average, the (DON + NH\(_4^+\))/TDN ratio in summer is about twice as high as in seasons with less biologic activity (33 \( \% \) versus 15 \( \% \) in winter, 18 \( \% \) in spring and 17 \( \% \) in autumn).

3.4 Particulate nitrogen

Concentrations of PN peaked in spring/summer, and \( \delta^{15}PN \) fluctuated in a small range of 6 to 10 \( \%_e \) (Fig. 2c). High concentrations of PN were found in spring and summer seasons (55.0–65.6 \( \mu M \)) while lower concentrations (20.2–41.4 \( \mu M \)) were detected in samples from winter and autumn seasons. PN thus shows a similar seasonal cycle as nitrate, but varies in a considerably smaller range. In contrast to nitrate, no significant anti-correlation between \( \delta^{15}PN \) and PN concentration was evident \( (r^2 = 0.14, r = -0.30, \alpha > 0.05, \) Table 1). \( \delta^{15}PN \) has a distinct seasonal pattern with one peak at times of biological production and another peak in winter. In comparison to \( \delta^{15}DON + NH_4^+ \), \( \delta^{15}PN \) is higher but closely tracks \( \delta^{15}DON + NH_4^+ \) (Fig. 4), with a correlation of \( r^2 = 0.53 \) \( (r = 0.73, \alpha \leq 0.01) \) between these two parameters (Fig. 5).

3.5 Loads and annual isotopic values

The annual loads transported in the Elbe River and discharged into the downstream estuary at the weir of Geesthacht are listed in Table 3. The annual TDN loads were 107 kt in 2006 and 72 kt in 2007. An unusual flood in April 2006 caused high discharge at high concentrations, and created the nearly 50 % difference in total dissolved nitrogen loads between the two years.

Excluding the anomalous flood data, a clear seasonal pattern of higher loads in winter seasons (October to March) emerges: in the case of nitrate, the winter load is more than three times the summer load, and for DON + NH\(_4^+\) the winter load is twice as high. In contrast, the PN load is relatively constant throughout the year.

Table 4 lists the results of load-weighted annual isotope values for DON + NH\(_4^+\), TDN, nitrate and PN in addition to seasonal load-weighted isotope values. The seasonal differences are partly obscured by the extraordinary spring flood in April 2006, but overall, dual nitrate isotope values were higher in summer \( (\delta^{15}N - NO_3^- : 8.1 \%e - 18.7 \%e; \delta^{18}O - NO_3^- : 1.6 \%e - 5.6 \%e) \) than in winter \( (\delta^{15}N - NO_3^- : 8.8 \%e - 9.5 \%e; \delta^{18}O - NO_3^- : 0.7 \%e - 1.1 \%e) \). If the spring flood data in 2006 are excluded from the calculation of load weighted seasonal means, the spring/summer signal for that year rises from the minimum value \( \delta^{15}N - NO_3^- = 8.1 \) to 11.0 \( \%_e \). For the particulate loads, \( \delta^{15}PN \) showed lower values in summer seasons \( (4.8 \%e - 7.1 \%e) \) than in winter seasons \( (8.2 \%e - 8.4 \%e) \).

Combined \( \delta^{15}DON + NH_4^+ \) values were also lower in summer \( (5.0 \%e - 5.9 \%e) \) than in winter \( (6.3 \%e - 7.5 \%e) \); the isotopic differences between summer and winter seasons were much smaller than for nitrate and PN. Because of the greater share of nitrate in TDN, \( \delta^{15}TDN \) followed the same trend as \( \delta^{15}N - NO_3^- \) with the higher values in summer seasons \( (10.3 \%e - 14.5 \%e) \) compared to winter seasons \( (8.6 \%e - 9.1 \%e) \).
Fig. 2. Concentration and isotopic values in the Elbe River at the weir of Geesthacht, June 2005–December 2007, bars represent the river discharge. Note the different scales of the y-axes. (a) Nitrate concentration, δ^{15}N − NO_3^− and δ^{18}O − NO_3^− in the Elbe River. Data from 2006 have been published in Johannsen et al. (2008). (b) combined DON + NH_4^+ concentration and δ^{15}DON + NH_4^+. (c) PN concentration and δ^{15}PN.
Table 1. Correlation coefficients of all measured parameters in the Elbe River at the weir of Geesthacht, June 2005–December 2007. \( r^2 \) in bold, underlined coefficients present a level of significance \( \alpha \leq 0.01 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( r^2/r )</th>
<th>( c(\text{NO}_3^-) )</th>
<th>( c(\text{TDN}) )</th>
<th>( c(\text{DON} + \text{NH}_4^+) )</th>
<th>( c(\text{PN}) )</th>
<th>( \delta^{15}\text{N} - \text{NO}_3^- )</th>
<th>( \delta^{18}\text{O} - \text{NO}_3^- )</th>
<th>( \delta^{15}\text{TDN} )</th>
<th>( \delta^{15}\text{DON} + \text{NH}_4^+ )</th>
<th>( \delta^{15}\text{PN} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( c(\text{TDN}) )</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>-0.674</td>
<td>-0.918</td>
<td>-0.897</td>
<td>-0.902</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c(\text{DON} + \text{NH}_4^+) )</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.547</td>
<td>-0.656</td>
<td>-0.907</td>
<td>-0.868</td>
<td>-0.898</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c(\text{PN}) )</td>
<td>0.454</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.745</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>-0.296</td>
<td>-0.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta^{15}\text{N} - \text{NO}_3^- )</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.822</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.555</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.964</td>
<td>-0.287</td>
<td>-0.487</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta^{18}\text{O} - \text{NO}_3^- )</td>
<td>0.805</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>-0.380</td>
<td>-0.496</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta^{15}\text{TDN} )</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>-0.275</td>
<td>-0.552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta^{15}\text{DON} + \text{NH}_4^+ )</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta^{15}\text{PN} )</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Annual and seasonal mean concentrations and mean isotope values of nitrate, TDN, DON + NH\(_4^+\) and PN, and annual and seasonal mean DON + NH\(_4^+\) ratio in the Elbe River at the weir of Geesthacht, June 2005–December 2007.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( c(\text{NO}_3^-) ) [( \mu \text{M} )]</th>
<th>( c(\text{TDN}) ) [( \mu \text{M} )]</th>
<th>( c(\text{DON} + \text{NH}_4^+) ) [( \mu \text{M} )]</th>
<th>( c(\text{PN}) ) [( \mu \text{M} )]</th>
<th>( \delta^{15}\text{N} - \text{NO}_3^- ) [%]</th>
<th>( \delta^{18}\text{O} - \text{NO}_3^- ) [%]</th>
<th>( \delta^{15}\text{TDN} ) [%]</th>
<th>( \delta^{15}\text{DON} + \text{NH}_4^+ ) [%]</th>
<th>( \delta^{15}\text{PN} ) [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>summer half-year (Apr–Sep)</td>
<td>112 ± 76</td>
<td>150 ± 78</td>
<td>38 ± 9</td>
<td>57 ± 32</td>
<td>29.1 ± 11.4</td>
<td>16.5 ± 4.1</td>
<td>6.5 ± 3.3</td>
<td>12.8 ± 2.3</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter half-year (Oct–Mar)</td>
<td>258 ± 82</td>
<td>303 ± 91</td>
<td>45 ± 15</td>
<td>33 ± 25</td>
<td>15.1 ± 3.6</td>
<td>10.1 ± 2.2</td>
<td>1.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>9.5 ± 1.5</td>
<td>6.2 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter (Dec–Feb)</td>
<td>295 ± 48</td>
<td>346 ± 56</td>
<td>51 ± 14</td>
<td>20 ± 6</td>
<td>14.7 ± 2.9</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spring (Mar–May)</td>
<td>261 ± 119</td>
<td>308 ± 125</td>
<td>47 ± 17</td>
<td>66 ± 32</td>
<td>18.0 ± 10.3</td>
<td>10.3 ± 4.1</td>
<td>3.0 ± 3.4</td>
<td>9.3 ± 2.4</td>
<td>5.9 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer (Jun–Aug)</td>
<td>84 ± 28</td>
<td>122 ± 28</td>
<td>38 ± 7</td>
<td>55 ± 33</td>
<td>32.6 ± 9.8</td>
<td>18.0 ± 2.7</td>
<td>7.6 ± 2.7</td>
<td>13.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>autumn (Sep–Nov)</td>
<td>168 ± 50</td>
<td>200 ± 51</td>
<td>32 ± 3</td>
<td>41 ± 30</td>
<td>16.9 ± 5.0</td>
<td>12.5 ± 2.9</td>
<td>3.5 ± 3.0</td>
<td>11.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>annual mean</td>
<td>177 ± 107</td>
<td>218 ± 113</td>
<td>41 ± 12</td>
<td>46 ± 30</td>
<td>22.9 ± 11.2</td>
<td>13.6 ± 4.6</td>
<td>4.1 ± 3.7</td>
<td>11.3 ± 2.6</td>
<td>5.4 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Discussion

Our results show the composition of total nitrogen and the isotopic composition of different reactive N sources in the Elbe River over a time period of more than 2 yr from June 2005 to December 2007. In the next section we will discuss the data for nitrate, combined DON + NH\(_4^+\) and PN under the aspects of seasonality and correlations between the measured parameters. We wanted to investigate whether (a) biological processes within the river or (b) external forcing influences the seasonal dynamics of dissolved nitrogen isotopes at Geesthacht weir. Furthermore we wanted to investigate the correlations of the different N pools (nitrate, DON + NH\(_4^+\) and PN) since these are subject to complex interactions. DON can be a product or source of phytoplankton assimilation; and DON + NH\(_4^+\) may also originate from dissimilation of PN within the river.

4.1 Nitrate

Nitrate concentrations were high in winter seasons and low in summer seasons and the isotopic composition of \( \delta^{15}\text{N} - \text{NO}_3^- \) and \( \delta^{18}\text{O} - \text{NO}_3^- \), had maxima during summer seasons and minima during winter seasons (Fig. 2a). Two parameters have a strong influence on nitrate load: discharge – which coincides with substantial elution of nitrate from arable land – and changes in biological activity in the water column. The importance of discharge is demonstrated in spring 2006, when the extraordinary spring flood led to high nitrate loads and unusually low nitrate isotope values (Fig. 2a), but further implications will be discussed below. The other important parameter, biological turnover, is known to be linked to significant isotopic fractionation, because organisms usually preferentially incorporate light isotopes (\(^{15}\text{N}, ^{16}\text{O}\)) and discriminate against heavy isotopes, leaving
Table 3. Annual and seasonal loads (in kt) of nitrate, TDN, DON + NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} and PN in the Elbe River at the weir of Geesthacht, June 2005–December 2007 (n.d. = not determined).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TDN Load [kt]</td>
<td>12.02</td>
<td>58.73</td>
<td>63.26</td>
<td>39.59</td>
<td>14.84</td>
<td>52.18</td>
<td>107.11</td>
<td>72.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} Load [kt]</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>50.35</td>
<td>53.55</td>
<td>32.97</td>
<td>10.97</td>
<td>45.44</td>
<td>91.19</td>
<td>59.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DON + NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} Load [kt]</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>15.92</td>
<td>12.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN Load [kt]</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Load weighted annual and seasonal mean isotope values of nitrate, TDN, DON + NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} and PN in the Elbe River at the weir of Geesthacht, June 2005–December 2007 (n.d. = not determined).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\delta^{15})TDN wml</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\delta^{15})N – NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} wml</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\delta^{18})O – NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} wml</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\delta^{15})DON + NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} wml</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\delta^{15})PN wml</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the residual pool subsequently enriched in heavier isotope species (Kendall, 1998). An exception is sedimentary denitrification: though probably important in the Elbe River, this process has no apparent isotope effect on water column nitrate (Brandes and Devol, 2002; Lehmann et al., 2003) and will thus not be addressed in this study.

One potentially important process with notable fractionation is nitrate assimilation: at higher temperatures in summer, increased phytoplankton productivity leads to an enrichment of heavy isotopes (\(^{15}\)N, respectively \(^{18}\)O) in the residual nitrate (Johannsen et al., 2008; Kendall, 1998). Granger et al. (2004) investigated the coupled nitrogen and oxygen isotope fractionation during nitrate assimilation by phytoplankton and found that assimilation should occur with equal fractionation factors of both elements, resulting in a slope of 1. Another process that equally raises \(\delta^{15}\)N – NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} and \(\delta^{18}\)O – NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} is water column denitrification, which strongly discriminates against the heavy isotopes, but this process is unlikely given the oxygen concentrations in the study area. We thus expect that assimilation will be the main driving force for isotopic fractionation at our study site. In Fig. 3 we plotted \(\delta^{15}\)N – NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} versus \(\delta^{18}\)O – NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} and obtain a slope of 0.81, which indeed points towards phytoplankton assimilation as one of the most important turnover pathways in the Elbe water column (Fig. 3). This is in agreement with data by Deutsch et al. (2009), who measured a ratio of 1.12 in the Elbe River in July 2005. In combination with increased concentrations of chlorophyll-\(a\) and particulate organic carbon they demonstrated that nitrate assimilation by phytoplankton plays a major role in nitrogen transformation processes in the Elbe River (Deutsch et al., 2009).

The difference in the determined slopes (0.8 in our study vs. 1.1 in the study by Deutsch et al., 2009) is noteworthy: in contrast to the cited work, we did not follow a Lagrangian sampling scheme, and external inputs of nitrate most likely play a role in the nitrate pool. Diffuse inputs of nitrate are dominated by groundwater (Ladwig, 2010), and denitrification in such environments fractionates differently for oxygen and nitrogen isotopes, following a slope of 0.5 (Böttcher et al., 1990). An impact of groundwater – related to infall and river discharge – would thus explain our slightly deviating results, suggesting that both processing in the river itself and in the aquifers can influence the isotopic composition of water column nitrate.

4.2 Particulate nitrogen

The relatively short sampling period complicates the assessment of seasonality beyond interannual variabilities, especially given the extraordinary spring flood in 2006. However, it is obvious that the concentration of particulate matter is rather uniform around 30 ± 10 µM throughout most of the
year (Table 2), but peaks in early to late summer, with concentrations of 77 to 126 µM (Table 2, Fig. 4).

The dominant features are (a) a parallel progression of the annual trends for Δ15DON + NH4+ and Δ15PN (Fig. 5) and (b) a correlation of PN dynamics with nitrate isotopes and concentration in the river (Fig. 2a, c): the high mean PN concentrations in spring and summer (65.6 µM and 55.0 µM, compared to 41.4 and 19.6 in autumn and winter, respectively Table 2) are accompanied by low Δ15PN values (7.5‰ in spring, 7.2‰ in summer) and, inversely correlated, low DIN concentration and high nitrate isotope values (cf. Fig. 2a, c). This pattern is most likely due to primary production feeding on inorganic nitrogen in active vegetation periods: such assimilation leads to an increase in the remaining nitrate pool as concentrations decrease. We note that the offset in isotope values of PN and nitrate is higher than the 5‰ usually associated with nitrate assimilation by phytoplankton (Granger, 2004), so that the PN is relatively light in comparison to the DIN pool. Regardless of this finding, nitrate isotope analyses point towards phytoplankton assimilation as a key mechanism (cf. Sect. 4.1). Moreover, supporting the role of phytoplankton, PN concentration peaks in spring (Fig. 2c), with concentrations of 80 µM and higher. These peaks coincide with a breakup of the otherwise strong correlation (r² = 0.71, Fig. 6) of PN concentration with Δ15PN (Fig. 6). This points to massive build-up of particulate matter during a phytoplankton bloom.

Furthermore, the similar curve progression of Δ15PN and Δ15DON + NH4+ indicates a relationship between the dissolved and the particulate matter fraction (Fig. 4), mirrored in the correlation coefficient of r² = 0.53 (α ≤ 0.01, Fig. 5). The lower Δ15DON + NH4+ compared to Δ15PN suggest that particulate matter is, at any time of year, a significant source of DON and/or ammonium: Phytoplankton cells are known to release DON, especially in their exponential growth phase (Wetz and Wheeler, 2007; Suratman et al., 2008), and mineralisation of particulate matter throughout the year is another possible source of DON + NH4+.

One peculiar feature in the Δ15PN progression over the course of the sampling period is a sharp decrease in isotope values just before the increase in PN concentration (Fig. 2c). Assimilation of low-Δ15N ammonium at the onset of the bloom in spring could be the reason for this decreasing Δ15PN, since ammonium is the preferred N source when abundant (Hadas et al., 2009). Later during the year, ammonium is rarely above the detection limit, and is thus obviously rapidly exhausted, leading to subsequent assimilation of DON and nitrate with a higher Δ15N value. This results in a Δ15PN increase over the course of the bloom (April to August, Fig. 2c).

In winter, the PN concentration decreases, while Δ15PN increases to values near those of nitrate (Fig. 2c). Most likely, this is due to breakdown of living cells in winter, and increased sediment resuspension due to increased flow rates. This suspended matter can be degraded in the sediments and have low N content, but high Δ15PN values around 8–10‰ – which is in accordance with Δ15N values measured in surface sediments in the Elbe estuary (Serna et al., 2010).

4.3 DON + NH4+

Like nitrate in the river water, the DON + NH4+ load of the Elbe River at the weir of Geesthacht is fed by both external and internal sources: the abi\textipa{c} external sources of
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DON $+\text{NH}_4^+$ include terrestrial runoff, such as DON and/or ammonium input by surface runoff, tributaries, groundwater (Valiela et al., 1990; Tobias et al., 2001) and the atmosphere (Cornell et al., 1995). These external sources are often dominated by discharge of sewage treatment plants, and elution of slurry and liquid manure from farmland. Internal cycling includes mineralization and uptake and/or release of DON by phytoplankton (Bronk, 1999; Wetz et al., 2008), and abiotic processes like sorption to particles (Cauwet, 2002).

During our observation period, we found seasonal differences in DON $+\text{NH}_4^+$ concentration and $\delta^{15}$DON $+\text{NH}_4^+$ (Fig. 2b). In contrast to nitrate dynamics, the annual DON $+\text{NH}_4^+$ cycle appears to be more differentiated and can be separated into four seasonal phases: a distinct peak of isotopic values and concentration in winter, followed by a parallel decrease (spring), a secondary maximum in summer, and then slightly decreasing DON $+\text{NH}_4^+$ concentration and isotopic values in autumn before the winter peak. At any time of year, DON isotopes are correlated to PN isotopes (Fig. 5), but the responsible mechanisms are apparently different ones over the course of the year and reflect changes in biological processing and PN composition over an annual cycle, as we describe in detail below.

### 4.3.1 Spring

After a peak in late winter, DON $+\text{NH}_4^+$ concentration decreases, along with a significant decrease in $\delta^{15}$DON $+\text{NH}_4^+$. In 2006, the signal is a bit more noisy due to the high spring flood, but still recognizable (Fig. 2b). A parallel decrease $\delta^{15}$DON $+\text{NH}_4^+$ and DON $+\text{NH}_4^+$ concentration is somewhat surprising, but an explanation might be the heterogeneous composition of this pool. Only parts of the heterogeneous DON pool in river water are bioavailable (Bronk et al., 2007; Seitzinger and Sanders, 1997; Seitzinger et al., 2002), and can be degraded by indigenous bacteria and/or free dissolved enzymes (Berman et al., 1999). In a study on fifty rivers draining a major part of the Baltic Sea watershed, Stepanauskas et al. (2002) found that the labile fraction of DON was composed of urea and dissolved combined amino acids (DCAA) with 4–20% of DON each, and <3% dissolved free amino acids (DFAA).

The proportion of this bioavailable DON varies by source and land use pattern in the catchment: up to 59% of DON from urban/suburban stormwater runoff and 30% from agriculture sources can be bioavailable (Seitzinger et al., 2002). This relatively high bioavailable portion may also apply to the industrialized Elbe catchment.

Furthermore, Seitzinger et al. (2002) observed highest uptake rates of DON originating from urban/suburban stormwater runoff and 30% from agriculture sources in spring times, so we hypothesize that this process is important in spring in the Elbe River, too: the decreasing $\delta^{15}$DON $+\text{NH}_4^+$ values observed in spring apparently reflect that uptake of the bioavailable fraction is complete to the point that we have no apparent isotope effect, and the low $\delta^{15}$DON $+\text{NH}_4^+$ value measured in the remaining pool is probably due to recalcitrant DON that remains in the water column.

### 4.3.2 Summer

In the second distinct phase in DON $+\text{NH}_4^+$ seasonal cycling (June to August) both concentration and $\delta^{15}$DON $+\text{NH}_4^+$ show a secondary peak, alongside with an increase in PN

---

**Fig. 5.** Relationship between $\delta^{15}$DON $+\text{NH}_4^+$ and $\delta^{15}$PN in the Elbe River at the weir of Geesthacht, June 2006–December 2007.

**Fig. 6.** Correlation of concentration and nitrogen isotope values of particulate matter in the Elbe River. Filled circles are not included in the regression, see text, Sect. 4.2, for details.
Table 5. Selected nutrient concentrations in the Elbe River at the weir of Geesthacht in the years 2005–2007, measured by the ARGE-Elbe (ARGE 2005, 2007a, b) (d.l. = detection limit, 2.8µM, 0.3µM and 0.7µM for ammonium, phosphate and nitrite, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>year</th>
<th>month</th>
<th>ammonium [µM]</th>
<th>o-phosphate [µM]</th>
<th>nitrite [µM]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

concentration (see Sect. 4.2 and Fig. 2b, c). In July 2005 Deutsch et al. (2009) measured low \( \delta^{15}N-NH_4^+ \) values of 2–3 %e in the Elbe River, so we assume that ammonium, even though above the detection limit at this time of year (Table 5), is not responsible for this increase, which should mainly be due to increasing \( \delta^{15}DON \).

Release of DON from PN can occur throughout the year, as is reflected in the close correlation between PN and DON isotopes (Fig. 5), but algae certainly play an important role in the growing season, exuding part of the ingested nutrients in form of dissolved organic matter (Larsson and Hagström, 1979; Collos et al., 1992). We interpret our data from summer, when concentration and \( \delta^{15}DON + NH_4^+ \) peak, as a reflection of a dynamic equilibrium of uptake and release of DON. The release of DON by isotopically heavier phytoplankton causes an increase in both concentration and isotope values of DON + NH_4^+. This is supported by the close correlation of \( \delta^{15}DON + NH_4^+ \) and \( \delta^{15}PN \) (Fig. 5), which suggests that \( ^{15}N \) depleted DON is released from particulate N. Phytoplankton, which is highly abundant at this time of year, is a likely source of this DON. This has also been observed in Lake Kinneret, Israel, where Hadas et al. (2009) found a similar relation between particulate organic matter (POM) and DON, and conclude that algal production is a major source for DON.

### 4.3.3 Autumn and winter

In autumn, from September to October, DON + NH_4^+ concentration and \( \delta^{15}DON + NH_4^+ \) decrease again, followed by parallel increases in winter months to higher values in both concentration and \( \delta^{15}DON + NH_4^+ \) than in summer.

In autumn, at the end of the biological production period, the DON + NH_4^+ concentration is decreasing, probably due to sinking algal DON production. The remaining DON is isotopically depleted, with isotope values again approaching those found in spring. It is possible that this again is caused by the signal of the remaining recalcitrant fraction, as we hypothesized for the pre-bloom situation in spring. The parallel decrease in concentration may be due to dilution by higher discharge at this time of year. Another possibility for the decrease in isotope and concentration values is interaction with suspended sediments: DON can be adsorbed to sinking particles and thus be removed from the dissolved phase (Cauwet, 2002). Generally, sediments can be a major sink of DON: in the Colne River (which has a TDN composition comparable to the Elbe River), Agedah et al. (2009) observed a similar decrease when \( ^{15}N \)-enriched DON is removed from the water column, but we cannot separate this influence from the decrease that can be caused by ceasing productivity in the water column.

The following increase of DON + NH_4^+ concentration and \( \delta^{15}DON + NH_4^+ \) in winter is likely due to the elution of organic fertilisers and remineralisation of terrestrial PN in the second main fertilisation period in autumn after the last harvest in October before the blocking period for manure application starts (from mid-November to mid-January, DüV, 2009). The use of organic fertilizers is wide-spread in the Elbe catchment (FFG-Elbe, 2009), and high discharge during this time can lead to a significant elution of these substances from the adjacent agricultural areas. Recent measurements of \( \delta^{15}N-NH_4^+ \) in January 2010 showed low values of 0–1 %e at concentrations of \( \sim 13 \) µM (Schlarbaum et al., unpublished data), so DON must be highly enriched in \( ^{15}N \) to obtain measured \( \delta^{15}DON + NH_4^+ \) values. Additionally, this elevated concentration in comparison to summer is thus also due to high ammonium concentrations in winter (10–30 µM, Table 5), before the onset of primary production or nitrification later during the year.

### 5 Summary and conclusions

In our study addressing inorganic and organic nitrogen in the Elbe River at the weir of Geesthacht, we measured both concentration and stable isotope signatures of nitrate, combined DON + NH_4^+ and PN. On an annual basis, nearly 23 % of TDN is in the form of DON + NH_4^+.

For nitrate the seasonal pattern has two periods with a contrasting development of concentration and dual nitrate isotopes, due to biological processes. We attribute this to nitrate
assimilation during biological activity, as is supported by the co-variance of $\delta^{15}\text{N} - \text{NO}_3$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O} - \text{NO}_3$.

In contrast, the seasonal cycling of combined DON + NH$_4^+$ is more complex and is influenced by many different factors, both biotic and abiotic. DON + NH$_4^+$ is apparently closely related to particulate matter, which apparently is a significant source of DON throughout the year, as indicated by the similar, almost parallel progression of $\delta^{15}\text{DON} + \text{NH}_4^+$ and $\delta^{15}\text{PN}$. The annual DON + NH$_4^+$ cycle can be separated into four periods, with two peaks of both concentration and $\delta^{15}\text{DON} + \text{NH}_4^+$ in summer and winter, and a lower values of both in spring and autumn. We assume that the elution or mineralization of $^{15}\text{N}$-enriched organic fertilizer, the harvest in autumn, has an important influence on DON in the Elbe River. In summer, the DON dynamics are governed by biological processing due to DON release by phytoplankton. The decrease in spring and autumn is on the one hand due to autotrophic and heterotrophic uptake (springtime) and on the other hand due to lower biological production in autumn. Our measurements suggest that the recalcitrant DON fraction in the Elbe River is isotopically depleted in $^{15}\text{N}$, compared to the reactive DON.
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