Air-sea CO₂ fluxes on the Bering Sea shelf

N. R. Bates¹, J. T. Mathis², and M. A. Jeffries³

¹Bermuda Institute of Ocean Sciences, Ferry Reach, Bermuda
²University of Alaska-Fairbanks, Fairbanks, USA
³University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada

Abstract. There have been few previous studies of surface seawater CO₂ partial pressure (\(p_{\text{CO}_2}\)) variability and air-sea CO₂ gas exchange rates for the Bering Sea shelf. In 2008, spring and summertime observations were collected in the Bering Sea shelf as part of the Bering Sea Ecological Study (BEST). Our results indicate that the Bering Sea shelf was close to neutral in terms of CO₂ sink-source status in springtime due to relatively small air-sea CO₂ gradients (i.e., \(\Delta p_{\text{CO}_2}\)) and sea-ice cover. However, by summertime, very low seawater \(p_{\text{CO}_2}\) values were observed and much of the Bering Sea shelf became strongly undersaturated with respect to atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. Thus the Bering Sea shelf transitions seasonally from mostly neutral conditions to a strong oceanic sink for atmospheric CO₂ particularly in the “green belt” region of the Bering Sea where there are high rates of phytoplankton primary production (PP) and net community production (NCP). Ocean biological processes dominate the seasonal drawdown of seawater \(p_{\text{CO}_2}\) for large areas of the Bering Sea shelf, with the effect partly countered by seasonal warming. In small areas of the Bering Sea shelf south of the Pribilof Islands and in the SE Bering Sea, seasonal warming is the dominant influence on seawater \(p_{\text{CO}_2}\), shifting localized areas of the shelf from minor/neutral CO₂ sink status to neutral/minor CO₂ source status, in contrast to much of the Bering Sea shelf. Overall, we compute that the Bering Sea shelf CO₂ sink in 2008 was \(157 \pm 35 \text{Tg C yr}^{-1}\) (\(\text{Tg} = 10^{12} \text{g C}\)) and thus a strong sink for CO₂.

1 Introduction

The Bering Sea shelf is one of the most productive marine ecosystems in the global ocean. Physical processes and seasonal sea-ice advance and retreat in the Bering Sea play a major role in controlling water mass properties and shaping the character of pelagic and benthic ecosystems found on the shelf. On the extensive continental shelf (Fig. 1), seasonally high rates of pelagic phytoplankton primary production (PP) supports large populations of marine mammals and seabirds, and coastal fisheries of Alaska. On the outer shelf of the Bering Sea, a region of elevated phytoplankton biomass termed the “green belt” has been observed in spring and summer for many decades (Hansell et al., 1989; Springer et al., 1996; Okkonen et al., 2004; Mathis et al., 2010). Extensive, but sporadic blooms of coccolithophores, which are CaCO₃-producing phytoplankton (class Prymnesiophyceae) have also been observed in the SE Bering Sea shelf (e.g., Stockwell et al., 2001; Broerse et al., 2003; Merico et al., 2004, 2006). In contrast to the shelf, the open ocean regions of the western Bering Sea is much less productive and has been described as a high nutrient, low chlorophyll (HNLC) region (Banse and English, 1999).

Over the last few decades, many studies have been conducted on the physical and biological character of the Bering Sea, but there have been few studies of the marine carbon cycle, air-sea CO₂ exchange rates or the potential impact of ocean acidification on the chemistry of shelf waters and ecosystems of the Bering Sea. In the open-ocean region of the Bering Sea, observations of seawater \(p_{\text{CO}_2}\) (i.e., partial pressure of CO₂) and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) have been collected close to the western Aleutian Islands (e.g., Murphy et al., 2001; Nedashkovskii and Sapozhnikov, 2001; Wong et al., 2002; Murata and Takazawa, 2002) or outside the Bering Sea in the subarctic gyre of the North Pacific.
Bering Sea acts as a net annual oceanic sink of CO\textsubscript{2} on the order of 200 Tg C yr\textsuperscript{-1} (Tg = 10\textsuperscript{12} g C; Chen et al., 2004) and thus a significant contributor (>10\%) to the annual global uptake of CO\textsubscript{2} (∼1.4 Pg yr\textsuperscript{-1}; Takahashi et al., 2009). However, the seawater pCO\textsubscript{2} datasets of Takahashi et al. (2002, 2009) suggest that the open-ocean Bering Sea exhibits seasonal changes from a CO\textsubscript{2} sink in springtime (due to phytoplankton primary production) to a CO\textsubscript{2} source to the atmosphere in summertime (Takahashi et al., 2002). But, important caveats to note are that the seawater pCO\textsubscript{2} climatology has a coarse spatial resolution of 4° × 5° and data was primarily collected from a relatively small open-ocean region of the Bering Sea (just north of the Aleutian Islands). Indeed, in the recent Takahashi et al. (2009) seawater pCO\textsubscript{2} climatology, only one cruise dataset across the Bering Sea shelf was deemed of sufficient quality to be included in the climatology.

Given the above uncertainties about the contribution of the Bering Sea shelf to the global ocean uptake of CO\textsubscript{2}, it is important to improve assessments of the rate of air-sea CO\textsubscript{2} exchange for this coastal sea. In this paper, we examine the seasonal variability of inorganic carbon for the Bering Sea shelf observed in 2008 and determine the magnitude and timing of ocean CO\textsubscript{2} sinks and sources. We compare our observations with average conditions using the seawater pCO\textsubscript{2} climatology of Takahashi et al. (2009) and a seawater pCO\textsubscript{2} climatology based on a multiple-linear regression (MLR) model. Such assessment also serve as a baseline for understanding the potential changes in ocean CO\textsubscript{2} sinks and sources in responses to changes in physical forcing (e.g., circulation and mixing; nutrient supply; sea-ice advance/retreat timing and sea-ice extent; summer heating/winter cooling) and marine ecosystems (e.g., the rate, extent, timing and community structure of the spring phytoplankton bloom; presence/absence of coccolithophores, Stockwell et al., 2001). In companion papers, the rate of net community production (NCP; Mathis et al., 2010) and the impact of ocean acidification on the seawater carbonate chemistry of the Bering Sea shelf (Mathis et al., 2011) have been reported.

2 Methods and materials

2.1 Physical and biological setting of the Bering Sea

The subpolar Bering Sea is a semi-enclosed basin (Fig. 1) with an extensive continental shelf in the east and deep open-ocean to the west. Physical processes and seasonal sea ice cover in the Bering Sea play a major role in controlling water mass properties and shaping the character of shelf pelagic and benthic ecosystems (e.g., McRoy and Goering, 1974; Wyllie-Echeverria and Ohtani, 1999; Stabeno et al., 2002; Grebmeier et al., 2006a, b). During the winter, sea-ice covers much of the Bering Sea shelf, but the advance is constrained by the presence of relatively warm water in the central and

Fig. 1. Bering Sea shelf location map. The approximate positions of the North Line, Middle Line, South Line, and Along Shelf Line transects are shown on the figure. Approximate locations of the Coastal, Middle and Outer domains of the shelf are also shown.
southern Bering Sea. During winter, water-masses are confined to a small range of temperature-salinity through vertical homogenization by ventilation, brine rejection and mixing. During the summertime, sea-ice retreats into the Chukchi Sea and Canada Basin of the Arctic Ocean. The extent of sea-ice cover and ecosystem structure undergoes significant interannual changes (e.g., Stabeno et al., 2001; Macklin et al., 2002; Hunt et al., 2002) that appear related to climatological changes in the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO), Arctic Oscillation (AO) and El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), as well as long-term reduction in sea-ice extent (e.g., Springer, 1998; Hollowed et al., 2001; Hunt et al., 2002; Rho and Whitledge, 2007) that is linked to amplification of warming in the Arctic and sub-Arctic with subsequent reductions in sea-ice extent and thickness (e.g., Serreze et al., 2007).

An extensive (>1000 km length) and broad (>500 km width) shelf, winter-time cross-shelf renewal of nutrients, vertical stability imposed by the flux of river runoff from the continent as well as by sea-ice formation and melt, and long hours of irradiance throughout the spring and summer, makes the Bering Sea shelf one of the most productive marine ecosystems in the world (e.g., Springer et al., 1996; Grebmeier et al., 2006a, b; Rho and Whitledge, 2007). Over the past decade, the character of the marine ecosystem in the Bering Sea has exhibited considerable change (e.g., Stabeno et al., 2001; Macklin et al., 2002; Hunt et al., 2002; Bond et al., 2003; Bond and Overland, 2005; Grebmeier et al., 2006b; 2008). Cold-water, Arctic species have been replaced by organisms more indicative of temperate zones and reduced sea-ice cover has been proposed to favor a ‘phytoplankton–zooplankton’ dominated ecosystem over the more typical “sea-ice algae–benthos” ecosystem indicative of Arctic Ocean shelves (including the northern Bering Sea shelf) in particular (Piepenburg, 2005). Large, sweeping populations of jellyfish have come and gone (Napp et al., 2002), and coccolithophorid blooms that had become regular features of the SE Bering Sea (e.g., Stockwell et al., 2001; Broerse et al., 2003; Merico et al., 2004, 2006) have also been absent over the last few years. Further changes in physical forcings to the Bering Sea (Stabeno et al., 2001) will likely lead to further dynamic changes in the marine ecosystem, with uncertain feedbacks to the marine carbon cycle.

2.2 Marine carbon cycle measurements and considerations

Physical and biogeochemical measurements (including marine carbon cycle observations) were collected in the Bering Sea shelf from the US Coast Guard Cutter Healy during two cruises in 2008 as part of the Bering Sea Ecological Study (BEST) program (http://www.eol.ucar.edu/projects/best/). During spring (27 March–6 May; HLY 08-02) and summer (July 3–31; HLY 08-03) cruises, 67 and 84 CTD-hydrocast stations were occupied across the Bering Sea shelf on three east to west transects (North Line, Middle Line and South Line) and one north-south transect (Fig. 1; Mathis et al., 2010). These transects sampled the shallow Coastal (<50 m deep); Middle (~50–100 m deep) and Outer (>100 m deep) domains of the Bering Sea shelf (Fig. 1). At each hydrocast station, conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) profiles were collected using Seabird SBE-911 sensors while seawater samples were collected from Niskin samplers at representative depths for a suite of biogeochemical measurements (i.e., dissolved oxygen, inorganic nutrients). Shipboard sea-ice observations were retrieved from field reports (http://www.eol.ucar.edu/projects/best/). Sea-ice cover was typically in the range of 90–100 % at most stations (with the exception of minor flaw leads; and south of the Pribilof Islands and SE Bering Sea) during the spring cruise while the summer cruise was sea-ice free.

Samples for seawater carbonate chemistry were taken at most CTD/hydrocast stations on both spring and summer cruises. DIC and TA samples were drawn from Niskin samplers into clean 0.3 dm$^3$ size Pyrex glass reagent bottles, using established gas sampling protocols (Bates et al., 1996a; Dickson et al., 2007). A headspace of <1 % of the bottle volume was left to allow for water expansion and all samples were poisoned with 200 µl of saturated HgCl$_2$ solution to prevent biological alteration, sealed and returned to UAF for analysis. DIC was measured by a gas extraction/coulometric technique (see Bates et al., 1996a, b for details), using a VINDTA 3C instrument (Mariana Co.) that controls the pipetting and extraction of seawater samples and a UIC CO$_2$ coulometer detector. The precision of DIC analyses of this system was typically better than 0.05 % (~1 µmoles kg$^{-1}$). TA was determined by potentiometric titration with HCl (see Bates et al., 1996a, b for details) using the VINDTA system. Seawater certified reference materials (CRM’s; prepared by A. G. Dickson, Scripps Institution of Oceanography; http://www.andrew.ucsd.edu) were analyzed to ensure that the accuracy of DIC and TA was within 0.1 % (~2 µmoles kg$^{-1}$).

The complete seawater carbonic acid system (i.e., CO$_2$, H$_2$CO$_3$, HCO$_3^-$, CO$_3^{2-}$, H$^+$) can be calculated from two of five measureable carbonate system parameters (i.e., DIC, TA, pCO$_2$, pH, and more recently CO$_3^{2-}$), along with temperature and salinity (Zeebe and Wolf-Gladrow, 2001; Dickson et al., 2007). The carbonic acid dissociation constants (pK$_1$ and pK$_2$) of Mehrbach et al. (1973), as refit by Dickson and Millero (1997), were used to calculate seawater pCO$_2$ and other carbonate parameters, using the equations of Zeebe and Wolf-Gladrow (2001). In addition, the CO$_2$ solubility equations of Weiss (1974), and dissociation constants for borate (Dickson, 1990), and phosphate (Dickson et al., 2007) were used. The calculation of seawater pCO$_2$ has an error of ~5–10 µatm depending on pK$_1$ and pK$_2$ used (i.e., Mehrbach et al., 1973, as refit by Dickson and Millero, 1997; Goyet and Poisson, 1989; Roy et al., 1993; Millero et al., 2006). These calculations were cross-checked with the CO2calc program (Robbins et al., 2010). The difference in calculated seawater
$pCO_2$ using different $pK_1$ and $pK_2$ was relatively small (<5 µatm) at temperatures less than 0°C (Bates, 2006), increasing to ~10–15 µatm in warmer waters (8–12°C). Overall, the mean difference in calculated seawater $pCO_2$ was small (<10 µatm) compared to the large range of seawater $pCO_2$ values (>200 µatm) observed across the Bering Sea shelf.

2.3 Calculation of air-sea $CO_2$ gas exchange rates

The net air-sea $CO_2$ flux ($F$) was determined by the following formula:

$$F = ks(\Delta pCO_2)$$

where $k$ is the transfer velocity, $s$ is the solubility of $CO_2$ and, $\Delta pCO_2$ is the difference between atmospheric and oceanic partial pressures of $CO_2$. The $\Delta pCO_2$, or air-sea $CO_2$ disequilibrium, sets the direction of $CO_2$ gas exchange while $k$ determines the rate of air-sea $CO_2$ transfer. Here, gas transfer velocity-wind speed relationships for long-term wind conditions based on a quadratic ($U^2$) dependency between wind speed and $k$ (i.e., Wanninkhof, 1992) were used to determine air-sea $CO_2$ fluxes:

$$k = 0.39 U_{10}^2 (Sc/660)^{0.5}$$

where $U_{10}$ is wind speed corrected to 10 m, and $Sc$ is the Schmidt number for $CO_2$. The Schmidt number was calculated using the equations of Wanninkhof (1992) and $s$ (solubility of $CO_2$ per unit volume of seawater) was calculated from the observed temperature and salinity using the equations of Weiss (1974). Estimates of net air-sea $CO_2$ flux rates for the Bering Sea shelf were made using two methods: (1) calculating net air-sea $CO_2$ flux rates at each hydrocast station using seawater $pCO_2$ and $\Delta pCO_2$ data, and: (2) using interpolation and extrapolation techniques, and a multiple linear regression (MLR) method to produce modelled maps of seawater $pCO_2$ and $\Delta pCO_2$ from which air-sea $CO_2$ flux rates were computed across the Bering Sea with a spatial resolution of 1° and temporal resolution of 1 month (Sect. 2.4).

Net air-sea $CO_2$ flux rates were computed at each hydrocast station using surface seawater observations and atmospheric $pCO_2$ data to compute $\Delta pCO_2$ values. Atmospheric $pCO_2$ data were determined using monthly-resolved latitudinal marine boundary layer atmospheric $CO_2$ distribution extrapolated to all longitudes. These values were obtained from GLOBALVIEW (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/globalview/; GLOBALVIEW-CO2, 2007; Fig. 2), and corrected for water vapor pressure. Although synoptic meteorological data (including windspeed) was collected from the USCGC Healy during the cruises (Fig. 3), this data was not used to calculate air-sea $CO_2$ flux rates. Instead, $\Delta pCO_2$ values and daily averaged 6-hourly wind speed data from the NCEP (National Centers for Environmental Prediction)/NCAR (National Center for Atmospheric Research) reanalysis 2 data assimilation model was used to calculate $k$ values (http://www.cdc.noaa.gov/cdc/data.ncep.html) and net air-sea $CO_2$ flux rates. NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis 2 (i.e. NNR) data was used rather than shipboard meteorological data reports in order to allow estimates of air-sea $CO_2$ fluxes across the Bering Sea to be made. The spatial resolution of the NNR data assimilation model windspeed dataset is 2.5° by 2.5°. In regions where 1°×1° $\Delta pCO_2$ values
Using MLR methods, interpolation of DIC and TA distributions from other hydrographic properties such as temperature, salinity, and inorganic nutrients has an uncertainty of \( \sim 5-15 \mu \text{moles kg}^{-1} \) when applied to data below the mixed layer (e.g., Goyet and Davis, 1997; Sabine et al., 1999; Sabine and Feely, 2001; Coatanoe et al., 2001; Key et al., 2004). In the mixed layer, the interpolation of DIC and TA has larger uncertainty due to seasonal variability. Here, a MLR-based model is used to interpolate DIC and TA data from observed hydrographic properties using observed seawater carbonate chemistry data collected in the Bering Sea shelf in 2008. Interpolated data were then extrapolated to the entire Bering Sea shelf using climatological hydrographic data from the World Ocean Atlas (WOA, 2005) that has a spatial resolution of \( 1^\circ \times 1^\circ \), vertically differentiated into 14 layers in the upper 500 m with a temporal resolution of 1 month. Climatological surface seawater \( p\text{CO}_2 \) maps for the Bering Sea shelf were then calculated from these DIC and TA fields (using the same approach outlined in Sects. 2.2 and 2.3). The seasonal and annual rates of air-sea \( \text{CO}_2 \) exchange were then compared with data-based seawater \( p\text{CO}_2 \) climatology maps (Takahashi et al., 2002, 2009). Given that our data collection during 2008 was shelf-based, we do not report seawater carbonate chemistry extrapolated to the western open-ocean Bering Sea.

### 2.4.1 Interpolation and extrapolation techniques

Several interpolation schemes were investigated using the Bering Sea shelf DIC and TA data including variables such as: temperature (\( T \)), salinity (\( S \)), oxygen anomaly (\( O_{\text{2a}} \)), nitrate (\( \text{NO}_3 \)), phosphate (\( \text{PO}_4 \)), silicate (\( \text{SiO}_4 \)), depth (\( z \)), latitude and longitude. The oxygen anomaly was defined as the dissolved oxygen minus the oxygen saturation where the saturation level was calculated from the bottle temperature and salinity data. Different combinations of parameters were tested in order to improve the quality of fit and to reduce the residual errors between the synthetic and measured data. The optimal fit was determined by examining the RMS error, the comparison of the synthetic versus measured data and the spatial pattern of the residuals. We found that the optimal interpolation with the lowest associated uncertainties for DIC and TA (generally for the 0–500 m depth) were a function of the following properties:

\[
\text{DIC} = a_1 + a_2 T + a_3 S + a_4 z + a_5 \text{lat} + a_6 \text{NO}_3 + a_7 \text{O}_{2a} + a_8 \text{SiO}_4 \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{TA} = b_1 + b_2 T + b_3 S + b_4 z + b_5 \text{lat} + b_6 \text{NO}_3 + b_7 \text{O}_{2a} + b_8 \text{SiO}_4 \quad (4)
\]

where \( a \) and \( b \) are the regression coefficients for DIC and TA, respectively. Inclusion of \( \text{PO}_4 \) or longitude did not improve the regressions. For 2008 spring BEST data, the best-fit MLR regression had an uncertainty of \( \sim 12.7 \text{ and } 8.4 \mu \text{moles kg}^{-1} \), for DIC and TA, respectively (Fig. 4). For summer data, the best-fit MLR regression had an uncertainty of \( \sim 27.9 \text{ and } 6.7 \mu \text{moles kg}^{-1} \) for DIC and TA, respectively (Fig. 4).

Overlapped the 2.5° by 2.5° NNR data, the average NNR windspeed data was used.

### 2.4 MLR based model considerations

Inorganic carbon observations of the global ocean typically have limited temporal and spatial coverage compared to other hydrographic properties such as temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen and inorganic nutrients. Due to data limitations, multiple linear regression (MLR) approaches have often been used to interpolate and extrapolate available inorganic carbon data to ocean basins and the global ocean (e.g., Goyet and Davis, 1997; Goyet et al., 2000; Lee, 2001; Lee et al., 2002; Bates et al., 2006a, b). Similar interpolation and extrapolation techniques have been used to yield global estimates of air-sea \( \text{CO}_2 \) exchange rates using available surface seawater \( p\text{CO}_2 \) observations (Takahashi et al., 2002; 2009). In this study, we compared our calculated seawater \( p\text{CO}_2 \) with the data-based seawater \( p\text{CO}_2 \) climatology of Takahashi et al. (2009) that has a spatial resolution of \( 4^\circ \times 5^\circ \). In addition, we also compared calculated seawater \( p\text{CO}_2 \) data with a MLR-based model, similar to other studies (e.g., Lee, 2001; Lee et al., 2002; Bates et al., 2006a, b), to produce a data-based seawater \( p\text{CO}_2 \) climatology map of the Bering Sea shelf with improved spatial resolution (i.e., \( 1^\circ \times 1^\circ \)).

![Fig. 4.](image-url)
MLR regression coefficients were then applied to the World Ocean Atlas 2005 (WOA2005) data for temperature (Locarnini et al., 2006), salinity (Antonov et al., 2006), oxygen (Garcia et al., 2006a) and inorganic nutrient (Garcia et al., 2006b) climatology datasets. This provides extrapolated MLR based maps of mean DIC and TA for the Bering Sea shelf that had a spatial resolution of 1° × 1° and temporal resolution of 1 month. The modelled MLR data has a vertical resolution of 14 layers in the upper 500 m and typically 4–10 layers (~30–200 m) for the Bering Sea shelf. Surface seawater pCO₂ fields for the Bering Sea were then calculated from MLR based model DIC and TA maps (using the same approach outlined in Sects. 2.2 and 2.3). An important consideration is that modeled MLR maps of DIC, TA and pCO₂ provide a climatological view of seawater carbonate chemistry conditions in the Bering Sea shelf rather than a model simulation of 2008 conditions. The MLR regression coefficients are based on observed DIC, TA and hydrographic data, but the MLR model extrapolation uses climatological, mean values of temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen and inorganic nutrients from the World Ocean Atlas (WOA2005) to compute climatologically based DIC and TA maps.

Errors in the MLR analysis arise from a combination of interpolation and extrapolation errors. Interpolation errors stemmed from the goodness-of-fit of the empirical linear function, where one standard deviation was used to quantify the error. Extrapolation errors arose from applying the regression coefficients to areas of the Bering Sea that have inadequate spatial coverage of data (i.e., open-ocean).

Net air-sea CO₂ flux rates were also computed using surface seawater pCO₂ and ΔpCO₂ maps derived from MLR

![Fig. 5. Surface seawater pCO₂ (calculated from DIC and TA) and ΔpCO₂ values observed at hydrocast stations in spring and summertime during the 2008 BEST program. (a) Calculated surface seawater pCO₂ during the 2008 spring BEST cruise; (b) Calculated seawater ΔpCO₂ station during the 2008 spring BEST cruise; (c) Calculated seawater pCO₂ during the 2008 summer BEST cruise; (d) Calculated seawater ΔpCO₂ during the 2008 summer BEST cruise.](image1)

![Fig. 6. Surface climatological maps of seawater carbonate properties and air-sea CO₂ flux determined using the MLR-based model. (a) springtime DIC (µmoles kg⁻¹); (b) summertime DIC (µmoles kg⁻¹); (c) springtime seawater pCO₂ (µatm); (d) summer seawater pCO₂ (µatm); (e) springtime air-sea CO₂ flux (mmoles m⁻² d⁻¹); (f) summertime air-sea CO₂ flux (mmoles m⁻² d⁻¹).](image2)
interpolation and extrapolation. Marine boundary layer atmospheric CO$_2$ distribution extrapolated to all longitudes were obtained from GLOBALVIEW (GLOBALVIEW-CO$_2$, 2007). Daily averaged 6-hourly NNR wind speed data was used to calculate $k$ values.

As mentioned earlier, there are many sources of error in an analysis such as this and how they are propagated through the analysis determines how large the error will be on the final air-sea CO$_2$ flux estimates. Quantifying the error of the interpolation comes directly from the regression models (RMS) where a Monte Carlo method was used to propagate the error from the DIC and TA fields creating a reliable error estimate for the seawater $p$CO$_2$ field. For each point, we calculated the $p$CO$_2$ a thousand times while randomizing the error at each DIC and TA node. Using an analysis such as this, the mean of the simulation should converge on the estimated $p$CO$_2$ field with a reliable error estimate without having to use a brute force method that would maximize the error. Because there was an error estimate for every estimated DIC and TA point from the MLR analysis, there is an associated unique $p$CO$_2$ error for every point as well. It was found that the average seawater $p$CO$_2$ error for the Bering Sea shelf was 15.2 µatm, relatively small compared to seasonal changes of seawater $p$CO$_2$.

3 Results

3.1 Seawater $p$CO$_2$ and $\Delta p$CO$_2$ variability on the Bering Sea shelf

3.1.1 Spring observations of seawater $p$CO$_2$ and $\Delta p$CO$_2$

Spring observations of surface (upper 10 m) seawater $p$CO$_2$ ranged from $\sim$180 µatm to $\sim$520 µatm across the Bering Sea shelf. $\Delta p$CO$_2$ values ranged from $\sim$−200 µatm to $\sim$+130 µatm (Fig. 5) with large spatial variability in the potential for uptake of atmospheric CO$_2$ or release of CO$_2$ from the ocean to the atmosphere. In those regions where the potential to uptake atmospheric CO$_2$ existed, the SE Bering Sea shelf exhibit the strongest air-sea CO$_2$ gradients with surface seawater $p$CO$_2$ values very low ($\sim$180–200 µatm) and $\Delta p$CO$_2$ values highly negative ($\sim$−200–180 µatm). Elsewhere across the Bering Sea shelf, particularly between Nunivak Island and the Pribilof Islands, and the outer shelf west of St. Matthew Island, seawater $p$CO$_2$ values typically ranged from $\sim$260–330 µatm and $\Delta p$CO$_2$ values were negative ($\sim$−130 to −55 µatm). For comparison, Chen (1993) observed wintertime $\Delta p$CO$_2$ values of $\sim$−50 to −70 µatm in the region west of St. Matthew Island ($\sim$58° N–62° N/174° W to 179° W) in 1983. In most other regions, seawater $p$CO$_2$ values from the spring 2008 BEST cruise were similar to atmospheric $p$CO$_2$ values. In the northern Bering Sea shelf, seawater $p$CO$_2$ values ranged from $\sim$340–520 µatm. $\Delta p$CO$_2$ values were positive close to Nunivak Island ($\sim$+60–80 µatm), just west of St. Matthew Island (up to +130 µatm), at the outermost shelf stations of the northern line (up to +90 µatm), and at a few deep (offshore) Bering Sea stations. As such, these surface waters had the potential to release CO$_2$ to the atmosphere.

In comparison to the observations, the MLR based model maps of surface seawater $p$CO$_2$ indicate that Bering Sea shelf waters typically ranged from $\sim$350–450 µatm ($\Delta p$CO$_2$ values of $\sim$−50 to +50 µatm) increasing from the inner shelf to the outer shelf and deep Bering Sea (Fig. 6). There were large differences evident between the 2008 spring (and summer) observations and the MLR based model maps. An explanation for the difference is that the MLR model maps, which are based on mean hydrographic values reported in the WOA2005 climatology rather than actual observed hydrography in the Bering Sea in 2008, simulate a “mean” state or climatology for seawater $p$CO$_2$ rather than a simulation of 2008 conditions driven by actual hydrography. Thus, calculated $p$CO$_2$ values were much lower in 2008 for springtime compared to MLR based Bering Sea shelf seawater $p$CO$_2$ that is based on a hydrographic climatology.

3.1.2 Summer observations of seawater $p$CO$_2$ and $\Delta p$CO$_2$

Summertime observations of surface seawater $p$CO$_2$ exhibited a greater range ($\sim$130 µatm to $\sim$640 µatm) than springtime with most of the Bering Sea shelf strongly undersaturated with respect to the atmosphere (Fig. 5). The lowest surface seawater $p$CO$_2$ values were observed in the “green belt” of the middle and outer shelf between the northern and southern Bering Sea shelf (between Nunivak Island and the Pribilof Islands). In this regions, $\Delta p$CO$_2$ values ranged from $\sim$−250 to −50 µatm and surface waters had a very strong potential to uptake atmospheric CO$_2$. In these areas, rates of NCP ranged from $\sim$22–35 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (Mathis et al., 2010). In the SE Bering Sea, in contrast to the “green belt” of the shelf, surface seawater $p$CO$_2$ had increased from springtime values of $\sim$180–200 µatm) µatm to $\sim$330–425 µatm reducing the driving force for uptake of atmospheric CO$_2$. At the innermost stations of the northern Bering Sea shelf and just south of the Pribilof Islands, surface seawater $p$CO$_2$ had high values (up to $\sim$670 µatm). Thus, in contrast with much of the shelf, these relatively small areas had a strong potential to release CO$_2$.

In comparison to the observations, the MLR based maps indicate that summertime Bering Sea shelf waters typically ranged from $\sim$200–300 µatm ($\Delta p$CO$_2$ values of $\sim$−150 to −100 µatm), increasing from the inner shelf to the outer shelf and deep Bering Sea (Fig. 6), and also in the SE Bering Sea shelf region. Calculated $p$CO$_2$ values were much lower in 2008 in summertime compared to the MLR based Bering Sea seawater $p$CO$_2$ climatology.
to neutral (between ~0 and −10 mmoles CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) particularly to the west of St. Matthew Island. There were localized areas of surface water that acted as potentially larger sinks of CO$_2$ (~170° W) with the strongest potential efflux closest to shore (i.e., Nunivak Island; Fig. 7). In these regions, an important caveat is that the presence of sea-ice forms a barrier to air-sea gas exchange. Given that the sea-ice % cover varied between ~90% and 100% and open water area was <10%, the actual air-sea CO$_2$ flux rates would likely be much smaller (<0–3 mmoles CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) similar to other studies of sea-ice covered Arctic Ocean waters (e.g., Bates, 2006; Bates and Mathis, 2009). We recognize however that some studies suggest that sea-ice allows gas exchange (Gosink et al., 1976; Semiletov et al., 2004; Delille et al., 2007; Nagurnyi, 2008; Miller et al., 2011) and so wintertime/springtime air-sea CO$_2$ flux rates may be higher during sea-ice covered conditions.

Over the southern Bering Sea shelf, where sea-ice cover was much less, surface waters between Nunavak Island and the Pribilof Islands had modest influx rates of ~10 to −25 mmoles CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. In comparison, our MLR based model climatological maps showed mostly low rates of air-sea CO$_2$ exchange (~10 to +10 mmoles CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) across much of the shelf regions, with higher efflux rates occurring in the outershelf (particularly north of St. Matthew Island as in observations), and also offshore (Fig. 6).

### 3.2.2 Summertime air-sea CO$_2$ fluxes

During the summertime cruise, sea-ice was absent and the Bering Sea shelf had transitioned from mostly neutral conditions to a strong oceanic sink for CO$_2$. In the northern Bering Sea, the rates of air-sea CO$_2$ flux rates varied from ~15 to −60 mmoles CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (Fig. 7). The exception to this general observation was a small region of CO$_2$ efflux at the innermost shelf station (up to +30 mmoles CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$). Previous studies have suggested that the nearshore waters were influenced by river runoff (Mathis et al., 2011) which in rivers draining the Arctic landmasses tend to have higher seawater $p$CO$_2$ values than the atmosphere (e.g., Salisbury et al., 2008). Along the shelf to the west of St. Matthew Island south to the Pribilof Islands in the region of the Bering Sea “green belt” and high rates of NCP (Mathis et al., 2010), air-sea CO$_2$ flux rates varied, mostly between ~15 and −60 mmoles CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ with some areas of higher influx (~120 mmoles CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) between Nunivak Island and the Pribilof Islands. However, close to the Pribilof Islands, air-sea CO$_2$ flux rates were much reduced (~5 to −25 mmoles CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$), but a region of CO$_2$ efflux was observed south of the Pribilof Islands (up to +60 mmoles CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$). In the SE Bering Sea, air-sea CO$_2$ flux rates were close to neutral with no net influx or efflux of CO$_2$. In comparison, our MLR model of climatological air-sea CO$_2$ flux showed that much of the Bering Sea shelf was typically a modest sink for CO$_2$ (with air-sea CO$_2$ fluxes...)

---

**Fig. 7.** Air-sea CO$_2$ flux values calculated at each CTD/hydrocast station in spring and summertime during the 2008 BEST program. (a) Estimated air-sea CO$_2$ flux (mmoles CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) during the 2008 spring BEST cruise; (b) Estimated air-sea CO$_2$ flux (mmoles CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) during the 2008 summer BEST cruise. Approximate sea-ice cover in shown in panel (a). The influence of sea-ice as a barrier to gas exchange is discussed in Sect. 4.2.1.
flux rates of $-10$ to $-30$ mmol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$). In similarity to observational based estimates, there was an air-sea CO$_2$ efflux offshore and at the nearshore stations (Fig. 6).

4 Discussion

4.1 Potential controls on seawater $p$CO$_2$ and air-sea CO$_2$ gas exchange across the Bering Sea

There are many physical and biological processes that can influence seawater $p$CO$_2$ and air-sea CO$_2$ gas exchange but the major factors include warming/cooling, the balance of evaporation and precipitation, vertical and horizontal mixing (including entrainment/detrainment; vertical diffusion, and advection), biological uptake/release of CO$_2$ and alkalinity (which are influenced by processes such as surface layer net pelagic phytoplankton primary production, respiration and calcification, export of organic carbon from the surface, subsurface remineralization, and in a systems framework by the balance of net autotrophy versus heterotrophy; Ducklow and McAllister, 2005), and the process of air-sea gas exchange itself. In nearshore and shallow coastal seas, the contributions of river runoff and sedimentary uptake/release of CO$_2$ and alkalinity (e.g., Thomas et al., 2009) have more importance. In seasonally sea-ice covered waters, sea-ice can act as a barrier to gas exchange (note that there is significant disagreement on this process, Gosink et al., 1976; Semiletov et al., 2004; Delille et al., 2007), carbon export can be facilitated via brine rejection during sea-ice formation (e.g., Omar et al., 2004) and sea-ice biota can significantly modify surface inorganic carbon properties. Here, we examine the effect of temperature change and ocean biology on seawater $p$CO$_2$ and air-sea CO$_2$ gas exchange rates on the Bering Sea shelf following a simple empirical analysis similar to methods used to determine the primary controls on temporal and spatial variability of global ocean $p$CO$_2$ (Takahashi et al., 2002, 2009).

As shown in other studies, the Bering Sea shelf exhibits seasonal spring to summer warming of up to 10°C. In order to remove the temperature effect from the calculated $p$CO$_2$, seawater $p$CO$_2$ values are normalized to a constant temperature of 0°C using the equation (Takahashi et al., 2002):

$$ pCO_2 \text{ at } 0°C = pCO_2^{obs} \times \exp\left[(0.0423(T^{obs} - 0°C))\right] $$

where $T^{obs}$ is the observed temperature. This normalization procedure accounts for the thermodynamic effect of warming/cooling on seawater $p$CO$_2$ which has been experimentally determined at about 4.23% change in $p$CO$_2$ per °C change (Takahashi et al., 1993).

Seawater $p$CO$_2$ temperature normalization can be used to assess the impact of temperature and ocean biology on the seasonal changes in $p$CO$_2$ observed over the Bering Sea shelf between spring and summer. For regional interpretation, we compute the mean calculated seawater $p$CO$_2$ difference between spring and summer ($\delta pCO_2^{spring-summer}$) and change in $p$CO$_2$ due to spring-summer changes in temperature (i.e., $\delta pCO_2^{temperature}$ computed using Eq. 6) for five different regions of the Bering Sea shelf, including: (1) the North Line; (2) the Middle Line; (3) between Nunavik Island and Pribilof Islands, (4) south of the Pribilof Islands; and; (5) SE Bering Sea shelf. The unknown term, $\delta pCO_2^{biology}$, which is the change in seawater $p$CO$_2$ due to spring-summer changes in ocean biology, is solved from the following equation:

$$ \delta pCO_2^{spring-summer} = \delta pCO_2^{temperature} + \delta pCO_2^{biology} $$

This approach follows the empirically based method of Takahashi et al. (2002) in which the term $\delta pCO_2^{biology}$ approximates the “net biology” effect or the net balance of photosynthesis and respiration or net community production (NCP).

For the Bering Sea shelf, the “net biology” term largely reflects NCP. However, an important caveat is that the “net biology” term also includes minor contributions from alkalinity changes due to CaCO$_3$ production/dissolution and nitrate utilization, and vertical/horizontal contributions from mixing with subsurface waters or offshore waters, and air-sea CO$_2$ gas exchange. In their estimates of Bering Sea shelf NCP rates, Mathis et al. (2010) calculated that CaCO$_3$ production, and vertical diffusion contributed $\sim 1.5\%$, and 2.5–4.5% to estimates of NCP from DIC changes. Salinity changes as
a result of evaporation and precipitation also have very minor impact on seawater $pCO_2$ since DIC and total alkalinity are changed in equal proportion. Sea-ice melt and river runoff were also considered very minor contributors to the “net biology” term across most of the shelf with only the nearshore areas with salinities lower than 30 showing evidence of minor contributions from river runoff and sea-ice melt (Mathis et al., 2011). In addition, the contribution of advection to the “net biology” term is likely minor given that the water residence time of the outer shelf is 3 months with longer residence times in the middle and inner domains of the Bering Sea shelf (Coachman, 1986). In summary, the inherently simple construct of this approach allows a general view of how seasonal warming or “net biology” (i.e., NCP) influences the $CO_2$ sink or source status of the Bering Sea shelf. As in the Takahashi et al. (2002) approach, we assess whether the effects of seasonal temperature changes on seawater $pCO_2$ exceeds the biological effect (e.g., as in the North Atlantic Ocean subtropical gyre) or the opposite (i.e., “net biology” > temperature; e.g., Ross Sea).
4.1.1 Northern and central Bering Sea shelf

Over the northern and central Bering Sea shelf, there was a seasonal (i.e., spring to summer) drawdown of surface seawater $pCO_2$ of $\sim$100 µatm (Figs. 8 and 9). In the North Line, mean surface seawater $pCO_2$ declined seasonally from 387.5 ± 85.2 µatm to 283.8 ± 123.5 µatm. Similarly in the Middle Line and between Nunivak Island and the Pribilof Islands, mean surface seawater $pCO_2$ declined seasonally from 367.4 ± 56.2 µatm to 219.0 ± 58.0 µatm, and 329.0 ± 35.9 µatm to 270.8 ± 108 µatm, respectively. In comparison to seawater $pCO_2$ changes, temperature corrected seawater $pCO_2$ decreased seasonally by $\sim$200 µatm (Figs. 8 and 9), and up to 300 µatm lower within the “green belt” area by the summertime. Thus, in these regions, the “net biology” effect strongly dominated the seasonal change in surface seawater $pCO_2$ compared to warming (Fig. 10). The 2008 BEST data indicates that the “net biology” effect seasonally decreases seawater $pCO_2$ by $\sim$150 to $\sim$230 µatm (Fig. 10) with this drawdown only partially compensated for by an increase in seawater $pCO_2$ due to seasonal warming. For comparison, the Takahashi et al. (2002) climatology suggests that the seasonal drawdown of seawater $pCO_2$ associated with “net biology” effects is $\sim$130–170 µatm. Furthermore, Mathis et al. (2010) show that large seasonal drawdown of DIC results from high rates of NCP particularly within the “green belt” area of the Bering Sea shelf. Analysis of seasonal changes in DIC, nitrate and silicate indicated mean Bering Sea shelf NCP rates that ranged from $\sim$20 to 55 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (Mathis et al., 2010, their Table 4). Within the “green belt” area, NCP rates computed from DIC changes ranged from 25 to 47 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (their Table 3). Given typical mixed layer depth of 30 m and growing season of 80–120 days, the above NCP rates would decrease seawater $pCO_2$ by between $\sim$100 to $\sim$210 µatm similar to our values reported for the “net biology” effect. Our data provides further evidence that “net biology” (which is a near approximate of NCP) dominates the seasonal drawdown of seawater $pCO_2$ for large areas of the Bering Sea shelf. Indeed, seasonal “net biology” effects or spring-summer NCP shifts much of the Bering Sea shelf from a neutral CO$_2$ sink/source status in spring to a strong sink for CO$_2$ by summertime. The vertical export of organic carbon (as a result of high rates of NCP) and it’s remineralization back to CO$_2$ in the subsurface appears to result in a buildup of $pCO_2$ in the subsurface (Mathis et al., 2011). Late season mixing and water-column homogenization thus likely restores the Bering Sea shelf to near neutral CO$_2$ sink status in the fall before the wintertime return of sea-ice provides a barrier to further CO$_2$ gas exchange.

4.1.2 Southern Bering Sea shelf

In contrast to the northern and central Bering Sea shelf, warming appears to dominate the seasonal changes of seawater $pCO_2$ for small areas south of the Pribilof Islands and in the SE Bering Sea shelf region. In these two regions, mean surface seawater $pCO_2$ increased seasonally from 297.1 ± 33.0 µatm to 338.2 ± 137.8 µatm, and from 293.0 ± 55.2 µatm to 324.9 ± 63.2 µatm, respectively. In these two regions, temperature corrected seawater $pCO_2$ decreased seasonally by less than $\sim$50 µatm (Fig. 9). South of the Pribilof Islands, the seasonal “net biology” effect of $\sim$50 µatm was about half of the increase of seawater $pCO_2$ caused by warming (Fig. 10). In these regions, Mathis et al. (2010) compute relatively low rates of NCP of $\sim$12 to 30 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. In the SE Bering Sea shelf region, there was no seasonal “net biology” effect, and the increase in seawater $pCO_2$ was due to warming only. Surface nitrate data in the SE Bering Sea shelf region were typically below 1 µmol kg$^{-1}$ for both spring and summer cruises. This suggests that the typical “spring” phytoplankton bloom observed in the SE Bering Sea shelf had occurred earlier than the spring cruise, and that ocean biology had minimal impact on seawater $pCO_2$ and air-sea CO$_2$ fluxes in the spring-summer period. This region also exhibits episodic coccolithophore blooms (e.g., Merico et al., 2004, 2006) that can increase seawater $pCO_2$ (e.g., Bates et al., 1996b; Harley et al., 2010). Previous studies in the SE Bering Sea have shown some evidence for drawdown of alkalinity and increase in seawater $pCO_2$ in late summer of 2000 in response to coccolithophore blooms (Murata and Takizawa, 2002; Murata, 2006). However, there was no evidence in the BEST alkalinity (TA) or calculated seawater $pCO_2$ data for significant impact of coccolithophore blooms in 2008. In all regions, there were no statistically significant changes in salinity normalized TA (i.e., here defined as $nTA+NO_3$) to account for the contribution of nitrate to alkalinity; Brewer and Goldman, 1978) from spring to summer (Fig. 11). $nTA+NO_3$ were slightly lower south of the Pribilof Islands and in the SE Bering Sea shelf compared to other regions which suggests that if coccolithophores contributed significantly to the “spring” phytoplankton bloom, this occurred earlier than the spring cruise. In summary, in contrast to most of the Bering Sea shelf, seasonal warming shifted localized areas of the shelf from minor/neutral CO$_2$ sink status to neutral/minor CO$_2$ source status.

4.2 2008 BEST data in context of seasonal changes in seawater $pCO_2$ and annual air-sea CO$_2$ fluxes

On the Bering Sea shelf, previous studies have shown intrinsically carbon data distributions (e.g., Park et al., 1974; Codispoti et al., 1982, 1986; Mathis et al., 2010) but there is very limited data on seawater $pCO_2$ (Kelley and Hood, 1971; Chen, 1993). Several studies report northern Bering Sea shelf seawater $pCO_2$ but these data were collected north of St. Lawrence Island (Murata, 2006; Chen and Gao, 2007) outside the domain of our study. The Takahashi et al. (2009) seawater $pCO_2$ climatology shows a seasonal change of $\sim$50–80 µatm for the Bering Sea shelf with a spring-summer
drawdown (Fig. 12) that causes the shelf to act as a CO₂ sink (−1.2 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹). However, an important caveat is that the seawater pCO₂ climatology is based on very limited data (1 cruise), and thus it is difficult to draw firm conclusions about the seasonal and annual CO₂ sink-source status of the Bering Sea shelf.

The Takahashi et al. (2009) seawater pCO₂ climatology has four 4° × 5° areas that overlie the Bering Sea shelf (Fig. 12). For July, average ΔpCO₂ values range from −20.8 to −44.7 µatm for these four. In contrast, the 2008 BEST summer mean ΔpCO₂ values ranged from −110.8 µatm to −170.4 µatm for the same areas, indicating that the shelf was much more strongly undersaturated than the seawater pCO₂ climatology suggests. As a consequence, the mean July air-to-sea CO₂ fluxes calculated here were about 5 times higher (−16.3 to −24.2 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) than the Takahashi et al. (2009) seawater pCO₂ climatology.

Early model studies of Walsh and Dieterle (1994), using data collected by Codispoti et al. (1986), indicated that the annual CO₂ sink was −4.3 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Table 1). Based on the air-sea CO₂ flux rate reported by Walsh and Dieterle (1994) and surface area for the Bering Sea shelf, we calculated that the net annual CO₂ sink for the Bering Sea shelf was −3.4 Tg C yr⁻¹ (Table 1). In this and subsequent calculations, we assumed that the surface area of the Bering Sea shelf was ~500 000 km², that sea-ice free, open water conditions were typically present for 180 days a year, and that there was not significant gas exchange during sea-ice cover. More...

Fig. 12. Comparison of Takahashi et al. (2009) surface seawater pCO₂ and temperature corrected seawater pCO₂ climatology for the Bering Sea shelf with observations from the 2008 BEST spring and summer cruises. The Takahashi et al. (2009) data have a spatial resolution of 4° × 5° and monthly resolution. 2008 BEST spring and summer are shown as closed symbols (mean and 1 std deviation); (b) surface temperature corrected seawater pCO₂ for the 58° N–62° N/170° W–175° W (green symbols) areas. In each of the panels, Tahahashi et al. (2009) climatology data are shown by open symbols while 2008 BEST spring and summer are shown as closed symbols (mean and 1 std deviation); (c) surface temperature corrected seawater pCO₂ for the 54° N–58° N/165° W–170° W (green symbols) areas; and (d) surface temperature corrected seawater pCO₂ for the 54° N–58° N/165° W–170° W (green symbols) areas. For the temperature corrected seawater pCO₂ datasets, both Takahashi et al. (2009) and 2008 BEST spring and summer data were corrected to 0°C using the empirical relationships of Takahashi et al. (2002).
recently, Chen and Borges (2009) summarized coastal air-sea CO$_2$ fluxes, reporting springtime and summertime fluxes of $\sim -1.2$ mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (Nedashkovskii and Sapozhnikov, 2001) and 0.66 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (Codispoti et al., 1986), respectively. If we scale up these flux rates, accounting for Bering Sea surface area and period of open water conditions, we estimate a net annual CO$_2$ sink of $\sim 11$ Tg C yr$^{-1}$. Similarly, scaling our observations and the climatology of Takahashi et al. (2009), we compute that the Bering Sea shelf CO$_2$ sink was $157 \pm 35$ Tg C yr$^{-1}$ and $\sim 37$ Tg C yr$^{-1}$, respectively (Table 1). The primary difference between the 2008 BEST datasets and Takahashi et al. (2009) seawater CO$_2$ climatology relates to the much larger undersaturation observed in surface waters during summertime in 2008 (Fig. 12). Our annual estimate of $157 \pm 35$ Tg C yr$^{-1}$, compares to the estimates for the entire Bering Sea of 200 Tg C yr$^{-1}$ previously reported by Chen et al. (2004). In comparison, annual rates of NCP or PP have been estimated at $99 \pm 29$ Tg C yr$^{-1}$ (Mathis et al., 2010) and 102 Tg C yr$^{-1}$, respectively (Springer, 1986). Thus the gas exchange term appears to be $\sim 50\%$ higher than the NCP term, at least for 2008.

Table 1. Estimates of the annual air-sea CO$_2$ flux on the Bering Sea shelf, assuming 500,000 km$^2$ of shelf area, and 180 days of open water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>daily flux (mmoles CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>annual flux (moles CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$yr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Bering Sea annual flux (Tg C yr$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walsh and Dieterle (1994)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen and Borges</td>
<td>$-1.2^a$ and 0.66$^b$</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tahahashi et al. (2009)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>$22 \pm 3$</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$157 \pm 35$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al. (2004)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Nedashkovskii and Sapozhnikov (2001); $^b$Codispoti et al. (1986)

There are many caveats in scaling any of the above flux data to annual CO$_2$ flux rates and caution must be considered in undertaking this scaling up and interpreting such results. If the 2008 BEST datasets are indeed representative of typical conditions, then the Bering Sea shelf is a much larger CO$_2$ sink than previously thought. At the very least, such uncertainties in providing an accurate assessment of the annual CO$_2$ sink-source status of the Bering Sea shelf, requires future long-term monitoring efforts for this important shelf region. It should also be noted that an extensive coccolithophore bloom was not observed in the Bering Sea in 2008, but this phenomena has been observed in prior years (e.g., Murata and Takizawa, 2002; Murata, 2006; Merico et al., 2004, 2006) and in 2009. Since coccolithophore calcification can result in an increase of seawater pCO$_2$ (e.g., Bates et al., 1996b; Harley et al., 2010), the Bering Sea shelf CO$_2$ sink may be much reduced in those years with significant coccolithophore bloom events, for example, in 2000 (Murata, 2006).

5 Conclusions

Spring observations in 2008 of surface seawater pCO$_2$ ranged from $\sim 180 \mu$atm to $\sim 520 \mu$atm across the Bering Sea shelf but the presence of sea-ice and relatively small $\Delta p$CO$_2$ gradients suggest that much of the Bering Sea shelf was close to neutral in terms of CO$_2$ sink-source status. Summertime observations of surface seawater pCO$_2$ exhibited a greater range ($\sim 130 \mu$atm to $\sim 640 \mu$atm) than springtime with most of the Bering Sea shelf strongly undersaturated with respect to the atmosphere, and the Bering Sea shelf had transitioned from mostly neutral conditions to a stronger oceanic sink for atmospheric CO$_2$. Our data further suggests that biological processes (as evidenced by high rates of NCP for the shelf; Mathis et al., 2010) dominates the seasonal drawdown of seawater pCO$_2$ for large areas of the Bering Sea shelf during late spring and summer, with the effect partly countered by seasonal warming, particularly in the southeastern Bering Sea. Thus seasonal “net biology” effects strongly shift much of the Bering Sea shelf from a neutral CO$_2$ sink/source status in spring to a strong oceanic sink for CO$_2$ by summertime. Although our data does not include fall or winter data, we anticipate that late season mixing restores the Bering Sea shelf to near neutral CO$_2$ sink status before sea-ice provides a barrier to further CO$_2$ gas exchange. In small areas of the Bering Sea shelf south of the Pribilof Islands and in the SE Bering Sea, seasonal warming is the dominant influence on seawater pCO$_2$, shifting localized areas of the shelf from minor/neutral CO$_2$ sink status to neutral/minor CO$_2$ source status, in contrast to much of the surrounding Bering Sea shelf. Overall, the Bering Sea shelf appears to be a stronger sink for atmospheric CO$_2$ than previously suggested by the Takahashi et al. (2009) seawater pCO$_2$ climatology. Given that Bering Sea shelf is the largest US coastal shelf sea, we suggest that future long-term monitoring of the region is critical for assessments of the contribution of the Bering Sea shelf to regional carbon budgets and evaluation of seasonal and interannual variability in response to natural and anthropogenically influenced climate change.
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