

# Quantifying methane emissions from rice paddies in Northeast China by integrating remote sensing mapping with a biogeochemical model

Y. Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Y. Y. Wang<sup>2</sup>, S. L. Su<sup>3</sup>, and C. S. Li<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Center of Remote Sensing & Geoscience, Northeast Institute of Geography & Agroecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun 130012, China

<sup>2</sup>Research Center for Wetland Ecology and Environment, Northeast Institute of Geography & Agroecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun 130012, China

<sup>3</sup>College of Environmental & Resources Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310029, China

<sup>4</sup>Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, USA

Received: 19 December 2010 – Published in Biogeosciences Discuss.: 14 January 2011

Revised: 4 May 2011 – Accepted: 16 May 2011 – Published: 23 May 2011

**Abstract.** The Sanjiang Plain located in Northeastern China is one of the major rice producing regions in the country. However, differing from the majority rice regions in Southern China, the Sanjiang Plain possesses a much cooler climate. Could the rice paddies in this domain be an important source of global methane? To answer this question, methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) emissions from the region were calculated by integrating remote sensing mapping with a process-based biogeochemistry model, Denitrification and Decomposition or DNDC. To quantify regional CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from the plain, the model was first tested against a two-year dataset of CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes measured at a typical rice field within the domain. A sensitivity test was conducted to find out the most sensitive factors affecting CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in the region. Based on the understanding gained from the validation and sensitivity tests, a geographic information system (GIS) database was constructed to hold the spatially differentiated input information to drive DNDC for its regional simulations. The GIS database included a rice map derived from the Landsat TM images acquired in 2006, which provided crucial information about the spatial distribution of the rice fields within the domain of 10.93 million ha. The modeled results showed that the total 1.44 million ha of rice paddies in the plain emitted 0.48–0.58 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>-C in 2006 with spatially differentiated annual emission rates ranging between 38.6–943.9 kg CH<sub>4</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup>, which are comparable with that observed in Southern China. The modeled data indicated that the high SOC con-

tents, long crop season and high rice biomass enhanced CH<sub>4</sub> production in the cool paddies. The modeled results proved that the northern wetland agroecosystems could make important contributions to global greenhouse gas inventory.

## 1 Introduction

Among all of atmospheric components, methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) is a major greenhouse gases (GHG). According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), the warming forces of CH<sub>4</sub> are 25–30 times higher than that of CO<sub>2</sub> per unit of weight based on 100-yr global warming potentials (IPCC, 2007). Although there is a significant declining trend in the rate of CH<sub>4</sub> increase over the last two decades (Dlugokencky et al., 1998), atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations have risen to 1774 ppb in 2005, which is more than doubled over the past 300 years (Blake and Rowland, 1998; Etheridge et al., 1992; IPCC, 2007). Agricultural activities are responsible for approximately 50 % of global atmospheric inputs of CH<sub>4</sub> (Scheehle and Kruger, 2006; USEPA, 2006), wherein the rice paddies have been identified as a major sources of atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub>. Over 10 % of atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> was attributed to the emissions from global rice paddies (Neue, 1993; Scheehle and Kruger, 2006; USEPA, 2006). From the perspective of GHG mitigation, it also becomes a potential opportunity through reducing CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from paddy fields (Oenema et al., 2001; Cole et al., 1996). Therefore, accurately estimating CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from rice paddies has become important for GHG inventory or mitigation at country or regional levels.



Correspondence to: Y. Y. Wang  
(wangyiyong@neigae.ac.cn)

China is an important rice producing country, which possesses approximately 20 % of the world's rice paddies and produces 31 % of the world's rice (FAO, 2004). The 30 million ha of paddy rice cropland, accounting for 23 % of all cultivated land in China (Frolking et al., 2002), is a large CH<sub>4</sub> source. To estimate the national inventory of CH<sub>4</sub> emission, researchers have conducted field campaigns in the major rice producing areas in Southern and Southeast of China (Cai et al., 1999, 2000). Results indicated high fluxes and spatial variations of CH<sub>4</sub> emitted from the tested rice areas (Van Bodegom and Scholten, 2001; Verburg and Van Der Gon, 2001; Khalil et al., 1991; Yao et al., 1996; Cai et al., 2000). Attempts have been made to explain the regional variations of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions although no concrete conclusions about the main controlling factors (Yao and Chen, 1994; Kern et al., 1997). Although traditional site-specific observation techniques like automatic closed chamber method have been recently improved for measuring CH<sub>4</sub> emission at site scale, the regional or global estimation still remains as a question due to the lack of reliable methodologies (Verburg et al., 2006). Consequently, demands are arising for new methods such as model simulations to extrapolate the understandings gained at site scale to a large spatial dimension.

During the past two decades, many empirical and physical models have been developed to predict GHG emissions from rice fields. In a number of empirical models, the regression relationships between CH<sub>4</sub> emission rate and rice biomass or yield were used to estimate CH<sub>4</sub> production (Sinha, 1995; Kern et al., 1997; Anastasi et al., 1992). Although these empirical approaches were easy to use, the accuracy and precision of estimated results could not be ensured, and the variation in emissions at regional scale also couldn't be explained reasonably. Since many biogeochemical processes are involved in CH<sub>4</sub> production, oxidation and emission, it would be difficult to predict the gas fluxes with oversimplified equations across a wide range of soil conditions and management practices. To meet the gaps, process-based biogeochemical models were developed to incorporate the comprehensive biogeochemical reactions and their environmental drivers. The major models that are able to simulate CH<sub>4</sub> production include MEM (Cao et al., 1995a), MERES (Matthews et al., 2000), InfoCrop (Aggarwal et al., 2004), DNDC (Li et al., 1992a) and so on. In recent years, these models played an important role in describing CH<sub>4</sub> production and oxidation process in paddies and estimating the CH<sub>4</sub> emissions at regional or global scales (Cao et al., 1995b, 1996; Bachelet and Neue, 1993; Li et al., 2004; Pathak et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2009a). Among the candidate models, DNDC has been tested for the rice paddies in China and other Asian countries. We adopted DNDC in the study to implement the upscaling.

The Denitrification and Decomposition (DNDC) model is a generic model that simulates the biogeochemical processes leading to greenhouse gas emissions from soil. It is originally developed to model N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and SOC levels in

the US cropping systems (Li et al., 1992a, 1992b, 1994), it has subsequently been adapted to model simulations of GHG emissions from a wide range of systems such like crop, pasture, rice paddy, and forest systems in a number of countries across the world (see a summary by Giltrap et al., 2010). As a process-based biogeochemical model, DNDC is able to track carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) cycles in agroecosystems driven by both the environmental factors and management practices. The DNDC has been tested against observed CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O or CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from rice fields, and continuously improved based on comments or suggestions from a wide range of researchers worldwide during the past about 20 years (Zhang et al., 2002; Li et al., 2002, 2004; Cai et al., 2003). Calibration and validation of the model were performed for the US, China, Thailand, India, Japan and Italy with satisfactory results (Zheng et al., 1997; Li et al., 2002; Cai et al., 2003; Babu et al., 2005, 2006). Recently, Pathak et al. (2005) applied the DNDC model to estimate total GHG emissions from Indian rice paddies based on agricultural census data. And also, Zhang et al. (2009a) quantified CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from rice fields in the Taihu Lake region in China using DNDC. These studies proved that DNDC is applicable for estimating CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from rice paddies at regional scale.


In the study reported in the paper, we decided to take a new step to advance the DNDC regional applications. Remote sensing technology is capable of providing spatially explicit information of land surface in time. Remote sensing analysis could provide more accurate rice field area data to supplement the census data which are often inadequate or problematic. In fact, many researchers have utilized remotely sensed data (optical or microwave) for mapping the extent of paddy rice at local or regional scale (Frolking et al., 2002; Xiao et al., 2005; Le Toan et al., 1997; Ribbes and Le Toan, 1999; Zhang et al., 2009b). DNDC has been discussed for upscaling in add of the remote sensing technique to compile greenhouse gas inventories, identify spatial patterns in emission, or explore scenarios for mitigation (Takeuchi et al., 2001; Salas et al., 2007).

Except the introduction of remote sensing analysis into the DNDC upscaling, we selected a northern paddy rice domain in China, which possesses climate, soil and management conditions differing from that in the tropical or subtropical rice regions, which have been studied by other researchers.

## 2 Method

### 2.1 Study area

As target domain of this study, the Sanjiang Plain is located in northeast China. "Sanjiang" in Chinese means "Three Rivers", which represent Songhuajiang River, Wusulijiang River and Heilongjiang River, three major rivers whose watersheds cover almost the entire territory (10.93 million ha)



**Fig. 1.** Spatial distribution of paddy rice in the Sanjiang Plain in 2006.

**Table 1.** Remotely sensed imagery used for mapping rice paddy.

Sensor	Path/Row No.	Acquisition date
Landsat TM	114/26	30/08/2006
	114/27	30/08/2006
	114/28	30/08/2006
	114/29	30/08/2006
	115/27	22/09/2006
	115/28	22/09/2006
	115/29	22/09/2006
	116/27	31/08/2006
	116/28	31/08/2006

of the eastern part of Heilongjiang Province (48.5°–43.8° N and 129.2°–135.1° E) (Fig. 1). This region lies at 45 m to 60 m geographic elevation above sea level with a gentle and flat topographic relief. Annual precipitation ranged from 310 to 750 mm during the period of 1980–2009. The local soils are fertile rich in soil organic matter. The flat topography, abundant precipitation and fertile soils have made the alluvial plain favorable for agricultural cultivation. In the 1970s reclamation campaigns were launched by the Chinese authorities to convert the natural swamplands into farmlands. Since then, the region has experienced drastic changes in the land use. For example, the rice fields have increased from zero to 1.44 million ha during the past about 40 years. The rice fields are the rice-producing region with the highest latitude in not only China but also the world.

The rice is planted as a single-season crop in the region. Continuously flooding is extensively practiced in the rice fields. Urea and synthetic fertilizer are predominantly applied without any organic matter amended. The rice straw is normally left as stubble in the fields after harvest in October, and the stubble is incorporated into the soils with tillage before the beginning of the next rice season.

## 2.2 Model validation tests

To validate the DNDC model for its applicability for the rice fields in the Sanjiang Plain, field experiments were conducted at a paddy rice site in the Honghe Farm (at 47°35′N and 133°31′E) within the plain (Fig. 1). Two-year (2004 and 2006) experiments were conducted in a same paddy field with three treatments. In 2004, the field was treated with two fertilizer application rates, i.e., 60 and 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (C04-N60 and C04-N150); in 2006, only one application rate, 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (C06-N150), was applied. For C04-N60, the 60 kg N of synthetic fertilizer was split into two applications at the rice transplanting (24 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and in the tillering stage (36 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>). The same split method was also applied for the rate of 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes were measured with chamber method (0.5 m × 0.5 m) with automated opening and closure. The CH<sub>4</sub> measurements were conducted twice per week through the whole rice-growing period (from late May to early October) (see details in Wang et al., 2008). The measured data of CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes were used to calibrate/validate the DNDC model. Daily meteorological data (air temperature and precipitation) were acquired from the local climate station, a part of the Ecological Experimental Station of Mire-Wetland in the Sanjiang Plain run by the Chinese Academy of Science. Soil properties were obtained from the ground-based measurements, and agricultural management information was collected based on the local farming practices (see details in Tables 2 and 3).

DNDC simulates CH<sub>4</sub> production mainly based on four factors, i.e., the soil redox potential (Eh), soil C sources (DOC and CO<sub>2</sub>) available for the methanogens, soil temperature, and CH<sub>4</sub> diffusion rate which is controlled by the plant conductivity (aerenchyma) and soil texture (Li, 2000). Among the factors, plant (i.e., rice) growth plays a key role in determining the soil C availability. So, the parameters defining the rice growth in DNDC were first calibrated against observed crop biomass/yield data. Using the turn-and-adjust method, we obtained a set of physiological and phenology parameters for the rice cultivar (Kongyu-131) which was widely planted in the Sanjiang Plain. The calibrated crop parameters are listed in Table 4. With the crop parameters, DNDC correctly simulated the crop biomass growth and yield and hence set a sound basis to quantify the root exudation and respiration, which provided DOC and CO<sub>2</sub> to the soil methanogens.

**Table 2.** Management information on the rice paddies at study sites in Sanjiang Plain of Northeast China.

Mode	C04-N60	C04-N150	C06-N150
Tillage	5/15: Plow depth of 30 cm	5/15: Plow depth of 30 cm	5/14: Plow depth of 30 cm
Rice cultivation	5/25–9/29	5/25–9/29	5/24–9/24
Flooding	5/15–8/23: Continuously flooding, water depth of 5–10 cm	5/15–8/23: Continuously flooding, water depth of 5–10 cm	5/14–8/28: Continuously flooding, water depth of 5–10 cm
Fertilization	6/13: Synthetic fertilizer (24 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> ); 6/28: Synthetic fertilizer (36 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	6/13: Urea + Synthetic fertilizer (60 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> ); 6/28: Urea (90 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	5/24: Urea + Synthetic fertilizer (60 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> ); 7/2: Urea (90 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Manure application	No	No	No
Residues management	Fraction of residues left in fields: 20 %	Fraction of residues left in fields: 20 %	Fraction of residues left in fields: 20 %

**Table 3.** Inputs used to test the DNDC model and baseline values for sensitivity tests in the validated sites of rice paddies.

Environmental Factors		Baseline value	Range tested
Climate	Annual mean temperature (°C)	2.4	0.4–4.4
	Total annual precipitation (mm)	560	448–672
	Clay fraction (%)	0.41	0.1–0.6
	Field capacity (%)	0.57	Not varied
	Wilting point (%)	0.27	Not varied
Soil Property	Porosity (%)	0.59	Not varied
	Initial soil C fraction (kg C kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)	0.0277	0.01–0.07
	Bulk density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	0.98	0.8–1.6
	Soil pH	5.61	5.0–9.0
	Depth of continuous flooding water (cm)	10	Not varied
Management Practices	Drained days (d)	0	2–12
	Fraction of rice residue left in field (%)	20	0–100
	N-Fertilizer application (kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	60	60.0–150.0

For the model validation, the local climate, soil and farming management data were utilized to compose input scenarios, which were used to run DNDC for the experimental site. The measured CH<sub>4</sub> flux data were used to compare with the modeled CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes at daily time step as well as for the seasonal total emissions. Statistical tools such as the root mean square error (RMSE), the coefficient of model efficiency (EF) and the coefficient of model determination (CD) were adopted to assess the “goodness of fit” of model predictions (see details in Smith et al., 1997). The three “goodness of fit” measures were calculated separately as below:

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{(F_{\text{simulated}} - F_{\text{observed}})^2}{n}} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{EF} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (O_i - \bar{O})^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (S_i - O_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (O_i - \bar{O})^2} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{CD} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (O_i - \bar{O})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (S_i - \bar{O})^2} \quad (3)$$

Where,  $F_{\text{simulated}}$  and  $F_{\text{observed}}$  in Eq. (1) denotes the simulated and the observed CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes at validation sites;  $n$  is the total number of observations. In Eq. (2 and 3),  $O_i$  are the observed CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes,  $\bar{O}$  is the mean of the observed CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes,  $S_i$  are the simulated CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes, and  $n$  is the number of paired values. Value for EF is less than or equal to 1. A positive value indicates that the simulated values describe the trend in the measured data better than the mean of the observed values. The CD value is larger than or equal

to 0. When a CD value of 1 or above, it indicates that the model describes the measured data better than the mean of the observations. Taken together, EF and CD allow RMSE to be further interpreted where standard error values of the measurements are unavailable.


### 2.3 Model sensitivity test

Methane emissions from rice fields are controlled by many factors (Yan et al., 2005). However, some factors could be more sensitive than others. A sensitivity test was conducted with DNDC to find out the most sensitive factors for CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from the Sanjiang Plain. The baseline scenario was set based on the actual climate, soil and management conditions at the experimental site in the Sanjiang Plain. In the test, we varied climate variables (temperature or precipitation), soil properties (SOC content, clay fraction, pH and bulk density), or agricultural management practices (flooding regime, residue management and N-fertilizer application rate). The sensitivity test was conducted by varying a single input parameter in a predefined range while keeping all other input parameters constant. All the parameters for sensitivity analysis were listed in Table 3. The model responses to changes of these factors on CH<sub>4</sub> emissions were presented respectively in Fig. 2.

The likely response of CH<sub>4</sub> emission to changes in climate was investigated by running DNDC using alternative climate scenarios. Precipitation was either increased or decreased by 20 % of the baseline value ( $\sim 560 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ ); and temperature was varied by 1 or 2 °C. The modeled results indicated that (1) the precipitation changes had insignificant or negligible impact on CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Fig. 2a), and (2) the higher temperature elevated CH<sub>4</sub> emissions due to the accelerated soil organic matter (SOM) decomposition and fermentation process (Fig. 2b). The results are in agreement with previous studies reported by other researchers (e.g., Cao et al., 1998).

Four soil properties (SOC content, clay fraction, pH and bulk density) were investigated in the sensitivity test. The results showed that (1) soil texture was the most sensitive factor due to its effects on the soil anaerobic status: the clay loam soil was more likely to produce more CH<sub>4</sub> than the sandy soil (Fig. 2c), and (2) SOC content was the second most sensitive factor due to its effects on the soil DOC availability as well as the methanogen population (Fig. 2f). The modeled results in line with observations reported by other researchers (Yagi and Minami, 1990; Wassmann et al., 1998; Holzapfel-Pschorn and Seiler, 1986; Li et al., 2004).

In rice paddy, flooding regime, residue management and application rate of N-fertilizer are three major anthropogenic activities affecting not only rice productivity but also GHG emissions. Figure 2 shows that when the draining time duration for the mid-season drainage increased to 10 days, the CH<sub>4</sub> emission was reduced by 25 % (Fig. 2g). This trend has been reported in many studies (Sass et al., 1992; Yagi et al., 1996; Li et al., 2006). In the test, crop straw incorporation



**Fig. 2.** Sensitivity tests of environment factors driving CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from rice paddies.

didn't show strong effect on CH<sub>4</sub> emission. The modeled results indicated that in the one-year simulation, the straw was incorporated at the end of the rice season when the soil was drained already (Fig. 2h). The variation in fertilizer application rate didn't show strong impact on CH<sub>4</sub> emission either (Fig. 2i).

The sensitivity test provided crucial information for regional simulations as we learnt which input parameters could most sensitively affect the modeled results and hence should be paid with the greatest considerations.

### 2.4 Regional database

A geographic information system (GIS) database was constructed to hold all the input information required for upscaling DNDC simulations to the Sanjiang Plain. The database included a rice field map, soil properties, daily weather data, and farming management practices. The rice map was obtained through remote sensing analysis.

To estimate the CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from the target domain, it is necessary to acquire detailed and accurate spatial distribution of paddies. Remotely sensed data were often classified to map the spatial pattern of rice paddies and calculate

**Table 4.** The calibrated crop parameters of rice for regional simulation of DNDC.

Crop parameters	Value
Total biomass (kg C ha <sup>-1</sup> )	4383.8
Grain fraction of total biomass (%)	47.0
Shoot fraction of total biomass (%)	43.0
Root fraction of total biomass (%)	10.0
C/N ratio for plant	58.3
C/N ratio for grain	55.0
C/N ratio for shoot (leaf + stem)	60.0
C/N ratio for root	70.0
Water demand (g water/g dry matter)	508.0
Maximum leaf area index	6.0
Maximum height of plant (m)	1.0
Temperature degree days for maturity (TDD) (°C)	2300.0
N fixation index	1.0
Vascular index (0–1)	1.0

the rice areas. In this study the Landsat thematic mapper (TM) imagery acquired from the EarthExplorer Interface (<http://edcsns17.cr.usgs.gov/EarthExplorer/>) were processed to extract the spatial distribution of rice paddies in the Sanjiang Plain (Table 1). Total of 9 clear TM images covering the target domain acquired in the near-maturing stage of rice in 2006 were selected to extract a single class paddy rice with elaborately image preprocessing such as mosaicking, subsetting and geometric correction at stepwise. Visual interpretation was applied for ensuring accurate delineation of rice paddies at the processing software environment of ArcGIS 9.0, although time-expensive. The vectorized rice paddy polygons then were segmented by soil data with cell size of 10 km × 10 km. Within each cell, rice area was calculated for estimating CH<sub>4</sub> emissions seasonally conducted in following sections. Due to the clear weather conditions and the distinguished phenology features, the rice fields were successfully extracted from remote sensing data. The formed rice map had a high spatial resolution of 30 m. The data set provided a sound basis for delineating the rice paddies in the study region with a high accuracy and a high precision.

Daily climate data (maximum and minimum air temperature, precipitation and mean wind speed) were acquired for 2004 and 2006 from seven weather stations (China Meteorological Data Sharing Service System at <http://data.cma.gov.cn/>) within the target domain. Soil data were derived from the soil dataset developed by the Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, which was compiled based on the second national soil survey conducted in 1980–1990s. The soil dataset contains multi-layer soil properties (e.g. organic matter, pH and bulk density), soil texture (sand, silt and clay) and other spatial information (Shi et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2007) with a resolution of 10 km × 10 km. In this study, we adopted the top (0–10 cm) soil data derived from

805 paddy profiles within the domain to serve the DNDC simulations. Detailed management practices on rice cultivation were investigated by communicating with a number of local agronomists and farmers. All the spatially differentiated input information was composed in the GIS database, which was then linked to DNDC through the DNDC's regional mode interface.

DNDC was run for the Sanjiang Plain twice with 2004 and 2006 climate data, respectively. During the model runs, DNDC performed simulation for each grid cell twice with the maximum and minimum values of the soil properties, respectively. The two simulations produced a pair of CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes for each grid cell, which formed a range of CH<sub>4</sub> emission that was later used for quantifying the uncertainty generated from the upscaling (please refer Li et al., 2004 for details of the Most Sensitive Factor method). Based on the modeled CH<sub>4</sub> emission rate and the rice field acreage for each grid cell, the total CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from the cell could be calculated. The regional emission was calculated by summing up the CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from all the grid cells within the domain. The Sanjiang Plain contains 23 counties; the county-level CH<sub>4</sub> emissions were also calculated for evaluating the spatial patterns of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in the plain.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Paddy rice map of the Sanjiang Plain

With visual interpretation technique, the rice paddy cover in the domain in 2006 was mapped based on 9 clear TM images (Fig. 1). The rice paddies were mainly distributed in the lowland areas along with the major rivers. The total area of paddy fields was 1.44 million ha in 2006, which was approximately 35 % higher than that in 2000. This result confirmed the census reports that rice paddies rapidly increased in the Sanjiang Plain in the past ten years.

##### 3.1.1 Validation of simulated results


The measured CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes at the three treatments, C04-N60, C04-N150 and C06-N150, were compared with modeled results. Figure 3 shows the comparisons between the modeled CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes with observations. As a whole, the modeled results showed a fair agreement with observations although minor discrepancies exist across the three treatments (Fig. 3a1, b1, c1). Regression analysis demonstrated that the simulated emissions explained over 85 % of the variation in observed emissions for all the three cases. The intercept of three regression lines is very closer to 0. The RMSE values for the three cases are 0.190, 0.304 and 0.344 for C04-N60, C04-N150 and C06-N150, respectively (Fig. 3a2, b2, c2). In the validation test, the significance test of difference in between modeled and observed CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes showed that all the possibility (“P” in Fig. 3) values of three treatments (0.972 for C04-N60, 0.646 for C04-N150 and 0.290 for C06-N150) are



However in those soil grids with the minimum of clay fraction (0.131 %), the CH<sub>4</sub> flux was not necessarily the highest values (943.88 kg CH<sub>4</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup>). They occurred in those with the highest SOC content (6.7 %). Consequently, the clay fraction in soil and SOC content was the most sensitive factor driving the CH<sub>4</sub> emission rates (Fig. 2). In contrast, other soil properties like bulk density and soil pH, were less sensitive to the CH<sub>4</sub> emission from rice paddy.

The regional average was around 400 kg CH<sub>4</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2006, which was higher than that (15–198 kg CH<sub>4</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) observed in the Southeast China such as Taihu Lake region (Zhang et al., 2009a) and also higher than the default emission factors of 200 kg CH<sub>4</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> season<sup>-1</sup> suggested by the IPCC guidelines (IPCC, 1997). The simulated data attributed the high CH<sub>4</sub> emission rates to the SOC rice soils (averagely 0.31 kg C kg<sup>-1</sup> soil) in combination with the continuous flooding management in the Sanjiang Plain. Following the SOC content trend, the modeled CH<sub>4</sub> emission rates gradually decreased from the southwest to the northeast of the region (Fig. 4a). The maximum CH<sub>4</sub> emission rates (~944 kg CH<sub>4</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup>) were shown in five grid cells in the southwestern part of the domain apparently related to the higher SOC contents in the region. Differing from the CH<sub>4</sub> emission rate, the total CH<sub>4</sub> emission flux for each grid cell was not only related to the emission rate but also the total rice area. In 2006, the total CH<sub>4</sub> emissions over the entire Sanjiang Plain were ranged from 0.48 to 0.58 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>-C, the mean emission was 0.53 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>-C. In general, the mid-northern and southeastern parts of the Sanjiang Plain had more expansive rice paddies and hence possessed higher CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Fig. 4b).

The Sanjiang Plain contains 23 administrative counties, where a small population of farmer owes a large amount of agro-lands, and modern cultivation managements are very extensively practiced. Generally speaking, unlike the South China, only single season rice were grown in the Northeast China, and the management practices are relatively identical within the entire study area. The indistinctive differences in rice cultivation practices make less variation in CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from rice paddy in this study area, which has been showed in sensitivity tests of environment factors driving CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Fig. 2). Therefore, we assumed the general (or average) management practices were identical cover the entire study area. The climate conditions are not homogeneous but very similar cover the study area so that their effects on CH<sub>4</sub> emission rate are negligible. The county-level CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in 2006 are shown in Fig. 5. The high emission counties such as Fujin (FJ), Huachuan (HC) and Hulin (HL) are located either in mid-northern or southeastern parts of the domain. In contrast, the low emission counties such as Shuangyashan (SYS), Youyi (YY), Qitaihe (QTH), Jixi (JX), Muling (ML) and Hegang (HG) are located in either the central-southern or the northwestern areas of the plain (Fig. 5a). The highest CH<sub>4</sub> emission (81.8 Gg CH<sub>4</sub>-C) was in the HC and HL Counties, and the lowest (0.683 Gg CH<sub>4</sub>-



**Fig. 5.** Total CH<sub>4</sub> emissions per year from rice paddies in the Sanjiang Plain at county-level scale. Where, (a) denotes the spatial distribution of total emissions in 2006, and (b) is the comparison of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions at three scenarios of C04-N60, C04N150 and C06N150.

C) was in the ML County. Statistic results showed that the highest emission ML county emitted over 100 times higher than the lowest one per season (Fig. 5b). Such a huge difference in CH<sub>4</sub> emission was due to the variations in the total paddy cultivation acreage as well as the CH<sub>4</sub> emission rate determined by the soil properties (e.g. SOC content, clay fraction in soil, etc.).

In addition, attempt was also made to estimate the total CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in 2004 based on the assumption of same rice areas as in 2006. Simulated results showed that at two scenarios, C04-N60 and C04-N150, both of the emission rates and total emissions of each county were less than that in 2006 (Fig. 5b). The total emissions were very similar, mean of 0.48 and 0.49 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>-C was separately emitted for C04-N60 and C04-N150 case in 2004 (Table 6). This difference was apparently related to the climate data and management practices (e.g. flooding regime and N-fertilizer application rate, etc.) of the two years. By comparing the daily mean temperatures during the rice-growing seasons in 2004 and

2006, we found the average temperature in the 2006 growing season was 0.26 °C higher than that in 2004. The regional simulations indicated that although the change in N-fertilizer application rate didn't affect the regional CH<sub>4</sub> emissions very much, it did increase the crop yields that could indirectly enhance the CH<sub>4</sub> production.

#### 4 Discussions

The Sanjiang Plain possesses 1.44 million ha of paddy rice in the very northeastern part of China in 2006 where the annual mean temperature is 2.2 °C, which is 10–15 °C lower than that in the majority rice producing areas in the southeastern or southwestern part of the country. Driven by the market demand for high quality rice in China, more upland croplands planted with corn or soybeans were converted to wetland crops (mainly rice) in Northern China during the past decade. This kind of rapid land-use transformation could alter the national GHG inventory now and in the near future. Mapping the new land-use as well as the consequent GHG emissions should be an urgent task for the researchers.

DNDC was first utilized to estimate CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from this high latitude rice fields. After the calibration and validation against local observations, DNDC simulated CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes across the target domain. The modeled CH<sub>4</sub> emission rates varied in a range of 100–800 kg C ha<sup>-1</sup> per year, which is consistent with the CH<sub>4</sub> emission rates observed or modeled in the southern rice regions in China. Our modeled results are supported by observations conducted in a number of high latitude wetlands where the researchers measured high fluxes of CH<sub>4</sub> from the cold, even frozen, wetland ecosystems. Our results confirmed the hypothesis that the high latitude wetlands could be an important CH<sub>4</sub> source maybe due to adaptation of the methanogens to the low temperatures.

In this study, we utilized a remote sensing-derived crop map to drive a process-based biogeochemistry model to realize the regional quantification. The uncertainty produced from the upscaling has been estimated with the Most Sensitive Factor method developed by Li and his colleagues (Li et al., 2004). By including the maximum and minimum values of the most sensitive factors (i.e., soil texture and SOC content) into the GIS database, DNDC calculated two CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes for each grid cell. The two extreme CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes formed a range, within which the “real” flux should be located with a high probability. With this methodology, we concluded that the regional CH<sub>4</sub> emission ranged between 0.43 and 0.58 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>-C; i.e., the standard deviation was ±14 %. According to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines, a baseline emission factor for no flooded fields for less than 180 days prior to rice cultivation and continuously flooded during the rice cultivation period without organic amendments (EFc) is used as a starting point (IPCC, 2006). The IPCC default for EFc is 1.30 kg CH<sub>4</sub> ha day<sup>-1</sup> which was estimated by a statistical analysis of available field measurement data

(Yan et al., 2005). In the Sanjiang Plain, for 1.44 million ha of paddy fields in 2006, and a total of 106-day continuously flooded without organic amendments was assumed to practice during the rice-growing season. Consequently, the total methane emissions in 2006 based on the IPCC default emission factors were approximately 0.20 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>-C, which is significantly lower than the modeled estimation in this study (0.53 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>-C). Explaining such large differences in CH<sub>4</sub> emissions would become a continuously interesting research topic in the future on regional estimation of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from the rice-producing region with the highest latitude.

Studies on greenhouse gas inventory and mitigation in China were started relatively later in comparison with some other countries. However, the new research campaigns in the direction are being rapidly developed in the country during the recent years driven by the social and research demands. The results reported in the paper is one of the attempts to try to absorb the world advanced techniques such as biogeochemical models, remote sensing mapping, uncertainty analysis to serve the national environmental issues in China.

*Acknowledgements.* This work was funded by the frontier project of the Northeast Institute of Geology & Agroecology, Chinese Academy Sciences (No. KZCX3-SW-NA09-05), the Knowledge Innovation Project of The Chinese Academy of Sciences (KZCX2-YW-Q06-03) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 41001202). The participation of Changsheng Li in the study was supported by NASA's ROSE TE project “Modeling impacts of climate change on carbon dynamics in northern high latitude wetlands” (NNX09AQ36G).

Edited by: X. Wang

#### References

- Aggarwal, P. K., Kalra, N., Chander, S., and Pathak, H.: InfoCrop: A generic simulation model for annual crops in tropical environments, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, 2004.
- Anastasi, C., Dowding, M., and Simpson, V. J.: Future CH<sub>4</sub> emission from rice production, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **97**, 7521–7125, 1992.
- Babu, Y. J., Li, C. S., Frohling, S., Nayak, D. R., Datta, A., and Adhya, T. K.: Modelling of methane emissions from rice-based production systems in India with the denitrification and decomposition model: field validation and sensitivity analysis, *Curr. Sci.*, **89**, 1904–1912, 2005.
- Babu, Y. J., Li, C. S., Frohling, S., Nayak, D. R., and Adhya, T. K.: Field validation of DNDC model for methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice-based production systems of India, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, **74**, 157–174, 2006.
- Bachelet, D., and Neue, H. U.: Methane emission from wetland rice areas of Asia, *Chemosphere*, **26**, 219–237, 1993.
- Blake, D. R. and Rowland, F. S.: Continuing worldwide increase in tropospheric methane, 1978–1987, *Science*, **239**, 1129–1131, 1998.

- Cai, Z. C., Xing, G. X., Shen, G. Y., Xu, H., Yan, X. Y., and Tsuruta, H.: Measurements of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from rice paddies in Fengqiu, China, *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 45, 1–13, 1999.
- Cai, Z. C., Tsuruta, H., and Minami, K.: Methane emission from rice fields in China: measurements and influencing factors, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 17231–17242, 2000.
- Cai, Z. C., Sawamoto, S., Li, C. S., Kang, G., Boonjawat, J., Mosier, A., and Wassmann, R.: Field validation of the DNDC model for greenhouse gas emissions in East Asian cropping systems, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 17, 1107, doi:10.1029/2003GB002046, 2003.
- Cao, M., Dent, J. B., and Heal, O. W.: Modelling of methane emission from rice paddies, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 9, 183–195, 1995a.
- Cao, M., Dent, J. B., and Heal, O. W.: Methane emissions from China's paddyland, *Agric. Ecosys. Environ.*, 55, 129–137, 1995b.
- Cao, M., Gregson, K., Marshall, S., Dent, J. B., and Heal, O. W.: Global methane emissions from rice paddies, *Chemosphere*, 33, 879–897, 1996.
- Cao, M., Gregson, K., and Marshall, S.: Global methane emissions from wetlands and its sensitivity to climate change, *Atmos. Environ.*, 32, 3293–3299, 1998.
- Cole, V., Cerri, C., Minami, K., Mosier, A., Rosenberg, N., and Sauerbeck, D.: Agricultural options for mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions, in: *Climate Change 1995: Impacts, adaptations and mitigation of climate change: Scientific-Technical Analyses*, edited by: Watson, R. T., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- Dlugokencky, E. J., Masarie, K. A., Lang, P. M., and Tans, P. P.: Continuing decline in the growth rate of the atmospheric methane burden, *Nature*, 393, 447–450, 1998.
- Etheridge, D., Pearman, G., and Fraser, P.: Changes in tropospheric methane between 1841 and 1978 from a high accumulation-rate Antarctic ice core, *Tellus*, 44B, 282–294, 1992.
- FAO: FAO statistical databases, available at: <http://faostat.fao.org/> (verified 9 Feb. 2006), Rome, 2004.
- Frolking, S., Qiu, J., S., B., Xiao, X., Liu, J., Zhuang, Y., Li, C. S., and Qin, X.: Combining remote sensing and ground census data to develop new maps of the distribution of rice agriculture in China, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 16, 1091, doi:10.1029/2001GB001425, 2002.
- Giltrap, D. L., Li, C. S., and Sagar, S.: DNDC: A process-based model of greenhouse gas fluxes from agricultural soils, *Agric. Ecosys. Environ.*, 136, 292–230, 2010.
- Holzappel-Pschorn, A., and Seiler, W.: Methane emission during a cultivation period from an Italian rice paddy, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 91, 11803–11814, 1986.
- IPCC: Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Workbook, Vol. 2, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- IPCC: 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Vol. 4, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, edited by: Eggleston, H. S., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., and Tanabe, K., the Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES), Hayama, 2006.
- IPCC: The physical science basis. In: *Contribution of working group I to the fourth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change*, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- Kern, J. S., Gong, Z., Zhang, G., Zhuo, H., and Luo, G.: Spatial analysis of methane emissions from paddy soils in China and the potential for emissions reduction, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 49, 181–195, 1997.
- Khalil, M. A. K., Rasmussen, R. A., Wang, M. X., and Ren, L. X.: Methane emission from rice fields in China, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 25, 979–981, 1991.
- Le Toan, T., Ribbes, F., Wang, L. F., Nicolas, F., Ding, K. H., Kong, J. A., Fujita, M., and Kurosu, T.: Rice crop mapping and monitoring using ERS-1 data based on experiment and modeling results, *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote*, 35, 41–56, 1997.
- Li, C. S., Frolking, S., and Frolking, T. A.: A model of nitrous oxide evolution from soil driven by rainfall events. 2. Model applications, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 9777–9783, 1992a.
- Li, C. S., Frolking, S., and Frolking, T. A.: A model of nitrous oxide evolution from soil driven by rainfall events: I. Model structure and sensitivity, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 9759–9776, 1992b.
- Li, C. S., Frolking, S., and Harriss, R. C.: Modeling carbon biogeochemistry in agricultural soils, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 8, 237–254, 1994.
- Li, C. S.: Modeling trace gas emissions from agricultural ecosystems, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 58, 259–276, 2000.
- Li, C. S., Qiu, J., Frolking, S., Xiao, X., Salas, W., Moore III, B., Boles, S., Huang, Y., and Sass, R.: Reduced methane emissions from largescale changes in water management in China's rice paddies during 1980–2000, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29, 1972, doi:10.1029/2002GL015370, 2002.
- Li, C. S., Mosier, A., Wassmann, R., Cai, Z., Zheng, X., Huang, Y., Tsuruta, H., Boonjawat, J., and Lantin, R.: Modeling greenhouse gas emissions from rice-based production systems: sensitivity and upscaling, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 18, GB1043, doi:10.1029/2001GB001425, 2004.
- Li, C. S., Salas, W., DeAngelo, B., and Rose, S.: Assessing alternatives for mitigating net greenhouse gas emissions and increasing yields from rice production in China over the next 20 years, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 35, 1554–1565, 2006.
- Matthews, R. B., Wassmann, R., and Arah, J.: Using a crop/soil simulation model and GIS techniques to assess methane emissions from rice fields in Asia, I. Model development, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 58, 141–159, 2000.
- Neue, H. U.: Methane emission from rice fields, *Biosciences*, 43, 466–474, 1993.
- Oenema, O., Velthof, G., and Kuikman, P.: Technical and policy aspects of strategies to decrease greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 60, 301–315, 2001.
- Pathak, H., Li, C., and Wassmann, R.: Greenhouse gas emissions from Indian rice fields: calibration and upscaling using the DNDC model, *Biogeosciences*, 2, 113–123, doi:10.5194/bg-2-113-2005, 2005.
- Ribbes, F. and Le Toan, T.: Rice field mapping and monitoring with RADARSAT data, *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, 20, 745–765, 1999.
- Salas, W., Boles, S., Li, C. S., Babu, Y., Xiao, X., Frolking, S., and Green, P.: Mapping and modeling of greenhouse gas emissions from paddies with satellite radar observations and the DNDC biogeochemical model, *Aquat. Conserv.*, 17(3), 319–329, 2007.
- Sass, R. L., Fisher, F. M., Wang, Y. B., Turner, F. T., and Jund, M. F.: Methane emission from rice fields: The effect of flood water management, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 6, 249–262, 1992.

- Scheehle, E. A. and Kruger, D.: Global anthropogenic methane and nitrous oxide emissions, *Energy J.*, 22, 33–44, 2006.
- Shi, X. Z., Yu, D. S., Warner, E. D., Pan, X. Z., Petersen, G. W., Gong, Z. G., and Weindorf, D. C.: Soil database of 1:1,000,000 digital soil survey and reference system of the Chinese genetic soil classification system, *Soil Surv. Horiz.*, 45, 129–136, 2004.
- Sinha, S. K.: Methane emission from rice paddies: excellent methodology but poor extrapolation, *Curr. Sci.*, 68, 643–646, 1995.
- Smith, P., Smith, J. U., Powlson, D. S., McGill, W. B., Arah, J. R. M., Chertov, O. G., Coleman, K., Franko, U., Frolking, S., Jenkinson, D. S., Jensen, L. S., Kelly, R. H., Klein-Gunnewiek, H., Komarov, A. S., Li, C., Molina, J. A. E., Mueller, T., Parton, W. J., Thornley, J. H. M., and Whitmore, A. P.: A comparison of the performance of nine soil organic matter models using datasets from seven long-term experiments, *Geoderma*, 81, 153–225, 1997.
- Takeuchi, W., Yasuoka, Y., and Tamura, M.: Estimation of Methane Emission from Paddy Fields in Central Plain of Thailand by Scaling Technique. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd Asian Conference on Remote Sensing*, 5–9 November 2001, Singapore, 1, 422–427, 2001.
- USEPA: Global anthropogenic non-CO<sub>2</sub> greenhouse gas emissions: 1990–2020 (June 2006 Revised), available at: <http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/economics/downloads/GlobalAnthroEmissionsReport.pdf>, Office of Atmospheric Programs, USEPA, Washington, DC, 2006.
- Van Bodegom, P. M. and Scholten, J. C. M.: Microbial processes of CH<sub>4</sub> production in a rice paddy soil: model and experimental validation, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.*, 65, 2055–2066, 2001.
- Verburg, P. H. and Van Der Gon, H. A. C. D.: Spatial and temporal dynamics of methane emissions from agricultural sources in China, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 7, 31–47, 2001.
- Verburg, P. H., van Bodegom, P. M., van der Gon, H. A. C. D., Bergsma, A., and van Breemen, N.: Upscaling regional emissions of greenhouse gases from rice cultivation: Methods and sources of uncertainty, *Plant Ecol.*, 182, 89–106, 2006.
- Wang, Y., Chen, W., Zhao, Z., and Gu, J.: Characteristics and estimation of CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O emission from cold paddy field in the Sanjiang Plain, *Trans. CSAE*, 24, 170–176, 2008. (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Wassmann, R., Neue, H. U., and Bueno, C.: Methane production capacities of different rice soils derived from inherent and exogenous substrates, *Plant Soil*, 203, 227–237, 1998.
- Xiao, X., Boles, S., Liu, J., Zhuang, D., Frolking, S., Li, C., Salas, W., and Moore III, B.: Mapping paddy rice agriculture in southern China using multi-temporal MODIS images, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 95, 480–492, 2005.
- Yagi, K. and Minami, K.: Effect of organic matter application on methane emission from some Japanese rice fields, *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 36, 599–610, 1990.
- Yagi, K., Tsuruta, H., Kanda, K., and Manami, K.: Effect of water management on methane emission from a Japanese rice field: Automated methane monitoring, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 10, 255–267, 1996.
- Yan, X. Y., Yagi, K., Akiyama, H., and Akimoto, H.: Statistical analysis of the major variables controlling methane emission from rice fields, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 11, 1131–1141, 2005.
- Yao, H. and Chen, Z. L.: Effect of chemical fertilizer on methane emission from rice paddies, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 16463–16470, 1994.
- Yao, H., Zhuang, Y., and Chen, Z. L.: Estimation of methane emission from rice paddies in Mainland China, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 10, 641–649, 1996.
- Yu, D. S., Shi, X. Z., Wang, H. J., Sun, W. X., Chen, J. M., Liu, Q. H., and Zhao, Y. C.: Regional patterns of soil organic carbon stocks in China, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 85, 680–689, 2007.
- Zhang, Y., Li, C., Zhou, X., and Moore III, B.: A simulation model linking crop growth and soil biogeochemistry for sustainable agriculture, *Ecol. Model.*, 151, 75–108, 2002.
- Zhang, L., Yu, D., Shi, X., Weindorf, D., Zhao, L., Ding, W., Wang, H., Pan, J., and Li, C.: Quantifying methane emissions from rice fields in the Taihu Lake region, China by coupling a detailed soil database with biogeochemical model, *Biogeosciences*, 6, 739–749, doi:10.5194/bg-6-739-2009, 2009a.
- Zhang, Y., Wang, C., Wu, J., Qi, J., and Salas, A. W.: Mapping paddy rice with multi-temporal ALOS PALSAR imagery in southeast China, *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, 30, 6301–6315, 2009b.
- Zheng, X. H., Wang, M. X., Wang, Y. S., Shen, R. X., Shangguan, X. J., Heyer, J., Kogge, M., Papen, H., Jin, J. S., and Li, L. T.: CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from rice paddies in southeast China, *Chin. J. Atmos. Sci.*, 21, 167–174, 1997.