Inputs and processes affecting the distribution of particulate iron in the North Atlantic along the GEOVIDE (GEOTRACES GA01) section
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Abstract. The aim of the GEOVIDE cruise (May–June 2014, R/V Pourquoi Pas?) was to provide a better understanding of trace metal biogeochemical cycles in the North Atlantic Ocean. As marine particles play a key role in the global biogeochemical cycle of trace elements in the ocean, we discuss the distribution of particulate iron (PFe), in relation to the distribution of particulate aluminium (PAl), manganese (PMn), and phosphorus (PP). Overall, 32 full vertical profiles were collected for trace metal analyses, representing more than 500 samples. This resolution provides a solid basis for assessing concentration distributions, elemental ratios, size fractionation, and adsorptive scavenging processes in key areas of the thermohaline overturning circulation. Total particulate iron concentrations ranged from as low as 9 pmol L\textsuperscript{−1} in surface waters of the Labrador Sea to 304 nmol L\textsuperscript{−1} near the Iberian margin, while median PFe concentrations of 1.15 nmol L\textsuperscript{−1} were measured over the sub-euphotic ocean interior.

Within the Iberian Abyssal Plain, the ratio of PFe to PAl was identical to the continental crust molar ratio (0.21 mol mol\textsuperscript{−1}), indicating the important influence of crustal particles in the water column. Overall, the lithogenic component explained more than 87% of PFe variance along the section. Within the Irminger and Labrador basins, the formation of biogenic particles led to an increase in the PFe/PAl ratio (up to 0.64 mol mol\textsuperscript{−1}) compared to the continental crust ratio. Continental margins induce high concentrations of particulate trace elements within the surrounding water masses (up to 10 nmol L\textsuperscript{−1} of PFe). For example, horizontal advection of PFe was visible more than 250 km away from the Iberian margin. Additionally, several benthic nepheloid layers were observed more than 200 m above the seafloor along the transect, especially in the Icelandic, Irminger, and Labrador basins, suspending particles with high PFe content of up to 89 nmol L\textsuperscript{−1}.
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1 Introduction

Particles play a key role in the ocean, where they drive the residence time of most elements (Jeaand and Oelkers, 2015) and strongly influence the global biogeochemistry of macro- and micro-nutrients including iron (Milne et al., 2017). In the surface ocean, biological activity produces biogenic suspended matter through planktonic organisms, while atmospheric deposition (Jickells et al., 2005; Baker et al., 2013), riverine discharge (Ussher et al., 2004; Berger et al., 2008; Aguilar-Islas et al., 2013), or ice melting (Lannuzel et al., 2011, 2014; Hawkins et al., 2014) deliver mostly lithogenic-derived particles to surface waters. These particulate inputs are highly variable, both spatially and seasonally, in the world’s oceans. At depth, benthic and shelf sediment resuspension (e.g. Fitzwater et al., 2000; McCave and Hall, 2002; Elrod et al., 2004; Lam and Bishop, 2008; Cullen et al., 2009; Hwang et al., 2010; Aguilar-Islas et al., 2013; Lam et al., 2015) and hydrothermal activity (Trefry et al., 1985; Elderfield and Schultz, 1996; Tagliaabue et al., 2010, 2017; Lam et al., 2012) provide important amounts of particles to the water column. Moreover, authigenic particles can be produced in situ by aggregation of colloids (Bergquist et al., 2007) or oxidation processes (Bishop and Fleisher, 1987; Collier and Edmond, 1984). Thus, oceanic particles result from a complex combination of these different sources and processes (Lam et al., 2015).

In the upper water column, the total iron pool is dominated by marine particles (Radic et al., 2011) which strongly interact with the dissolved pool (e.g. Ellwood et al., 2014). Indeed, dissolved iron can be scavenged onto particles (Rijkenberg et al., 2014; Gerringa et al., 2015), incorporated into biogenic particles (Berger et al., 2008), or produced by remineralization of particles (Dehairs et al., 2008; Sarthou et al., 2008). Interestingly, the concept of “reversible scavenging” of iron (i.e. release at depth of dissolved iron previously scavenged onto particles) has been advocated recently (Labatut et al., 2014; Dutay et al., 2015; Jeandel and Oelkers, 2015; Abadie et al., 2017), while other studies reveal distinct dissolution processes of inorganic particulate iron (e.g. Oelkers et al., 2012; Cheize et al., 2018). Slow dissolution of particulate iron at margins has also been evoked as a continuous fertilizer of primary production and should be considered as a source of dissolved iron (e.g. Lam and Bishop, 2008; Jeandel et al., 2011; Jeandel and Oelkers, 2015). Within or below the mixed layer, the rates of regeneration processes can also impact the bioavailable pool of iron, among other trace metals (e.g. Ellwood et al., 2014; Nuester et al., 2014). However, the rates of these processes are not yet fully constrained. The study of particulate iron is thus essential to better constrain its marine biogeochemical cycle. Interest has grown in this subject over the last 10 years, in particular (e.g. Bishop and Biscaye, 1982; Collier and Edmond, 1984; Sherrell et al., 1998; Frew et al., 2006; Planquette et al., 2011, 2013; Lam et al., 2012; Abadie et al., 2017; Milne et al., 2017), and, to our knowledge, only two studies have been performed on an ocean-wide scale: the GA03 GEOTRACES North Atlantic Zonal Transect (Lam et al., 2015; Ohnemus and Lam, 2015) and the GP16 GEOTRACES Pacific Transect (Lam et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2017).

Within this global context, this paper presents the particulate iron distribution of the North Atlantic Ocean, along the GEOTRACES GA01 section (GEOVIDE), and discusses the various sources and processes affecting particulate iron (PFe) distribution, using particulate aluminium (PAI), phosphorus (PP), or manganese (PMn) distributions to support our conclusions.

2 Methods

2.1 Study area

Particulate samples were collected at 32 stations during the GEOVIDE (GEOTRACES GA01 section) cruise between May and June 2014 aboard the R/V Pourquoi Pas? in the North Atlantic Ocean (Sarthou et al., 2018). The sampling spanned several biogeochemical provinces (Fig. 1), starting over the Iberian margin (IM, stations 2, 4, and 1), and proceeding to the Iberian Abyssal Plain (IAP, stations 11 to 17), the Western European Basin (WEB, station 19 to station 29), and the Icelandic Basin (IcB, stations 32 to 36). Then, samples were collected above the Reykjanes Ridge (RR, station 38), in the Irminger Basin (IrB, stations 40 to 60), close to the Greenland Shelf (GS, stations 53, 56, and 61), the Labrador Basin (LB, stations 63 to 77), and finally close the Newfoundland Shelf (NS, station 78) (Fig. 1). The North Atlantic is characterized by a complex circulation (briefly described in Sect. 3.1 and in detail by Zunino et al., 2017, and García-Ibáñez et al., 2015) and is one of the most productive regions of the global ocean (Martin et al., 1993; Sanders et al., 2014).

2.2 Sampling

Samples were collected using the French GEOTRACES clean rosette, equipped with 22 12L GO-FLO bottles (2 bottles were leaking and were not deployed during the cruise). GO-FLO bottles (General Oceanic’s) were initially cleaned in the home laboratory (LEMAR) following the GEOTRACES procedures (Cutter and Bruland, 2012). The rosette was deployed on a 14 mm Kevlar cable with a dedicated, custom-designed clean winch. Immediately after recovery, the GO-FLO bottles were individually covered at each end with plastic bags to minimize contamination. Bottles were then transferred into a clean container (class-100) for sampling. On each cast, nutrient and/or salinity samples were taken to check potential leakage of the GO-FLO bottles.

Filters were cleaned following the GEOTRACES protocols (http://www.geotraces.org/images/Cookbook.pdf, last access: 9 April 2019) and kept in acid-cleaned 1 L LDPE
bottles (Nalgene) filled with ultrapure water (Milli-Q, resistivity of 18.2 MΩ cm) until use. All filters were 25 mm in diameter in order to optimize the signal over the filter blank, except at the surface depth where 47 mm diameter filters were used. The filters were mounted on acid-cleaned polysulfone filter holders (Nalgene™). Prior to filtration, the GO-FLO bottles were shaken three times, as recommended in the GEOTRACES cookbook to avoid settling of particles in the lower part of the bottle. GO-FLO bottles were presurized to < 8 psi with 0.2 µm filtered nitrogen gas (N₂, Air Liquide). Seawater was then filtered directly through paired filters (Pall Gelman Supor™ 0.45 µm polyestersulfone, and Millipore mixed ester cellulose MF 5 µm) mounted in Swinnex polypropylene filter holders (Millipore), following Planquette and Sherrell (2012), inside the clean container. Filtration was operated until the bottle was empty or until the filter clogged; the volume filtered ranged from 2 L for surface samples to 11 L within the water column. After filtration, filter holders were disconnected from the GO-FLO bottles and a gentle vacuum was applied using a syringe in order to remove any residual water under a laminar flow hood. Filters were then removed from the filter holders with plastic tweezers (which were rinsed with Milli-Q between samples). Most of the remaining seawater was removed via “sipping” by capillary action, when placing the non-sampled side of the filter onto a clean 47 mm Supor™ filter. Each filter pair was then placed in an acid-cleaned polystyrene PetriSlide (Millipore), double bagged, and finally stored at −20 °C until analysis at LEMAR. Between casts, filter holders were thoroughly rinsed with Milli-Q, placed in an acid bath (5 % Trace metal grade HCl) for 24 h, and then rinsed with Milli-Q.

At each station, process blanks were collected as follows: 2 L of a deep (1000 m) and a shallow (40 m) seawater sample was first filtered through a 0.2 µm pore size capsule filter (Pall Gelman Acropak 200) mounted to the outlet of the GO-FLO bottle before passing through the particle sampling filter, which was attached directly to the Swinnex filter holder.

2.3 Analytical methods

In the home laboratory, sample handling was performed inside a clean room (Class 100). All solutions were prepared using ultrapure water (Milli-Q) and all plastic ware had been acid-cleaned before use. Frozen filters, collected within the mixed layer or within nepheloid layers, were first cut in half using a ceramic blade: one filter half was dedicated to total digestion (see below), while the other half was archived at −20 °C for SEM analyses or acid leaching of “labile” metals following the Berger et al. (2008) method (to be published separately).

Filters were digested following the method described in Planquette and Sherrell (2012). Filters were placed on the inner wall of acid-cleaned 15 mL PFA vials (Savillex™), and 2 mL of a solution containing 2.9 mol L⁻¹ hydrofluoric acid (HF, suprapur grade, Merck) and 8 mol L⁻¹ nitric acid (HNO₃, Ultrapur grade, Merck) was added to each vial. Vials were then closed and refluxed at 130 °C on a
hot plate for 4 h, after which the filters were removed. After cooling, the digest solution was evaporated at 110 °C to near dryness. Then, 400 µL of concentrated HNO3 (Ultrapur grade, Merck) was added, and the solution was re-evaporated at 110 °C. Finally, the obtained residue was dissolved with 3 mL of 0.8 mol L−1 HNO3 (Ultrapur grade, Merck). This archived solution was transferred to an acid-cleaned 15 mL polypropylene centrifuge tube (Corning®) and stored at 4 °C until analyses.

All analyses were performed on a sector field inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (SF-ICP-MS Element 2, Thermo-Fisher Scientific). Samples were diluted by a factor of 7 on the day of analysis in acid-washed 13 mm (outer diameter) rounded bottom, polypropylene centrifuge tubes (VWR) with 0.8 mol L−1 HNO3 (Ultrapur grade, Merck) spiked with 1 µg L−1 of indium (115In) solution in order to monitor the instrument drift. Samples were introduced with a PFA-ST nebulizer connected to a quartz cyclonic spray chamber (Elemental Scientific Incorporated, Omaha, NE) via a modified SC-Fast introduction system consisting of an SC-2 autosampler, a six-port valve, and a vacuum-rinsing pump. The autosampler was contained under a HEPA-filtered unit (Elemental Scientific). Two six-point, matrix-matched multi-element standard curves with concentrations bracketing the range of the samples were run at the beginning, the middle, and the end of each analytical run. Analytical replicates were made every ten samples, while accuracy was determined by performing digestions of certified reference material BCR-414 (plankton, Community Bureau of Reference, Commission of the European Communities), PACS-3, and MESS-4 (marine sediments, National Research Council Canada), following the same protocol used for the samples. Recoveries were typically within 10 % of the certified values (and within the error of the data, taken from replicate measurements, Table 1). Once all data were normalized to an 115In internal standard and quantified using an external standard curve, the dilution factor of the total digestion was accounted for. The elemental concentrations were obtained per filter (pmol/filter) and were then process blank-corrected. Finally, pmol/filter values were divided by the volume of water filtered through stacked filters.

Total concentrations (sum of small size fraction (0.45–5 µm) and large (> 5 µm) size fraction) of particulate trace elements are reported in Table S1 in the Supplement.

2.4 Positive matrix factorization

Positive matrix factorization (PMF) was run to characterize the main factors influencing the particulate trace element variance along the GEOVIDE section. In addition to PFe, PAI, PMn, and PP, nine additional elements were included in the PMF: yttrium (Y), barium (Ba), lead (Pb), thorium (Th), titanium (Ti), vanadium (V), cobalt (Co), copper (Cu), and zinc (Zn). The PMF was conducted on samples where all elements were above their detection limits; after selection, 445 of the 549 existing data points were used. Analyses were performed using the PMF software, EPA PMF 5.0, developed by the USA Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Three- to six-factor models were run on the data. The configuration that provided the lowest error estimation (i.e., was the most reliable) was the four-factor model. To ensure stability, this model was run 100 times. After displacement, error estimation, and bootstrap error estimation, the model was recognized as stable.

2.5 Derived and ancillary parameters

To investigate the proportion of lithogenic iron within the bulk particulate iron, we used the upper continental crust (UCC) Fe/Al molar ratio (0.21) of Taylor and McLennan (1995) to calculate the lithogenic components of particles (%PFe litho) following Eq. (1):

\[
\%PFe_{litho} = 100 \times \left( \frac{PAI}{PFe} \right)_{sample} \times \left( \frac{PFe}{PAI} \right)_{UCC ratio}
\]  

(1)

The non-lithogenic PFe is obtained using Eq. (2):

\[
\%PFe_{non-litho} = 100 - \%PFe_{litho}
\]  

(2)

Note that while the %PFe litho and %PFe non–litho proxies are interesting tools to evaluate the importance of lithogenic and non-lithogenic (either biogenic or authigenic) fractions, they have to be used carefully, as the spatial and temporal variation of the lithogenic component ratios may involve uncertainties of the estimated fraction value.

In addition to PAI, PMn can be used as a tracer of inputs from shelf resuspension (Lam and Bishop, 2008), using a percentage of sedimentary inputs “%bulk sediment inputs” estimated according to the following equation:

\[
\%bulk sediment PMn = 100 \times \left( \frac{PAI}{PMn} \right)_{sample} \times \left( \frac{PMn}{PAI} \right)_{UCC ratio},
\]  

(3)

with PAI/PMn being the ratio from the GEOVIDE samples and the PMn/PAI being the UCC value (0.0034; Taylor and McLennan, 1995).

This proxy can be a good indicator of sediment resuspension. We assume that particles newly resuspended in the water column will have the same PMn/PAI ratio as the UCC ratio, leading to a “%bulk sediment Mn” of 100 %. This proxy assumes homogeneity of the sediment PMn/PAI ratio throughout the GEOVIDE section. However, this may not be the case at every station. In consequence, this proxy should only be used to identify new benthic resuspension at specific locations; inter-comparison between several locations may not be appropriate. When a sample presents a “%bulk sediment Mn” greater than 100 %, we have assigned a maximum value of 100 %. As the Mn cycle can also be influenced
by biotic uptake (e.g. Sunda and Huntsman, 1983; Peers and Price, 2004), this proxy is only used at depths where biologic activity was negligible (i.e. below 150 m depth).

Potential temperature (θ), salinity (S), and transmissometry data were retrieved from the CTD sensors (CTD SBE911 equipped with a SBE43).

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Hydrography setting

Here, we briefly describe the hydrography encountered during the GEOVIDE section (Fig. 2) as a thorough description is available in García-Ibáñez et al. (2015). At the start of the section, the warm and salty Mediterranean Water (MW, $S = 36.50$, $θ = 11.7^°C$) was sampled between 600 and 1700 m in the Iberian Abyssal Plain (IAP). MW resulted from the mixing between the Mediterranean Overflow Water (MOW) plume coming from the Mediterranean Sea and local waters. Surface water above the Iberian Shelf was characterized by low salinity ($S = 34.95$) at stations 2 and 4 compared to surrounding water masses. Close to the seafloor of the Iberian Abyssal Basin, the North East Atlantic Deep Water (NEADW, $S = 34.89$, $θ = 2.0^°C$) spread northward. The North Atlantic Central Water (NACW, $S > 35.60$, $θ > 12.3^°C$) was the warmest water mass of the transect and was observed in the subsurface layer of the Western European Basin and Iberian Abyssal Plain. An old Labrador Sea Water (LSW, $S = 34.87$, $θ = 3.0^°C$) flowed inside the Western European and Icelandic basins, between 1000 and 2500 m depth.

In the Icelandic Basin, below the old LSW, the Iceland–Scotland Overflow Water (ISOW, $S = 34.98$, $θ = 2.6^°C$) spread along the Reykjanes Ridge slope. This cold water, originating from the Arctic, led to the formation of NEADW after mixing with surrounding waters. North Atlantic hydrography was impacted by the northward flowing of the North Atlantic Current (NAC), which carried warm and salty waters from the subtropical area. Due to air–sea interactions and mixing with surrounding water, the NACW is cooled and freshened in the subpolar gyre and is transformed into Subpolar Mode Water (SPMW). The formation of SPMW inside the Icelandic and Irminger basins leads to the formation of regional mode waters: the Iceland Subpolar Mode Water (IcSPMW, $S = 35.2$, $θ = 8.0^°C$) and the Irminger Subpolar Mode Water (IrSPMW, $S = 35.01$, $θ = 5.0^°C$), respectively. IcSPMW was a relatively warm water mass with potential temperature up to $7^°C$ (García-Ibáñez et al., 2015). Another branch of the NAC mixed with Labrador Current waters to form the relatively fresh Sub-Arctic Intermediate Water (SAIW, $S < 34.8$, $4.5^°C < θ < 6^°C$).

The Irminger Basin is a complex area with a multitude of water masses. In the middle of the basin, an old LSW, formed 1 year before (Straneo et al., 2003), spread between 500 and 1200 m depth. Close to the bottom, the Denmark Strait Overflow Water (DSOW, $S = 34.91$) flowed across the basin. Greenland coastal waters were characterized by low salinity values, down to $S = 33$. The strong East Greenland Current (EGC) flowed southward along the Greenland Shelf in the Irminger Basin. At the southern tip of Greenland, this current enters the Labrador Basin along the western coast of Greenland and followed the outline of the basin until the Newfoundland Shelf. In the Labrador Basin, the deep convection of SPMW at 2000 m was involved in the formation of the LSW ($S = 34.9$, $θ = 3.0^°C$) (Yashayaev and Loder, 2009; García-Ibáñez et al., 2015). Above the Newfoundland Shelf, surface waters were affected by discharge from rivers and ice melting and characterized by extremely low salinity for open ocean waters, below 32 in the first 15 m.

#### 3.2 Section overview

Total particulate concentrations spanned a large range of concentrations from below detection (Table 1) to $304 \text{nmol L}^{-1}$ for PFe, $1544 \text{nmol L}^{-1}$ for Pai, $3.5 \text{nmol L}^{-1}$ for PMn, and $402 \text{nmol L}^{-1}$ for PP. The ranges of concentrations are comparable to other studies recently published (Table 2).

Along the section, PFe, Pai, and PMn were predominantly found (>90%) in particles larger than 5 µm, except in surface waters, reflecting a more heterogenous pattern, where $9 ± 8.6\%$ of PFe, $10.9 ± 15.4\%$ of Pai, $32.8 ± 16.6\%$ of

---

**Table 1.** Blank and limit of detection (nmol L$^{-1}$) of the two filters and certified reference material (CRM) recoveries during GEOVIDE suspended particle digestions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Mn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blank (nmol L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>5 µm filter</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.45 µm filter</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>1.454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit of detection (nmol L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>5 µm filter</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.45 µm filter</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>1.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery CRM (%)</td>
<td>BCR-414 (n = 10)</td>
<td>88 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MESS-4 (n = 5)</td>
<td>98 ± 14</td>
<td>97 ± 14</td>
<td>80 ± 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PACS-3 (n = 8)</td>
<td>101 ± 9</td>
<td>99 ± 14</td>
<td>91 ± 34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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PMn, and 38.8 ± 8.6 % of PP were hosted by smaller particles (0.45–5 µm). Data are shown in Fig. 3.

3.3 Open Ocean stations: from the Iberian Abyssal Plain to the Labrador Basin

This concerns all stations from stations 11 to 77, with the exception of stations 53, 56, and 61, which were sampled close to the Greenland coast (Fig. 1). Particulate iron concentration profiles showed identical patterns at all the open ocean stations encountered along the section. Median PFe was low at 0.25 nmol L$^{-1}$ within the first 100 m and steadily increased with depth. However, at two stations, elevated concentrations were determined in the upper 100 m, up to 4.4 nmol L$^{-1}$ at station 77 at 40 m depth and 7 nmol L$^{-1}$ at station 63 between 70 and 100 m depth. PFe concentrations gradually increased with depth, with a median PFe of 1.74 nmol L$^{-1}$ below 1000 m. Close to the seafloor of some stations (26, 29, 32, 34, 49, 60, and 71), high concentrations of PFe were observed, up to 88 nmol L$^{-1}$ (station 71 at 3736 m). These high PFe values were associated with low beam transmissometry values ≤ 97 %.
Particulate aluminium and manganese profiles were similar to PFe profiles, with low concentrations measured in the first 100 m (1.88 nmol L\(^{-1}\) and 55 pmol L\(^{-1}\), respectively) which increased towards the seafloor. Close to the seafloor, high concentrations were determined at the same stations cited above for PFe, with a maximum of 264 and 3.5 nmol L\(^{-1}\) for PAl and PMn, respectively, at station 71 (Table S1 in the Supplement). The highest particulate phosphorus concentrations were in the uppermost 50 m, with a median value of 66 nmol L\(^{-1}\). Below 200 m depth PP con-
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Concentrations decreased to values below 10 nmol L\(^{-1}\). Interbasins differences were observed within surface samples, with median PP concentrations being higher in the Irminger Basin (127 nmol L\(^{-1}\)) than in the Iberian Abyssal Plain (28 nmol L\(^{-1}\)) (Fig. 3).

Finally, above the Reykjanes Ridge, PP, PMn, PAl, and PFe concentrations were in the same range as the surrounding open ocean stations. However, close to the seafloor, high concentrations were measured, with PFe, PAI, and PMn reaching 16.2, 28.8, and 0.51 nmol L\(^{-1}\) at 1354 m depth, respectively (Fig. 3 and Table S1).

3.4 Margins and shelves: Iberian margin (stations 1 to 4), Greenland coast (stations 53, 56, and 61), and Newfoundland Shelf (station 78)

The Iberian margin was characterized by low beam transmissometry values at station 2 (88 % at 140 m depth, Fig. 4a), suggesting high particle concentrations. Particulate iron concentrations varied from 0.02 to 304 nmol L\(^{-1}\). Within the first 50 m, PFe concentrations decreased towards the shelf break where PFe dropped from 2.53 nmol L\(^{-1}\) (station 2) to 0.8 nmol L\(^{-1}\) (station 1). At all three stations, PFe concentrations increased with depth and reached a maximum close to the seafloor. For example, 300 nmol L\(^{-1}\) of PFe was determined at 138.5 m depth at station 2. Lithogenic tracers, such as PAI or PMn, presented similar profiles to PFe with concentrations ranging from 0.11 and 1544 nmol L\(^{-1}\), and from below the detection limit to 2.51 nmol L\(^{-1}\), respectively (Fig. 3, Table S1). Total particulate phosphorus concentrations were relatively low in surface waters, ranging from values below detection to 38 nmol L\(^{-1}\); concentrations decreased with depth and were less than 0.7 nmol L\(^{-1}\) below 1000 m depth.

In the vicinity of the Greenland Shelf, PFe concentrations had a high median value of 10.8 nmol L\(^{-1}\) and were associated with high median PAI and PMn concentrations of 32.3 and 0.44 nmol L\(^{-1}\), respectively. Concentrations of PP were high at the surface with a value of 197 nmol L\(^{-1}\) at 25 m depth of station 61. Then, PP concentrations decreased strongly, to less than 30 nmol L\(^{-1}\) below 100 m depth. Furthermore, beam transmissometry values in surface waters at these three stations were the lowest of the entire section, with values below 85 % (Fig. 4a).

Close to the Newfoundland margin, surface waters displayed a small load of particulate trace metals as PFe, PAI, and PMn concentrations were below 0.8, 2, and 0.15 nmol L\(^{-1}\), respectively. Then, close to the bottom of station 78, at 371 m depth, beam transmissometry values dropped to 94 % (Fig. 4a) and were associated with extremely high concentrations of PFe = 168 nmol L\(^{-1}\), PAI = 559 nmol L\(^{-1}\), and PMn = 2 nmol L\(^{-1}\). Total PP concentrations in the first 50 m ranged from 35 to 97 nmol L\(^{-1}\). Below 50 m, PP remained relatively high with values up to 16 nmol L\(^{-1}\) throughout the water column (Fig. 3 and Table S1).

4 Discussion

Our goal was to investigate mechanisms that drive the distribution of PFe in the North Atlantic, in particular the different routes of supply and removal. Possible sources of PFe include lateral advection offshore from margins, atmospheric inputs, continental run-off, melting glaciers and icebergs, re-suspended sediments, hydrothermal inputs, and biological uptake. Removal processes include remineralization, dissolution processes, and sediment burial.

In the following sections, we examine each of these sources and processes, explore the evidence for their relative importance, and use compositional data to estimate the particle types and host phases for iron and associated elements.

4.1 Analysis of the principal factors controlling variance: near-ubiquitous influence of crustal particles in the water column

Positive matrix factorization analysis (Fig. 5) was undertaken on the entire dataset; in consequence, the factors described below are highly influenced by the major variations of particulate element concentrations (usually at the interfaces, i.e. margin, seafloor, surface layer). The first factor is characterized by lithogenic elements, representing 86.8 % of the variance of PFe, 75.8 % of PAI, and 90.5 % of PTi. The second factor is correlated with both Mn and Pb and explains no less than 76.5 % and 77.0 % of their respective variances. Ohnemus and Lam (2015) observed this relationship between manganese and lead particles and explained it by the co-transport on Mn oxides (Boyle et al., 2005). The formation of barite explains the third factor and constrained 87.7 % of the Ba variance in the studied regions. Biogenic barite accumulation within the mesopelagic layer is related to bacterial activity and remineralization of biogenic material (Lemaitre et al., 2018). A biogenic component is the fourth factor and explained most of the PP variance, 83.7 %.

The micronutrient trace metals, copper, cobalt, and zinc, had more than a quarter of their variances influenced by this factor. Note that the biogenic contribution to PFe and other trace elements will be discussed in another paper (Planquette et al., 2019).

These results indicate that along the GA01 section, PFe distributions were predominantly controlled by lithogenic material and to a smaller extent by remineralization processes (PMF; factor 3 = 4.1 %). This does not rule out some biogenic influences on PFe distribution, especially in the surface, but its contribution is most likely obscured by the high lithogenic contribution.

To further investigate the influence of crustal material on the distribution of PFe, it is instructive to examine the distribution of the PFe to PAI molar ratio, and the resulting %PFe\(_{\text{litho}}\) (see Sect. 2.6 for a definition of this parameter) along the section (Figs. 6 and 7). Overall, the estimated lithogenic contribution to PFe varies from 25 % (west of the
Figure 4. Section of derived contributions of sedimentary inputs along the GA01 section with (a) beam transmissometry (%) and (b) manganese bulk sediment proxy (%) based on Eq. (3). Station IDs and biogeochemical region are indicated above section (a) in black numbers and blue letters, respectively. This figure was generated using Ocean Data View (Schlitzer, R., Ocean Data View, http://odv.awi.de/, 2017).

Irminger Basin, station 60, 950 m depth) to 100% at stations located within the Western European Basin. Note that 100% of estimated lithogenic PFe does not necessarily mean that biogenic particles are absent; they may just be masked by the dominance of lithogenic particles. Important inter-basin variations are observed along the section (Fig. 6). The IAP and WEB displayed high median values of the proxy %PFe_{litho}, 90% (Fig. 6b); this could be linked to the lateral advection of iron-rich lithogenic particles sourced from the Iberian margin and to atmospheric inputs (Shelley et al., 2017). Then, between stations 26 and 29, the %PFe_{litho} proxy values dramatically decreased, and reached values less than 55% in the Iceland, Irminger, and Labrador basins (Fig. 6b). This feature is likely associated with the presence of the Sub-Arctic Front located between 49.5 and 51° N latitude and 23.5 and 22° W longitude (Zunino et al., 2017). Indeed, this front which separates cold and fresh water of subpolar origin from warm and salty water of subtropical origin was clearly identifiable by the steep gradient of the isohalines between stations 26 and 29, salinity dropping from 35.34 to 35.01 (Fig. 2). Lower %PFe_{litho} proxy values could be associated with higher proportions of PFe from biogenic origin, especially in the case of the LSW.

4.2 Tracking the different inputs of particulate iron

4.2.1 Inputs at margins: Iberian, Greenland, and Newfoundland

Inputs of iron from continental margin sediments supporting the high productivity found in shallow coastal regions have been demonstrated in the past (e.g. Elrod et al., 2004; Ussher et al., 2007; Cullen et al., 2009; Jeandel et al., 2011) and sometimes were shown to be advected at great distances from the coast (e.g. Lam and Bishop, 2008). In the following
Figure 5. Factor fingerprint of the positive matrix factorization conducted on 445 particle samples collected along the GA01 section. The four main factors influencing the particulate trace element variance are represented in a stacked bar chart of the percentage of variance explained per element. Factor 1 is dominated by the lithogenic elements, e.g. Th, Al, Ti, and Fe. Factor 2 is associated with Pb and Mn variances. Biogenic barite formation mainly influences factor 3. Factor 4 is dominated by biogenic elements, e.g. P, Co, Cu, and Zn.

We will investigate these possible sources in proximity to the different margins encountered.

The Iberian margin

The Iberian margin was an important source of lithogenic-derived iron-rich particles to the Atlantic Ocean during GEOVIDE; shelf resuspension impacts were perceptible up to 280 km from the margin (station 11) in the Iberian Abyssal Plain (Fig. 8).

On the shelf, at station 2, high sediment resuspension resulted in the low beam transmissometry value (87.6 %) in the immediate vicinity of the seafloor (153 m depth). This sediment resuspension led to an extensive input of lithogenic particles within the water column associated with high concentrations of PFe (304 nmol L$^{-1}$), PAl (1500 nmol L$^{-1}$), and PMn (2.5 nmol L$^{-1}$) (Fig. 3, Table S1). Moreover, 100 % of PFe was estimated to have a lithogenic origin (Fig. 8b), while 100 % of the PMn was estimated to be the result of a recent sediment resuspension according to the %PFe$_{litho}$ and "%bulk sediment Mn" proxies (Fig. 8b, c), confirming the resuspended particle input. In addition, ADCP data acquired during GEOVIDE (Zunino et al., 2017) and several other studies have reported an intense current spreading northward coming from the Strait of Gibraltar and the Mediterranean Sea, leading to the strong resuspension of benthic sediments above the Iberian Shelf (e.g. Eittreim et al., 1976; Biscaye and Eittreim, 1977; Spinrad et al., 1983; McCave and Hall, 2002).

At distance from the shelf, within the Iberian Abyssal Plain, an important lateral advection of PFe from the margin was observable (Fig. 8a). These lateral inputs occurred at two depth ranges: between 400 and 1000 m as seen at stations 4 and 1, with PFe concentrations reaching 4 nmol L$^{-1}$, and between 2500 m and the bottom (3575 m) of station 1, with PFe concentrations reaching 3.5 nmol L$^{-1}$. While 100 % of PFe had a lithogenic signature, the sedimentary source input estimation decreased, between 40 % and 90 % of the PMn (Fig. 8b). Transport of lithogenic particles was observable until station 11 (12.2° W) at 2500 m, where PFe concentration was 7.74 nmol L$^{-1}$ and 60 % of PMn had a sedimentary origin (Fig. 4). It is noteworthy that no increase in PFe, PMn, or PAl was observed between 500 and 2000 m depth, where the MOW spreads (García-Ibáñez et al., 2015). This is consistent with the observed dissolved iron (DFe) concentrations (Tonnard et al., 2018, this issue), yet in contrast to dissolved aluminium (DAl) concentrations (Menzel Barraqueta et al., 2018, this issue), which were high in the MOW, and with the study of Ohnemus and Lam (2015) that reported a maximum PFe concentration at 695 m depth associated with the particle-rich Mediterranean Overflow Water (Eittreim et al., 1976) in the IAP. However, their station was located further south of our station 1. The shallower inputs observed at stations 1 and 4 could therefore be attributed to sediment resuspension from the Iberian margin and nepheloid layer at depth for station 1.

Surface coastal waters of the Iberian Shelf are impacted by the runoff for the Tagus River, which is characterized by high suspended matter discharges, ranging between 0.4 and $1 \times 10^6$ ton yr$^{-1}$, and with a high anthropogenic trace element signature (Jouanneau et al., 1998). During the GEOVIDE section, the freshwater input was observable at stations 1, 2, and 4 in the first 20 m; salinity was below 35.2, while surrounding water masses had salinity up to 35.7.
Within the freshwater plume, particulate concentrations were high at station 2, with a PFe of 1.83 nmol L$^{-1}$. Further away from the coast, the particulate concentrations remained low at 20 m depth, with PFe, PAl, and PMn concentrations of 0.77, 3.5, and 0.04 nmol L$^{-1}$, respectively, at station 1. The low expansion of the Tagus plume is likely due to the rapid settling of suspended matter. Indeed, our coastal station 2 was located approximately 50 km from the Iberian coast, whereas the surface particle load can only be observed at a maximum distance of 30 km from the Tagus estuary (Jouanneau et al., 1998). Overall, the Iberian margin appears to be an important source of lithogenic-derived iron-rich particles to the Atlantic Ocean.

**South Greenland**

During GEOVIDE, the Greenland shelves were a source of particulate-rich meteoric water leading to a transfer of DFe to PFe by enhanced biological activity. Indeed, both the East (station 53) and West (station 61) Greenland shelves had high concentrations of particles (beam transmissometry of 83%, Fig. 4a) and particulate trace elements, reaching 22.1 nmol L$^{-1}$ (at 100 m depth) and 18.7 nmol L$^{-1}$ (at 136 m depth) of PFe, respectively. Several studies have already demonstrated the importance of icebergs and sea-ice melting as sources of dissolved and particulate iron (e.g. Raiswell et al., 2008; Planquette et al., 2011; van der Merwe et al., 2011a, b). The Greenland Shelf is highly influenced by external freshwater inputs such as sea-ice melting or riverine runoff (Fragoso et al., 2016), which are important sources of iron to the Greenland Shelf (Statham et al., 2019).

---

**Figure 6.** (a) Section of the PFe to PAl molar ratio (mol mol$^{-1}$) during the GEOVIDE cruise (GA01) and (b) contribution (%) of lithogenic particulate iron (PFe$_{litho}$) based on Eq. (1). Station IDs and biogeochemical provinces are indicated above each section in black numbers and blue letters, respectively. This figure was generated using Ocean Data View (Schlitzer, R., Ocean Data View, http://odv.awi.de/, 2017).
2008; Bhatia et al., 2013; Hawkins et al., 2014). During the cruise, the relative freshwater observed ($S < 33 \text{ psu}$) within the first 25 m of stations 53 and 61 was associated with high PFe (19 nmol L$^{-1}$), PAl (61 nmol L$^{-1}$), PMn (0.6 nmol L$^{-1}$), and low beam transmissometry ($\leq 85 \%$) (Fig. 4a and Table S1). The associated particles were enriched in iron compared to aluminium, as the PFe/PAl ratio was 0.3 within the meteoric water plume. The high PP concentrations (reaching 197 nmol L$^{-1}$) resulting from high biological production (Chl $a = 6.21 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$ at 24 m at station 61), induced by the supply of bioavailable dissolved iron (surface DFe of 0.79 nM at station 61) from meteoric water (Raiswell et al., 2008; Statham et al., 2008; Tomnard et al., 2018), led to a transfer of DFe to the particulate phase. This is in line with the finding that around 30 $\%$ of PFe had a non-lithogenic origin. In addition, only 40 $\%$ of PMn originated from resuspended sediments. Interestingly, these two proxies remained constant from the seafloor to the surface (station 49, Fig. 8), with around 25 $\%$ of the PMn of sedimentary origin, which could be due to important mixing occurring on the shelf. The lithogenic PFe could result from the release of PFe from Greenland bedrock captured during the ice sheet formation on land.

The spatial extent of the off-shelf lateral transport of particles was not important on the eastern Greenland coast. Indeed, no visible increase in particulate trace metal concentrations was visible at the first station off-shelf, station 60 (Fig. 8), except at 1000 m depth, where a strong increase (up to 90 $\%$) in sedimentary PMn was seen. This is probably due to the East Greenland Coastal Current (EGCC) that was located at station 53 which constrained these inputs, while stations 56 and 60 were under the influence of another strong current, the East Greenland–Irminger Current (EGIC) (Zunino et al., 2017). To the west of the Greenland margin, lateral transport of particles was slightly more important. Noticeable concentrations of particulate lithogenic elements were observable until station 64 located 125 km away from shoreline. These particles had a decreased PFe lithogenic contribution (50 $\%$) with a similar (25 $\%$) sedimentary PMn content than closer to the margin (Fig. 8b, c). The increasing nature of non-lithogenic PFe is linked to the bloom in surface waters (PFe/PAl ratio of 0.30 mol mol$^{-1}$, PP of 197 nmol L$^{-1}$, and Chl $a$ concentration of 6.21 mg m$^{-3}$ at station 61), with the gravitational settling of biogenic PFe. Therefore, particles newly resuspended from Greenland sediments are an important source, representing around one-third of the pMn pool, combined with surface inputs such as riverine runoff and/or ice melting that are delivering particles on the shelf, and also biological production. Unlike the Iberian Shelf, the Greenland margin was not an important provider of particulate metals inside the Irminger and Labrador basins, due to the circulation that constrained the extent of the margin plume.

The Newfoundland Shelf

Previous studies have already described the influence of freshwater on the Newfoundland Shelf from the Hudson Strait and/or Canadian Arctic Archipelago (Yashayaev, 2007; Fragoso et al., 2016). Yashayaev (2007) also monitored
strong resuspension of sediments associated with the spreading of the Labrador Current along the West Labrador margin. Close to the Newfoundland coastline, at station 78, high freshwater discharge (≤ 32 psu) was observed in surface waters (Benetti et al., 2017). Interestingly, these freshwater signatures were not associated with elevated particulate trace metal concentrations. Distance of meteoric water sources implied a long travel time for the water to spread through the Labrador Basin to our sampling stations. Along the journey,
particles present originally may have been removed from the water column by gravitational settling.

The proportion of lithogenic PFe was relatively high and constant throughout the water column, with a median value of 70%. At station 78, 95% of the PMn had a sedimentary origin close to the seafloor (371 m). The spreading of the recent sediment resuspension was observable until 140 m depth where the contribution of sedimentary Mn was still 51% (Fig. 8c, Table S2). This could correspond to an intense nepheloid layer as previously reported by Biscaye and Eittreim (1977) (see also Sect. 3.3.2). The high PFe concentration (184 nmol L\(^{-1}\), station 78, 371 m depth, Fig. 8b) associated with a high percentage of sedimentary PMn (95%) observed at the bottom of this station was therefore the result of an important resuspension of shelf sediments. This was confirmed with low transmissometry values of 95% (Fig. 4a).

Along the GEOVIDE section, continental shelves provided an important load of particles to the surrounding water column. The three margins sampled during GEOVIDE behaved very differently; the Iberian margin discharged high quantities of lithogenic particles far from the coast, while the Greenland and Newfoundland margins did not reveal important PFe concentrations. Spreading of particles is tightly linked to hydrodynamic conditions, which in the case of the Greenland margin prevented long-distance seeding of PFe. Moreover, each margin showed a specific PFe/PAl ratio (Fig. 9) indicating different composition of the resuspended particles. Resuspended particles represent the composition of sediment at the margin if redox transformations of iron and aluminium are considered negligible under these circumstances. Differences between margins were due to the presence of non-crustal particles, either biogenic or authigenic. Biological production in surface waters and authigenic formation of iron hydroxide produced particles with a higher PFe/PAl content and their export through the water column to the sediment increased the PFe/PAl ratio at depth. Regions where biological production is intense such as in the vicinity of Newfoundland presented higher PFe/PAl ratios of resuspended benthic particles.

### 4.2.2 Benthic resuspended sediments

Along the GEOVIDE section, benthic nepheloid layers (BNLs) provided high concentrations of particulate trace elements to the deep open ocean, contributing significantly to the total budget of iron. BNLs were observable in each province, although intensities varied (Figs. 3 and 10).

In BNLs located within the WEB, PFe concentrations reached up to 10 nmol L\(^{-1}\) (stations 26 and 29, Fig. 10a; Table S1). These concentrations were lower than PFe concentrations in BNLs from the Icelandic (stations 32 and 34), Irminger (stations 42 and 44), and Labrador basins (stations 68, 69, and 71), where benthic resuspension led to PFe concentrations higher than 40 nmol L\(^{-1}\), even reaching 89 nmol L\(^{-1}\) at the bottom of station 71 (3736 m depth).

Moreover, in the Irminger and Labrador basins, PFe/PAl molar ratios within BNLs were higher than the ones measured within the WEB at stations 26 and 29. In the Irminger Basin, PFe/PAl reached 0.4 mol mol\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 10b), which could reveal a mixture of lithogenic and biogenic matter that had been previously exported. This feature was also observed in the Labrador Basin, with the PFe/PAl ratio ranging between 0.34 and 0.44 mol mol\(^{-1}\). In contrast, BNLs sampled in the WEB clearly have a lithogenic imprint, with PFe/PAl molar ratios close to the crustal one. Resuspended sediments with a non-crustal contribution seem to have higher PFe contents than sediments with lithogenic characteristics. Nevertheless, interestingly all BNLs present during GEOVIDE were spreading identically, with impacts observable up to 200 m above the oceanic seafloor (Fig. 10), as reflected in beam transmissometry values, and PFe concentrations, which returned to background levels at 200 m above the seafloor. The presence of these BNLs has also been reported by Le Roy et al. (2018) using radium-226 activity. Important differences of PFe intensities could also be due to different hydrographic components and topographic characteristics. BNLs occur due to strong hydrographic stresses (i.e. boundary currents, benthic storms, and deep eddies) interacting with the ocean floor (Eittreim et al., 1976; Biscaye and Eittreim, 1977; Gardner et al., 2017, 2018). They are, by definition, highly variable geographically and temporally, but we have no physical data which would allow us to investigate this hypothesis further.
Figure 10. Benthic nepheloid layers (BNLs) encountered along the GA01 section and observed through (a) PFe total, (b) PFe/PAl ratio, and (c) beam transmissometry (%) as a function of depth above the seafloor (m) at selected stations where a decrease in transmissometry was recorded in the West European (red dots), Iceland (green dots), Irminger (blue dots), and Labrador basins. Noted that the UCC PFe/PAl ratio reported from Taylor and McLennan (1995) is 0.21 mol mol\(^{-1}\).

4.2.3 Reykjanes Ridge inputs

Above the Reykjanes Ridge (station 38), high PFe concentrations were determined, reaching 16 nmol L\(^{-1}\) just above the seafloor, while increased DFe concentrations were reported to the east of the ridge (Tonnard et al., 2018, this issue). The exact sources of iron-rich particles cannot be well constrained, as they could come from active hydrothermal vents or resuspension of particulate matter from new crustal matter produced at the ridge. According to the oceanic circulation (Garcia-Ibanez et al., 2017; Zunino et al., 2017), hydrothermal particles could have been seen in the ISOW within the Icelandic Basin. Nevertheless, at the vicinity of the ridge, scanning electron microscope (SEM) analyses of our samples did reveal several biological debris and clays but not the presence of iron (oxy-)hydroxide particles (Fig. S1 in the Supplement), which are known to be produced close to hydrothermal vents (Elderfield and Schultz, 1996). Their absence could thus indicate an absence of vents. However, data from other proxies, such as helium-3, would be necessary to confirm the presence or absence of a hydrothermal source close to station 38.

4.2.4 Atmospheric inputs

Atmospheric deposition is an important source of trace elements in the surface of the open ocean (e.g. Jickells et al., 2005). Atmospheric inputs, both wet and dry, were reported to be low during the GEOVIDE cruise (Shelley et al., 2018; Menzel Barraqueta et al., 2018b, this issue). In fact, oceanic particle measurements in surface waters along the section did not reveal high PFe or PAl concentrations. One pattern is interesting to note: the surface waters of the Iberian Abyssal Plain and Western European Basin, between stations 11 and 23, presented a characteristic feature with really low PFe/PAl elemental ratios, of 0.11, smaller than the UCC ratio of 0.21 (Fig. 6a). Such low ratios have been reported in the same region by Barrett et al. (2012). One possible explanation is given by Buck et al. (2010), who described Fe-depleted aerosols in this area of the North Atlantic with a PFe/PAl ratio below the UCC ratio. However, Shelley et al. (2017) found a higher PFe/PAl ratio of around 0.25 is this area (their samples geoa5–6). This result highlights some of the difficulties in linking atmospheric inputs to water column data (Baker et al., 2016) and implies a probable fractionation after aerosol deposition. In addition, there is high spatial and temporal variability of atmospheric deposition (Mahowald et al., 2005) and a certain degree of uncertainty about the dissolution processes of atmospherically transported particles (Bonnet and Guieu, 2004).

5 Conclusions

The investigation of the PFe composition of suspended particulate matter along the GEOVIDE section in the North Atlantic reflects the pervasive influence of crustal particles, augmented by sedimentary inputs at margins, and within benthic nepheloid layers at depths. In consequence, variance of particulate iron along the section is mainly explained by lithogenic factors.

Resuspension of sedimentary particles from continental shelves is responsible for high particulate iron concentrations within the surrounding water column and could be observed at long distances from the shelf, in the case of the Iberian margin. Our results also demonstrate the impact of Arctic meteoric water on the Greenland Shelf, while in surface wa-
ters, the enhancement of productivity by new bioavailable iron leads to a transfer of dissolved iron to the particulate phase. Benthic nepheloid layers provide important concentrations of particles to the water column; they were observed in most of the oceanic basin encountered along the GEOVIDE section. Overall, PFe distributions in the North Atlantic are strongly influenced by sources at its boundaries (i.e. continental margins and seafloor). When combined with other datasets from the GEOTRACES programme in a modelling study, for example, use of this data will facilitate a greater understanding of particulate iron cycling in the North Atlantic.

Data availability. All GEOVIDE data are deposited in the LEFE CYBER (2019) database (http://www.obs-vlfr.fr/prooft/php/geovide/x_datalist_1.php?xxop=geovide&xxcamp=geovide). They will also be submitted to the next GEOTRACES Intermediate Data Product.
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