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Abstract. The distribution of dissolved aluminium (dAl) in the water column of the North Atlantic and Labrador Sea was studied along GEOTRACES section GA01 to unravel the sources and sinks of this element. Surface water dAl concentrations were low (median of 2.5 nM) due to low aerosol deposition and removal by biogenic particles (i.e. phytoplankton cells). However, surface water dAl concentrations were enhanced on the Iberian and Greenland shelves (up to 30.9 nM) due to continental inputs (rivers, glacial flour, and ice melt). Dissolved Al in surface waters scaled negatively with chlorophyll a and biogenic silica (opal) concentrations. The abundance of diatoms exerted a significant \( p < 0.01 \) control on the surface particulate Al (pAl) to dAl ratios by decreasing dAl levels and increasing pAl levels. Dissolved Al concentrations generally increased with depth and correlated strongly with silicic acid \( (R^2 > 0.76) \) west of the Iberian Basin, suggesting net release of dAl at depth during remineralization of sinking opal-containing particles. Enrichment of dAl at near-bottom depths was observed due to the resuspension of sediments. The highest dAl concentrations (up to 38.7 nM) were observed in Mediterranean Outflow Waters, which act as a major source of dAl to mid-depth waters of the eastern North Atlantic. This study clearly shows that the vertical and lateral distributions of dAl in the North Atlantic differ when compared to other regions of the Atlantic and global oceans. Responsible for these large inter- and intra-basin differences are the large spatial variabilities in the main Al source, atmospheric deposition, and the main Al sink, particle scavenging by biogenic particles.

1 Introduction

Aluminium (Al) in the oceans has been used as a tracer for mineral dust deposition (Han et al., 2008; Measures and Vink, 2000; Measures and Brown, 1996) and water masses (Measures and Edmond, 1990). Aluminium is the third most abundant element in the Earth’s crust (Rudnick and Gao, 2003), but concentrations of dissolved Al (dAl; filtered through 0.4 or 0.2 µm pore size filters) in the world’s ocean are at nanomolar to low micromolar levels. In seawater, Al undergoes rapid hydrolysis resulting in the formation of species such as Al(OH)\(_3\) and Al(OH)\(_4^-\), which are insoluble (Roberson and Hem, 1969) and particle reactive (Orians...
and Bruland, 1985), especially in association with silicorich particles (Moran and Moore, 1988a).

A major source of Al to the surface ocean is dry atmospheric deposition of terrigenous material (Kramer et al., 2004; Measures et al., 2005; Orians and Bruland, 1986), which can be carried thousands of kilometres in the atmosphere before deposition into the ocean (Duce et al., 1991; Prospero and Carlson, 1972). Wet atmospheric deposition (rain, fog, and snow) also plays an important role in supplying Al to both the North Atlantic (Schlosser et al., 2014; Shelley et al., 2017) and the global ocean (Guerzoni et al., 1997; Vink and Measures, 2001). Glacial runoff has been reported as a pronounced source for Arctic and Antarctic surface waters (Brown et al., 2010; Statham et al., 2008), but its impact beyond the immediate source regions has not yet been established. Fluvial inputs were historically considered a dominant source of Al to the surface ocean (Stoffyn and Mackenzie, 1982), but Al removal through particle scavenging during estuarine mixing processes appears to strongly reduce the riverine Al outflows (Hydes, 1989). However, recent publications have indicated significant fluvial sources for Al (Brown and Bruland, 2009; Brown et al., 2010; Grand et al., 2015). Sediment resuspension represents an important source of Al to the deep ocean, especially along ocean margins with strong boundary currents (Jeandel et al., 2011) and in areas with benthic nepheloid layers (Middag et al., 2015b; Moran and Moore, 1991). Recently, hydrothermal vents (Measures et al., 2015; Resing et al., 2015) were noted as Al sources to the deep Atlantic and Pacific oceans, with plumes extending at depth over 3000 km in the Pacific Ocean.

Removal of Al in oceanic waters occurs through particle scavenging with subsequent sinking of the particulate matter (Orians and Bruland, 1986). This removal occurs via both active and passive scavenging processes. Active scavenging occurs when dAl is incorporated into the atomic structure of opaline diatom frustules, a process which has been demonstrated in laboratory experiments and is also supported by positive correlations between silicic acid (Si(OH)₄) and Al in depth profiles as a result of the sinking and remineralization of diatomous material (Gehlen et al., 2002; Hydes et al., 1988; Hydes, 1989; Middag et al., 2009, 2015b; Moran and Moore, 1988a). Passive scavenging is defined as dAl being adsorbed onto any particle surface without being intrinsically incorporated into cellular structures. This is inclusive of adsorption onto biogenic particles. Evidence for post-mortem incorporation (e.g. passive scavenging) of Al into diatoms frustules and concomitant removal form the dissolved phase is given by Koning et al. (2007) and Vrieling et al. (1999).

In the North Atlantic (specifically 40–65° N) vertical dAl profiles combined with high-resolution sections were scarce prior to the GEOTRACES era (Mawji et al., 2015). In the western North Atlantic, reported dAl concentrations range from 1 nM in surface waters to 27 nM near the seafloor (Hall and Measures, 1998; Middag et al., 2015b). In the eastern North Atlantic, dAl concentrations in surface waters range between 1–5 nM (Measures et al., 2008), and a dAl mid-depth maximum (≥30 nM) is observed associated with Mediterranean Outflow Waters (MOW; Measures et al., 2015; Rolison et al., 2015). Globally, the highest dAl concentrations have been measured in the Mediterranean Sea (up to 174 nM; Chou and Wollast, 1997; Hydes et al., 1988; Rolison et al., 2015) and the subtropical North Atlantic (up to 60 nM; Schlosser et al., 2014), while the lowest concentrations (< 1 nM) were found in the Southern Ocean (Middag et al., 2011), the Pacific Ocean (Orians and Bruland, 1986), and the high-latitude North Atlantic (Middag et al., 2015b).

This manuscript provides an overview of the surface and water column distribution of dAl in the North Atlantic Ocean and Labrador Sea along GEOTRACES section GA01. The sources and sinks of Al for the surface and deep ocean are discussed, and the controls that regulate dAl are examined in light of Si(OH)₄ and particulate Al (pAl) distributions.

2 Methods

2.1 Sampling and processing

The GEOFIDE cruise was conducted as part of the GEOTRACES programme (GA01 section), and sailed on 15 May (2014) from Lisbon (Portugal), passed by the southern tip of Greenland (16, 17 June), and arrived in St. John’s (Canada) on 30 June (Fig. 1a). A total of 32 stations were sampled for dissolved and particulate trace metals. Seawater was collected using a trace metal clean rosette (TMR, General Oceanics Inc. model 1018 intelligent rosette) attached to a Kevlar line and fitted with 24 × 12 L GO-FLO bottles (General Oceanics). After recovery, GO-FLO bottles were transferred to a clean container for sampling.

Dissolved Al samples were filtered using 0.2 µm capsule filters (Sartobran 300, Sartorius) or 0.45 µm polyethersulfone filters (Supor®, Pall Gelman), under a slight overpressure (0.2 bar; filtered, Acrovent, N₂, Air Liquide). Seawater samples were collected in 125 mL low-density polyethylene bottles (LDPE; Nalgene) and cleaned using a three-step protocol (as per GEOTRACES cookbook; http://www.geotraces.org, last access: 17 September 2017). After collection, the samples were acidified to pH 1.8 with HCl (ultra-pure acid, UpA; Romil) and double bagged. Samples were then stored upright in order to minimize contact and potential contamination arising from the polypropylene caps. Samples were shipped to GEOMAR (Kiel, Germany) and analysed in a class 100 clean laboratory. Samples for particulate trace metals (Gourain et al., 2018) were collected on 0.45 µm pore size polyethersulfone (PES) filters (Supor®, Pall Gelman) and stored frozen until analysis at LEMAR (Brest, France). Additionally, total dissolveable Al (tdAl) samples (unfiltered) were collected (May 2014) by hand in 125 mL acid-cleaned LDPE bottles (Nalgene) from icebergs (n = 11) and surface waters in Godthåbsfjord (n = 6; SW Greenland), acidified
to pH 1.8 by addition of HCl (UpA, Romil), and stored for 6 months prior to analysis at GEOMAR. After collection, ice samples were defrosted at room temperature in LDPE bags, with the first meltwater discarded to minimize contamination from sample collection, as described by Hopwood et al. (2016).

2.2 Dissolved Al analysis

Dissolved seawater samples were analysed using flow injection analysis (FIA) with fluorescence detection as developed by Resing and Measures (1994), and modified by Brown and Bruland (2008). A slight modification of the method published by Brown and Bruland (2008) is the use of a 2 M ammonium acetate buffer instead of a 4 M buffer in the reaction stream. In short, acidified samples were buffered online to pH 5.1 ± 0.1 with a 2 M ammonium acetate buffer (UpA, Romil), and passed over a chelating iminodiacetic acid resin (Toyopearl AF-Chelate 650M). The loading time was normally adjusted to 120 s (2.5 mL min⁻¹) and was extended up to 180 s for samples with low dAl concentrations (< 2 nM). After sample loading, the column was rinsed for 70 s (2.5 mL min⁻¹) with deionized water (18.2 MΩ cm, Milli-Q, Millipore) to remove the seawater matrix which interferes with the analysis. Subsequently, the preconcentrated dAl was eluted (120 s, 0.6 mL min⁻¹) from the resin using 0.1 M HCl solution (UpA, Romil) and passed into the reaction stream. Next, the eluent was combined with lumogallion (TCI) in 2 M ammonium acetate buffer. The eluent and lumogallion mixture was passed through a 5 m long reaction coil with external heating to 60 °C, supporting the formation of the fluorescent complex. After that, the reagent stream was combined with a 2.5 % (volume: volume deionized water) Brij 35 % solution (Sigma Aldrich) prior to detection using a fluorometer (Shimadzu RF-10A XL). Emission and excitation wavelengths were set to 484 and 502 nm, respectively. All samples were analysed in duplicate and the concentrations calculated using fluorescence peak heights.

Calibration was undertaken using standard additions prepared in low trace metal seawater. The different standards were prepared from a stock standard solution of 1 µM prepared from a 1000 ppm Al standard (Merck Millipore). Typically, a calibration was set up with the following standard additions: 0, 2, 4, 12, 20, and 30 nM. The buffer blank was determined as the difference in counts (arbitrary units) between three identical samples treated with increasing amounts of buffer (single, double, and triple buffer addition). The system manifold blank was determined as the mean value of two acidified (pH 1.8) samples analysed with the GEOTRACES consensus values as of May 2013 (mean ± 1 µM; SaFe n = 85 ± 33 nM, respectively, and were in good agreement with the GEOTRACES consensus values as of May 2013 (http://www.geotraces.org; SaFe S = 1.67 ± 0.1 nM; GD = 17.7 ± 0.2 nM). A second validation approach of the results was obtained by the comparison of two stations sampled in close proximity in the Iberian Basin by the GEOVIDE cruise (station 11; 40.33° N, 14.17° W) and the GEO-TRACES section GA04N (station 1; 39.73° N, 14.17° W; Rolison et al., 2015). Dissolved Al was analysed, based on the same method, using similar analytical techniques in different labs. It is noteworthy that samples for dAl during GA04N and GA01 were analysed at sea and on shore, respectively. Samples analysed for GA01 were stored upright
in order to minimize any contact and potential contamination arising from the polypropylene caps. The dAl data from waters deeper than 1000 m were used to exclude seasonal variations and based on the salinity profiles which matched below this depth. The Fisher’s exact test was used for comparison between profiles as both flow injection datasets were measured with replicates (Middag et al., 2015a). This test calculates an integrated p value as an objective metric to determine how far two profiles are consistent between each other within a given depth interval. The test determined no significant difference (i- p value = 0.2–0.3) within analytical uncertainty comparing the two profiles. The dAl dataset has thus been successfully intercalibrated through GEOTRACES, included in the Intermediate Data Product 2017 (Schlitzer et al., 2018) and submitted to the British Oceanographic Data Centre (BODC; https://www.bodc.ac.uk/, last access: 7 September 2017).

2.3 Ancillary data

Suspended particles were digested at LEMAR following the protocol of Planquette and Sherrell (2012) and analysed for pAl exactly as described in Gourain et al. (2018). Silicic acid concentrations were analysed on board using a Bran + Luebbe AA III autoanalyser following Aminot and Kérouel (2007).

A SeaBird sensor package 911 mounted to the CTD frame recorded pressure, temperature, and salinity data, while a SeaBird 43 was used for dissolved oxygen. Salinity and oxygen data were calibrated using analysis of discrete samples with a salinometer (Guildline) and the Winkler method (Carpenter, 1965), respectively.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Regional and hydrographical settings

Along the GEOVIDE section, three biogeochemical provinces defined by Longhurst (2010) were studied: (i) the North Atlantic Subtropical (NAST) region, including the Iberian Basin (IB, stations 1 to 19); (ii) the North Atlantic Drift (NADR) region, including the Eastern North Atlantic Basin (ENAB, stations 21 to 26), and the Iceland Basin (ICB, stations 29 to 38); (iii) the subarctic North Atlantic (SANA), including the Irminger Basin (IB, stations 40 to 60) and the Labrador Basin (LB, stations 61 to 71) (Fig. 1a). The salinity distribution and the main water masses in the North Atlantic and Labrador Sea are shown in Fig. 1b. The main water masses used in the discussion of the dAl distribution are the (i) MOW which originates in the Mediterranean Sea, is present at intermediate layers (~500 to 2600 m) on the most eastern part of the section, and decreases in salinity and density with increasing distance from Gibraltar (Baringer and Price, 1997); (ii) Iceland Scotland Overflow Waters and Denmark Strait Overflow Waters (ISOW and DSOW, respectively) which are cold and saline water masses (ISOW has $\theta$ of 2.6°C, $S$ of 34.98; DSOW has $\theta$ of 1.3°C, $S$ of 34.90) formed in the Norwegian and Nordic seas and produced during the overflow across the sills in the Faroe Bank Channel and the Iceland–Faroe ridge for the ISOW, and across the Denmark Strait into the IRB for the DSOW (Read, 2000; Swift et al., 1980; Tanhua et al., 2005; Van Aken and De Boer, 1995); (iii) North East Atlantic Central Water, which originates in the North Atlantic subtropical gyre by subduction of surface waters (Pollard et al., 1996); (iv) North East Atlantic Deep Water (NEADW), which is enriched in Si(OH)$_4$ and NO$_3^-$ and is depleted in O$_2$; and (v) Labrador Sea Water (LSW) which is formed by freshwater sources.

3.2 The surface distribution of dAl along the GEOVIDE transect: influences of atmospheric deposition, phytoplankton community structure, and freshwater sources

Figure 2 shows average dAl concentrations in surface waters (>50 m depth) along the cruise track, except for stations above the Iberian and Greenland shelves. The latter stations are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Dissolved Al concentrations ranged between 0.54 (station 26) and 30.99 nM (station 2). Average surface dAl concentrations decreased from $3.3 \pm 1.7$ nM ($n = 5$) in the IB (stations 1, 2, and 4 are excluded due to elevated dAl concentrations from inputs by the Tagus estuary) to $3.2 \pm 0.8$ nM ($n = 4$) in the ENAB (stations 21 to 26), and $2.8 \pm 1.2$ nM ($n = 5$) in the IcB (stations 29 to 38) to $1.7 \pm 0.7$ nM ($n = 4$) and $1.7 \pm 0.6$ nM ($n = 3$) in the IRB and LB (stations 53, 56, 60, 61, and 64 are excluded due to elevated dAl concentrations related to inputs from Greenland), respectively. However, within analytical errors, no significant difference was observed between basins. Our low surface dAl values agreed with literature values for the ENAB (Barrett et al., 2015; Kramer et al., 2004; Measures et al., 2008; Ussher et al., 2013) and IRB (Middag et al., 2015b), and coincided with low pAl concentrations (Gourain et al., 2018) except over the Greenland shelf (see Sect. 3.2.4; Fig. 4).

3.2.1 Atmospheric deposition

In the North Atlantic atmospheric aerosol loading declined, in a northwestward direction, with increasing distance from African dust source regions (e.g. Sahara and Sahel; Duce et al., 1991; Jickells et al., 2005). The low dAl surface concentrations observed (Fig. 2) in the different basins suggest a low aerosol deposition to the study area, which is consistent with low aerosol deposition fluxes reported for the
Figure 2. Average surface distribution (< 50 m) of dissolved Al (dAl), particulate Al (pAl; Gourain et al., 2018), and biogenic Si (bSi; Sarthou et al., 2018) along the GEOVIDE section. Note that stations over the Iberian and Greenland shelves are not included as they are presented in Figs. 3 and 4. LB, Labrador Basin; IrB, Irminger Basin; ICb, Iceland Basin; ENAB, East North Atlantic Basin; IB, Iberian Basin; SANA, Subarctic North Atlantic; NADR, North Atlantic Drift; NAST, North Atlantic Subtropical.

Figure 3. Surface concentration of dAl in the Iberian Basin during GEOVIDE. Label GA03 refers to GEOTRACES section GA03 (Measures et al., 2015); 64PE370 refers to GEOTRACES section GA04N (Rolison et al., 2015). Black arrows show the cruise tracks. Red arrows show the location of the Tagus estuary and the northward direction of the Tagus plume. Plot created in Ocean Data View (Schlitzer, 2018).

GEOVIDE cruise by Shelley et al. (2017) and Menzel Barraqueta et al. (2018). Aerosol deposition is considered as a major source of Al to the surface ocean and modelling studies on global dust deposition indicate a 10-fold decrease in atmospheric dust deposition fluxes between Portugal and the Labrador Sea (~ 5 to 0.5 g m⁻² yr⁻¹, values from Mahowald et al., 2005) (Han et al., 2008; Jickells et al., 2005; Mahowald et al., 2005; van Hulten et al., 2014, 2013). We performed a one-way ANOVA analysis of surface dAl and pAl for the different basins to determine whether atmospheric deposition was the dominant control on surface Al distributions, expecting a decrease in concentrations from east to west. However, no significance difference was observed (at the p < 0.05 level) in surface dAl and pAl between the different basins.

(dAl: $F(3, 17) = 0.89, \ p = 0.46$; pAl: $F(3, 17) = 1.79, \ p = 0.18$). Hence additional processes must have controlled the surface distribution of Al along the section (see following sections).

Figure 4. Surface concentration around the southern tip of Greenland of: (a) dAl (nM); (b) pAl (nM; Gourain et al., 2018); and (c) Salinity (psu). Red arrows represent the main surface currents. EGC and WGC stand for the East Greenland Current and West Greenland Current, respectively. Plots created in Ocean Data View (Schlitzer, 2018).
3.2.2 Dynamic equilibrium between dissolved and particulate Al phases

Biogenic opal production and biogenic particles play an important role in the removal of dAl in the surface ocean as a result of the high particle surface affinity of dAl (Moran and Moore, 1988b). Removal of dAl by particles therefore represents a mechanism which reduces dAl and increases pAl concentrations in surface waters (Moran and Moore, 1988a). During the GEOVIDE cruise, Chl a concentrations increased from the NAST (0.2 to 0.6 mg m$^{-3}$), via the NADR (0.5 to 1.3 mg m$^{-3}$), to the SANA region (up to 5.5 mg m$^{-3}$ at station 61) (Tonnard et al., 2018b). Along the GEOVIDE transect, diatoms were the dominant phytoplankton taxa (> 40% of total phytoplankton community) in the ENAB, IrB, and LB, while coccolithophorids were dominant (~ 50% of total phytoplankton community) in the IcB (Tonnard et al., 2018b). Elevated biogenic Si (bSi; Sarthou et al., 2018) and pAl concentrations (Gourain et al., 2018) and low dAl concentrations coincided with diatom-dominated phytoplankton communities for the ENAB, IrB, and LB (Fig. 2). In contrast, elevated dAl and low bSi and pAl concentrations coincided with coccolithophore-dominated phytoplankton communities for the IcB (Fig. 2). The latter could suggest a preferential scavenging of dAl by diatoms rather than by coccolithophorids. This conclusion is supported by increased pAl to dAl ratios where surface waters were dominated by diatoms (Fig. 5), as possibly a consequence of active dAl incorporation into siliceous shells (Gehlen et al., 2002) or scavenging of dAl onto biogenic opal (Moran and Moore, 1988a). One-way ANOVA analysis was performed for the pAl to dAl ratio in the surface waters (> 50 m) in each of the four basins which showed strong dAl to Si(OH)$_4$ correlations with depth (LB, IrB, IcB, and ENAB, see Sect. 3.3). The ANOVA test showed significant differences (at the $p < 0.01$ level) between basins $[F(3, 38) = 7.9, p = 0.0003]$. Post hoc comparisons using the Tukey HSD (honestly significant difference) test showed significant differences between the LB and IcB ($p < 0.01$) or ENAB ($p < 0.05$), and between the IrB and IcB ($p < 0.01$). No significant difference was observed between the IrB and LB or ENAB ($p = 0.86$ and 0.28, respectively), and between ENAB and IcB ($p = 0.3$). Taken together, our results indicate that when diatoms were abundant, as in the IrB and LB (> 60% of total phytoplankton community; Tonnard et al., 2018b), the ratio between pAl and dAl significantly increases due to dAl sorption onto biogenic opal surfaces and the transfer of Al from the dissolved to the particulate fraction. Similar observations have been reported for laboratory studies and in the field where dAl decreased as a function of diatom growth and/or the presence of enhanced quantities of biogenic particles (Hydes, 1979; Kremling, 1985; Kremling and Hydes, 1988; Measures et al., 1986, 1984; Orians and Bruland, 1986; Stoffyn, 1979; van Bennekom, 1981). In addition, the transfer from dAl to pAl has been observed in coastal regions (Brown et al., 2010; Moran and Moore, 1988a) and the North Atlantic (Barrett et al., 2015). Considering the low aerosol deposition, reduced fluvial inputs into the tested basins (ENAB, IrB, and LB), and elevated levels of bSi, we conclude that the observed differences in pAl to dAl ratios were mainly related to diatom abundance.

3.2.3 The Iberian shelf surface waters: influence of the Tagus estuary

Enhanced surface water (ca. 15 m) dAl concentrations were observed on the Iberian shelf (stations 1, 2, and 4), with average dAl concentrations of 25.5 ± 5.5 nM ($n = 3$; Fig. 3) that decreased westwards, reaching 5.6 nM at station 11 (Fig. 3). Previous GEOTRACES cruises close to the Iberian Peninsula, GA03 (Measures et al., 2015) and GA04N (Rolison et al., 2015), observed average surface water dAl concentrations of 11.1 ± 2 nM ($n = 3$) and 4.7 ± 2 nM ($n = 4$), respectively (Fig. 3). Higher surface dAl concentrations were observed for the GA01 than for the GA03 or GA04N cruises, despite atmospheric aerosol deposition of Al being 1 order of magnitude lower during GA01 compared to GA03 (Shelley et al., 2015, 2017). Possible sources which could explain the elevated surface dAl concentrations are shelf sediment resuspension, wet deposition, and riverine inputs. Shelf sediment resuspension is unlikely to be the reason for the elevated dAl concentrations as deep profiles for stations 2 and 4 (Fig. S1 in the Supplement) showed that the elevated levels of dAl observed in bottom waters were not a source for surface waters since minimum dAl values were observed between maximum surface and deep dAl values. Salinity profiles for GEOVIDE showed salinity minima (< 35) in surface water for stations 1, 2, and 4 (Fig. S2), indicating a freshwater source. No evidence of freshwater input was observed at station 11, located just west of station of 1. Plausible explanations for the observed distribution in salinity are therefore wet deposition and/or river inputs. Wet deposition events were registered between stations 1 and 4 (Shelley et al., 2017). Yet, the shape of the salinity profiles of stations 1 to 4 seem unlikely to have been caused solely by recent wet deposition as the differences in salinity were observed up to a depth of 45 m. 

Figure 5. Cross-section plot of the pAl to dAl ratio (mol : mol) over the full depth of the water column. Plots created in Ocean Data View (Schlitzer, 2018).
estimations for $dAl$ and $pAl$.
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with reduced salinity, potentially indicating a freshwater Al
R
ting approximately 175 km south from stations 1, 2, and 4. Elevated concentrations of dissolved Fe in the Tagus outflow and strong correlations for salinity against $dAl$ and $dFe$ (Tonnard et al., 2018a) observed during the GA01 cruise supports a riverine source of $dAl$. However, we note that the wet deposition events registered between stations 1 and 4 during the GA01 cruise (Shelley et al., 2017) formed an additional freshwater source of $dAl$ to surface waters which was super-imposed on the Tagus input. Our results indicate that a fraction of riverine $dAl$ can be advected offshore, as observed previously in the Bay of Bengal (Grand et al., 2015), Gulf of Alaska (Brown et al., 2010), and coastal waters of Oregon and Washington (Brown and Bruland, 2009), despite removal of $dAl$ in estuaries (Hydes, 1979; Maring and Duce, 1987).

3.2.4 The Greenland shelf surface waters: influence of glacial runoff and ice melt

Concentrations of $dAl$ in surface water samples collected on the Greenland shelf ranged between 2 and 7 nM, and coincided with reduced salinities (down to 32.2) and enhanced $pAl$ concentrations (up to 62 nM; Fig. 4 a, b, and c). Linear regressions between $dAl$, $pAl$, and salinity for surface samples collected SE and SW of Greenland had coefficients of $R^2 > 0.89$ (Table 1). Aluminium concentrations increased with reduced salinity, potentially indicating a freshwater Al source (ice melt, glacial runoff, or sea-ice melt). However, as was the case for the Iberian Shelf, it is challenging to distinguis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transect</th>
<th>Correlation $S$ vs. $dAl$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>Correlation $S$ vs. $pAl$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>Endmember $S = 0$ $dAl - pAl$ (nM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastern flank</td>
<td>$dAl = -1.6586S + 60.5$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>$pAl = -22.018S + 773.7$</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>60.5 ± 9.9 −773.7 ± 125.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western flank</td>
<td>$dAl = -0.1013S + 6.2$</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>$pAl = -19.27S + 675.1$</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.2 −675.1 ± 124.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ADCP data from cruise GA01 (Fig. S3) showed that surface waters near the Iberian Peninsula flowed in a northward direction. Therefore, we suggest that the additional source of $dAl$ to surface waters originated from the Tagus estuary located approximately 175 km south from stations 1, 2, and 4. Freshwater Al endmembers ($dAl + pAl$) derived from our shelf stations were 1 order of magnitude higher than the mean $tdAl$ measured in iceberg samples. The $tdAl$ must by definition be less than $pAl$ due to the weaker leaching procedure applied. Yet given the large difference, the Al values off Greenland appear to be related to the input from terrestrial runoff enriched with glacially derived sediment, with this enrichment occurring either downstream of glaciers in pro-glacial environments or in near-shore environments where sediment plumes can result in high trace-element concentrations throughout the year (Hopwood et al., 2016). This is consistent with a similar elevated Fe signal on the Greenland shelf. Similar observations of elevated Al were made downstream of a glacier catchment in Cumberland Bay (Schlosser et al., 2017), and attributed to suspended glacial flour as the main source for enhanced $pAl$ concentrations. An alternative low salinity $dAl$ signal could come from sea ice, which contributes a total freshwater input to the EGC approximately equal to that of terrestrial runoff (Sutherland et al., 2009). Whilst sea ice $dAl$ concentrations are not available for this study region, Lannuzel et al. (2011) reported median $dAl$ and $pAl$ concentrations in sea ice (pack ice) of 2.6 and 10.7 nmol L$^{-1}$, respectively. We therefore anticipate that local ice-melt (from sea ice and icebergs) formed a minor contributor to the shelf $dAl$ signal compared to terrestrial runoff.

3.3 Overview of the water column distribution of $dAl$

The section of $dAl$ showed low concentrations in surface waters and an increase with depth (Fig. 6a, b, c), therefore resembling a nutrient-type distribution. The IB formed an exception with maximum $dAl$ (up to 38 nM) observed at intermediate depths associated with the MOW (Fig. 6a, b, c and Fig. S4; see Sect. 3.4.1). Average $dAl$ depth profiles as well as maximum, minimum, median, and quartile (1st and 3rd) $dAl$ values per basin are presented in Fig. 6b. In subsurface waters between 50 and 500 m depth the median $dAl$ concentrations were lowest in the LB (4.3 nM) and highest in the ENAB (7.6 nM) associated with North East Atlantic Central
Figure 6. (a) Cross-section plot of dAl concentrations (nM) over the full depth of the water column. Numbers represent the station numbers. On the Greenland shelf, stations numbers are as follow: from right to left 49, 60, 56, 53, 61, 63, and 64. Discrete sampling depths are indicated by filled black dots. For reference to water masses, please refer to Fig. 1b. (b) Box and whisker plots for the different basins relative to depth. Sur: 50–500 m; Mid: 500–2500 m; Deep: 2500 m–seafloor. Note that for the IrB and LB, sur, mid, and deep stand for 50–300, 300–1500, and 1500 m–seafloor as these basins are less deep. Orange, green, and blue boxes are data from GEOTRACES cruise GA02 (Middag et al., 2015b), GA04N (Rolison et al., 2015), and GA03 (Measures et al., 2015), respectively. (c) Average dAl depth profiles (see Sect. 3.1 for stations).

Waters (Fig. 6c), with an overall median concentration along the full transect of 5.9 nM ($n = 132$). Figure 7 displays the pAl section for the GEOVIDE cruise. In the IB, observed dAl in subsurface waters compare well with GEOTRACES GA04N (Rolison et al., 2015; median 7.3 nM for GA01 and 8.2 nM for GA04N), although they are lower than for GA03 (Measures et al., 2015; median 16.4 nM; Fig. 6b). GA01 and GA04N cruises sampled the region in May 2015 and May 2013, respectively, while GA03 sampled in October 2010. The region is known to receive enhanced atmospheric aerosol deposition (Mahowald et al., 2005). A possible explanation for the difference between GA01 and GA04N with GA03 may be that dAl is accumulated in surface waters during June to September; thus, following the late summer–autumn bloom in the Iberian Basin, it is possible that the excess of dAl accumulated in surface waters is removed by particles produced by the bloom and then released in subsurface waters. However, this explanation remains speculative. Subsurface dAl in the IrB compared well with the GA02 data (Middag et al., 2015b; Fig. 6b). In deep waters, sampled between 500 and 2500 m, the median dAl concentration was lowest in the LB (6.6 nM) and highest in the IB (15.7 nM), with a median concentration along the full GEOVIDE transect of 10.3 nM ($n = 206$). In the IB, differences were found in mid waters (Fig. 6b) between GEOVIDE (median 16.5 nM), GA04N (median 23.8 nM), and GA03 (Median 32.7 nM). These are linked to a stronger presence of MOW for GA03 and GA04N than for GEOVIDE (see Sect. 3.4.1). Below 2500 m, the median dAl concentration was lowest in the ENAB (14.4 nM) and highest in the IB (20.9 nM) associated with NEADW, with a median concentration along the full transect of 16.7 nM ($n = 134$). Deep concentrations were comparable between GEOVIDE, GA04N, GA03, and GA02 (Fig. 6b), and displayed similar concentrations on the eastern and western part of the transect as noted before by Middag et al. (2015b) at a latitude of ca. 40° N.
Remineralization versus scavenging in the North Atlantic and Labrador Sea

In the remote oligotrophic regions of the North Atlantic Ocean with enhanced Saharan dust inputs, dAl shows enhanced surface water concentrations with depletion at depth (Measures et al., 2015), typical for a scavenged-type element (Bruland et al., 2014). A scavenged-type distribution for dAl has also been described for the Pacific Ocean (Orians and Bruland, 1985). In contrast, a nutrient-type depth distribution of dAl has been reported for the Arctic Ocean (Middag et al., 2015). North Atlantic (40°–50° N; Barrett et al., 2012; Measures et al., 2008), and high-latitude North Atlantic (Middag et al., 2015b) and these distributions coincided with strong correlations between dAl and Si(OH)_4 (Hydes et al., 1988; Middag et al., 2015b; Rolison et al., 2015). Dissolved Al is thought to be removed from surface waters onto particle surfaces (Moore and Millward, 1984; Orians and Bruland, 1985), including diatom cells (Gehlen et al., 2002), and subsequently released at depth during the recycling of biogenic particles and desorption from non-biogenic particles. In our study region, diatoms dominate the phytoplankton communities at the early stage of the spring bloom (Brown et al., 2003), and are an important producer of bSi (Nelson et al., 1995). This, along with other biogenic particles, is a main carrier for scavenged Al (Moran and Moore, 1988b; Stoffyn, 1979). Elevated bSi concentrations and associated high export rates of bSi were measured using in situ pumps in the ENAB (up to 1.19 µM bSi), and in the IrB, and LB (up to 4.27 and 4.63 µM bSi, respectively; Lemaître et al., 2018). Dissolved Al and Si(OH)_4 displayed strong correlations (full dataset $R^2 = 0.56$, Fig. S5) with depth in all basins (ENAB, IcB, IrB and LB; $R^2 > 0.76$), except in the IB ($R^2 = 0.2$) which featured Al enrichment from the MOW (see Sect. 3.4.1), the Tagus estuary, and the Iberian shelf and margin (see Sect. 3.2.1 and 3.4.2). The large production of opal and other biogenic particles (e.g. CaCO_3 from coccolithophorids; Lemaître et al., 2018), the strong correlation between dAl and Si(OH)_4 with depth, and the increase in dAl concentrations with depth (Fig. 6a and b, see Sect. 3.3) suggest that in the water column the net remineralization of dAl from particles was larger than the net removal of dAl from scavenging. However, it should be noted that dAl removal by diatom production is not necessarily the only reason for the nutrient-type distribution. Whilst we did not observe enhanced pAl concentrations where coccolithophorids were dominant (e.g. IcB; Sect. 3.2.2, Fig. 2), we assume that other biogenic and non-biogenic particles (e.g. CaCO_3, organic carbon, lithogenic particles, and zooplankton fecal pellets) will also contribute to the vertical export of surface dAl into the deep ocean. Advection and mixing of water masses with different preformed dAl concentrations will also influence water column distributions of dAl, as observed for water masses with low dAl concentrations (e.g. DSOW) in comparison with overlying waters (e.g. ISOW; see Sect. 3.4.2). Enhanced sediment resuspension can furthermore add dAl to bottom waters (see Sect. 3.4.2).

3.4 Dissolved Al enrichment at depth

3.4.1 Mediterranean outflow water (MOW)

The Mediterranean Sea receives large inputs of aerosols which result in elevated surface dAl concentrations of up to 174 nM, as reported by Hydes et al. (1988). The presence of the MOW was indicated by a mid-depth maximum in salinity (> 36; Fig. 1b), low oxygen concentrations (< 171 µM, Sarthou et al., 2018), and a dAl maximum (Fig. 6a and c) at stations 11 to 29, relative to surrounding water masses. The highest dAl concentration (38.7 nM) in the outflow water was observed at station 1 (ca. 900 m deep), in agreement with observations made along GA03 for station USGT10-1 (depth 876 m; dAl = 38.8 nM; Measures et al., 2015). Figure 8 displays dAl and pAl versus salinity in the MOW for a neutral-density surface layer ($\gamma^o$) between 27.6 and 27.8 kg m$^{-3}$, corresponding to a MOW core depth, based on highest salinity values, between 1000 and 1200 m. In addition, dAl versus $S$ for cruises GA03 and GA04N are plotted. The data used in the linear regressions cover a distance of 1800 km from station 11 to station 29. The coefficients of determination ($R^2$) observed for dAl and pAl against salinity are 0.95 and 0.67 (Fig. 8), respectively. The linear correlation between dAl, pAl, and salinity, as well as the shape of the vertical distribution of dAl at station 11 (Fig. S4) indicate that the MOW is highly enriched in dAl and represents a major source of dAl to mid-depth waters in the North Atlantic. In addition, the good correlation between dAl and salinity, as well as the steady decline of dAl in a westerly direction along the neutral-density surface layer 27.7 kg m$^{-3}$, suggest that the dAl content of MOW is mainly controlled by mixing with surrounding water masses and only to a minor degree by the remineralization of biogenic particles. Due to this, dAl is a quantitative tracer for MOW.

3.4.2 Sediment resuspension

Sediment resuspension and transport due to physical forcings, such as internal waves, tides, and currents, as well as diffusion of Al-rich pore waters, are all deemed to increase Al levels in bottom waters (Stoffyn-Egli, 1982; Van Beusekom et al., 1997). Enhanced dAl levels have been observed as a result of continental margin inputs for the Drake Passage (Middag et al., 2012) and European shelf (de Jong et al., 2007; Moran and Moore, 1991). Moreover, in the North Atlantic, resuspension of sediments associated with benthic nepheloid layers has been shown to elevate dAl concentrations in comparison to overlying waters (Middag et al., 2015b; Moran and Moore, 1991; Sherrell and Boyle, 1992).
On the Iberian and Greenland shelves and margins we observed both enhanced dAl concentrations and pAl to dAl ratios (Figs. 3, 4, and 5). In contrast, on the Newfoundland shelf no enhanced dAl concentrations were observed. On the Iberian shelf and margin, enhanced dAl concentrations were observed near the seafloor (station 2: up to 21 nM at a depth of 140 m; station 4: up to 27 nM at a depth of 800 m), associated with enhanced pAl concentrations of up to 1.5 µM at station 2 (Fig. S6; Gourain et al., 2018). Likely, the Portugal Current (Coelho et al., 2002; Huthnance et al., 2002), and poleward (Frouin et al., 1990) and equatorward upper slope currents (Hall et al., 2000), caused the sediment resuspension responsible for the observed enhanced Al levels. On the Greenland margin and shelf, elevated dAl concentrations were measured at stations 53 and 61 (station 53: up to 17.4 nM at a depth of 180 m) and coincided with high pAl concentrations (up to 73.2 nM). The EGC and West Greenland Current (WGC) are known to produce sediment resuspension. Additionally, Mienert et al. (1993) showed that sediments are transported across the shelf from meltwater and runoff. In contrast, on the Newfoundland shelf and margin (station 78), no enhanced dAl levels were observed near the seafloor (Fig. S6). However, a large input of pAl was observed (station 78), and pAl concentrations increased from 94.6 nM at a depth of 140 m to 550 nM at the seafloor (377 m; Gourain et al., 2018). Dissolved Al could be scavenged by resuspended particles, thus showing lower levels where elevated pAl levels were observed. Thus, the enhanced pAl levels could be attributed to sediment resuspension events caused by the south-flowing Labrador Current (Mertz et al., 1993), possibly in combination with eddies that could also transfer terrigenous inputs into the Labrador Sea (Chanut et al., 2008; Hátn et al., 2007).

Enhanced dAl concentrations in the bottom layers of several basins (Iceland, Irminger, Labrador) accompanied by enhanced attenuation signals from the beam transmissometer were indicative of the presence of benthic nepheloid layers which are typically caused by strong bottom currents (e.g. ISOW and DSOW; Eittreim et al., 1976). Figure 9 shows dAl and transmissometry profiles for stations 26, 42, 69, and 77 (Fig. S7 shows pAl for the same stations). Enhanced dAl concentrations near the seafloor coincided with enhanced pAl (Gourain et al., 2018) and a beam attenuation signal. In contrast, at station 42, dAl concentrations decreased near the seafloor. Based on the eOMP analysis (Garcia Ibanez et al., 2018), the waters at 2900 m depth (dAl 25.1 nM) had an ISOW contribution of 66 % and a residual contribution of DSOW of 2 %. In contrast, near the seafloor, the contribution of DSOW increased to 91 % with a residual ISOW contribution of 6 %. Thus, the low dAl concentrations near the seafloor were probably related to low dAl DSOW in comparison with overlaying dAl-rich ISOW as reported by Middag et al. (2015b). Enhanced dAl concentrations with no concomitant decrease in transmissometry (station 77) could indicate that dAl was released from pore waters.
Overall, the observed enhanced Al concentrations suggest that along the GEOVIDE section, continental shelves and margins acted as a source of Al to adjacent waters. However, dAl concentrations did not always increase when enhanced pAl concentrations were present which implies that the release or sorption mechanisms from shelf and deep sea sediments may be different. These results suggest that, occasionally, scavenging of dAl onto resuspended particles may be a dominant process rather than partial dissolution of Al from resuspended sediments. However, the mechanisms controlling either a net dissolution or scavenging of Al from or by resuspended particles remain unclear. Moreover though, the general increase in Al concentrations in bottom layers suggests that these areas act as a potential source of Al to the North Atlantic Deep Water as observed in previous studies (Measures et al., 2015; Middag et al., 2015b; Moran and Moore, 1991).

3.4.3 Hydrothermal activity

Hydrothermal activity was assessed at station 38 over the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Hydrothermal activity has been reported as a source of Al to the deep ocean in the Pacific and Atlantic (Measures et al., 2015; Resing et al., 2015). No enhanced dAl (Fig. 6a) or dFe (Tonnard et al., 2018a) concentrations were evident, although Achterberg et al. (2018) observed enhanced dFe over the Reykjanes ridge and attributed this to a possible combination of hydrothermal sources and sediment resuspension. However, enhanced concentrations in particulate Al (up to 28 nM, Fig. 7), Fe, Ti, and Mn and a pFe to pAl ratio similar to the ratio in fresh mid-ocean ridge basalt were observed at station 38 (Gourain et al., 2018). Therefore, the minor pAl signature observed at station 38 could be partly related to hydrothermal inputs and resuspension of newly formed oceanic crust.

4 Conclusions

The dAl distribution in seawater is controlled by the relative strength of its sources and removal processes. At large basin scales, along the GEOVIDE section, the dAl distribution was mostly determined by low aerosol depositions, removal onto biogenic particles, and the remineralization of biogenic particles at depth. Yet we show that at smaller regional scales, local sources such as rivers and glacial runoff controlled the dAl signatures. Additionally, sediment resuspension events and the processes of sorption or desorption of dAl onto or from particles were important mechanisms determining the dAl concentrations at the sediment–water interface. Our results highlight the importance of phytoplankton (particularly diatom) abundance and dynamics for determining the interaction between dissolved and particulate Al phases in surface waters.

Overall, the Al distribution along the GEOVIDE section, in addition to other recent discoveries from the GEOTRACES programme, highlights the complex nature of dAl biogeochemical cycling in seawater as it can resemble a scavenged-type or a nutrient-type element. The large sets of parameters measured on each GEOTRACES cruise will allow us, in the coming years, to examine the global ocean dAl cycling from a holistic perspective.

Data availability. The 2014 GEOTRACES GA01 section data for dAl are available at the Intermediate Data Product 2017 (Schlitzer et al., 2018) and can be downloaded from the GEOTRACES website (http://www.geotraces.org/dp/idp2017).
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