Differential photosynthetic responses of marine planktonic and benthic diatoms to ultraviolet radiation under various temperature regimes
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Abstract. We studied the photophysiological responses to ultraviolet radiation (UVR) of two diatoms, isolated from different environmental niches. Both species showed the highest sensitivity to UV radiation under relatively low temperature, while they were less inhibited under moderately increased temperature. Under the highest temperature applied in this study, the benthic diatom Nitzschia sp. showed minimal sensitivity to UV radiation, while inhibition of the planktonic species, Skeletonema sp., increased further compared with that at the growth temperature. These photochemical responses were linked to values for the repair and damage processes within the cell; higher damage rates and lower repair rates were observed for Skeletonema sp. under suboptimal temperature, while for Nitzschia sp., repair rates increased and damage rates were stable within the applied temperature range. Our results suggested that the response of the microalgae to UV radiation correlated with their niche environments, the periodic exposure to extreme temperatures promoting the resistance of the benthic species to the combination of high temperature and UV radiation.

1 Introduction

As the most abundant group of microalgae, and one that plays an important role in marine ecosystem function and biogeochemical cycles, diatoms are traditionally divided into centric and pennate species on the basis of their valve symmetry (Round et al., 1990). Centric diatoms are usually, though not invariably, planktonic; pennate species are benthic and are often found living in different niches (Irwin et al., 2012; Keithan et al., 1988). The distribution of centric diatoms is more widespread, with records for the open ocean as well as coastal water, and they maintain their position in the upper mixing layer by maintaining buoyancy with elaborated spines or excretion of heavy ions (Lavoie et al., 2016; Villareal, 1988). In contrast, pennate diatoms are often found in the intertidal zone (Stevenson, 1983). Therefore, the two groups of diatoms are likely to have evolved different strategies to cope with their niche environments (Barnett et al., 2015; Lavaud et al., 2016, 2007).

Temperature affects almost all biochemical reactions in living cells, and it is one of the most important factors that determines the biogeochemistry, as well as the temporal variation of phytoplankton (Levasseur et al., 1984). Under global change scenarios, increases in sea surface temperature would re-structure the phytoplankton assemblages in the future ocean (Thomas et al., 2012). At small spatial scales (e.g., the coastal zone) diurnal cycle of tides or meteorological events could expose benthic diatoms to extreme environments, including high photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) and ultraviolet (UV) radiation exposure as well as larger variations in temperature than found for planktonic species. Hence organisms in such exposed areas should potentially possess highly efficient mechanisms to adapt to such an environment (Souffreau et al., 2010; Weisse et al., 2016).
In the intertidal zone, UV radiation (UVR) is another driving force. UVR is a component of the solar spectrum, along with PAR, and has wide-reaching effects on organisms, especially photosynthetic autotrophs due to their demands for light energy (Williamson et al., 2014). The penetration of effective UVR in coastal waters is mainly dependent on the properties of the seawater (Tedetti and Sempere, 2006). Previous studies have found that UVR significantly inhibited carbon fixation by phytoplankton in the surface layer, with less inhibition or even stimulation in deep water due to low UVR and limiting levels of PAR (Gao et al., 2007). Detrimental effects, however, varied seasonally, with less inhibition observed for planktonic assemblages during summer, though UVR was the highest. This may be attributable to the higher water temperature, which facilitated enzyme-catalyzed repair processes within the cell (Wu et al., 2010). There are few documented studies on benthic species, which actually are potentially more resistant to UVR as they are periodically exposed to high solar radiation during low tide (Barnett et al., 2015).

Photosystem II (PSII) initiates the first step of photosynthesis, converting photons to electrons efficiently, but this complex is very sensitive to light (Campbell and Tyystjarvi, 2012). The subunits of PSII are broken down under UVR or high PAR while repaired by insertion of de novo synthesized protein (Aro et al., 1993); the repair process eventually reaches a dynamic balance with damage (Heraud and Beardall, 2000). However, these two processes are independent of each other. The photochemical damage is mainly determined by the intensity and spectrum of light (Heraud and Beardall, 2000) and is temperature insensitive, while the repair process is driven by a series of enzyme-catalyzed reactions and is thus potentially sensitive to temperature changes (Melis, 1999). Previous studies revealed that high temperature alleviated UV inhibition of PSII in green algae (Wong et al., 2015), while it interactively decreased photosynthetic activity in microphytobenthos under excessive PAR conditions (Laviale et al., 2015).

Considering the importance of diatoms to coastal primary productivity (Carstensen et al., 2015), their responses to environmental factors are of considerable interest (Häder et al., 2011). However, the niches in which planktonic and benthic diatom species exist have quite different physical and chemical characteristics (Souffreau et al., 2010). In this study, we used two freshly isolated species to test the hypothesis that benthic diatoms have a stronger ability to adapt to potentially stressful solar UV radiation under high-temperature regimes.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Species and culture conditions

We collected samples from offshore water and intertidal sediments in the coastal area of the Yellow Sea. These were re-suspended in seawater and enriched with Aquil medium and incubated in a growth chamber for 3 days (Morel et al., 1979). Then a sub-sample was examined under a microscope, and single cells were picked up with a micropipette. *Skeletonema* sp. and *Nitzschia* sp. were chosen for the present study and were maintained in Aquil medium in a growth chamber at 15 °C. Prior to the experiment, both species were inoculated into enriched seawater (Aquil medium) and cultured semi-continuously in 500 mL polycarbonate bottles, illuminated with cool fluorescent tubes at a photon flux density of ~200 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, with a 12:12 light/dark cycle. Temperature was set at 15, 20, or 25 °C, with variation less than 0.5 °C, and cultures were diluted every day with fresh medium. Bottles (triplicates for each temperature) were manually shaken 2–3 times during the light period and randomly distributed in the growth chamber.

Specific growth rate was estimated from the changes of dark adapted chlorophyll fluorescence (see below) and calculated as \( \mu = (\ln F_2 - \ln F_1)/(D_2 - D_1) \), where \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) represent the steady-state fluorescence intensity at day 1 and day 2, respectively.

2.2 Determination of the absorption spectra of pigments

A total of 50 mL of culture was filtered onto a GF/F filter, and extracted in 5 mL absolute methanol for 2 h at room temperature in a 10 mL centrifuging tube, then centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 15 min (TDZ4-WS, Luxiang Inc.). The supernatant was scanned with a spectrophotometer (Lambda 35, PerkinElmer) in the range of 280–750 nm.

2.3 Experimental setup

The experiments were performed under a customized solar simulator with a 1000 W xenon arc lamp as the light source. The incident irradiances of UV-B light (280–315 nm), UV-A (315–400 nm), and PAR (400–700 nm) were measured using a broadband radiometer (SOLAR-2UV, TINEL Inc., http://www.tinel.cn).

After 5-day acclimation under the target temperature, samples of both species in the exponential phase were harvested during the middle of the light period and directly transferred to quartz tubes (35 mL) at a density of less than 20 μg chl a L⁻¹, dark-adapted for 15 min, and treated by addition of Milli-Q water (as a control) or lincomycin (final concentration, 0.5 mg mL⁻¹); the latter inhibits protein synthesis and was used to get a better determination of damage rate in the absence of repair. The tubes were then placed into a water bath one after another at 1 min intervals while covered with cut-off filters (ZJB280, ZJB400) that block radiation below 280 or 400 nm, respectively (the filters’ properties were checked by scanning in the wavelength range of 250–750 nm against air as a blank; see Fig. S1 in the Supplement), to create PAR + UV-A + UV-B (PAB) and
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Figure 1. The quantum yields of 15°C grown Skeletonema sp. and Nitzschia sp. under PAR or PAR + UVR (PAB) for 1 h exposure and subsequent recovery under dim light (gray area) for 1 h, which were incubated and measured at 15°C (a Skeletonema sp., c Nitzschia sp.) or 25°C (b Skeletonema sp., d Nitzschia sp.). Vertical lines represent SD, n = 3.

PAR treatments respectively. The light levels applied were PAR = 440 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and UVR = 41.6 W m⁻², while temperature was controlled with a cooling system (CTP3000, Eyela) and was set as the incubation level (termed “acclimated”) or the incubation temperature +10°C (termed “short-term”), the latter mimicking a moderate increase in temperature in the intertidal zone during a low-tide period. After the light exposure, samples were moved into a water bath at the same temperature as light exposure, but under dim light (~30 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) for recovery, effective quantum yields were then measured at 12 min intervals. The detailed experimental design can be found in Fig. S2.

2.4 Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements

A total of 12 tubes (2 species and 2 radiation treatments for each temperature level) were dark-adapted for 15 min. Then each tube was moved into a water bath one by one at 1 min intervals for light exposure, and 2 mL sub-samples were taken to measure the initial chlorophyll fluorescence with an AquaPen fluorometer (AP-C 100, PSI). During the subsequent light exposure, sub-samples were withdrawn every 12 min from the quartz tubes for fluorescence measurement; this procedure ensured that every sample was exposed to radiation for exactly the same time. After five rounds of measurements (60 min), samples that were without lincomycin were transferred into the low-light condition under the same temperature for recovery, and chlorophyll fluorescence was measured as above for 60 min.

2.5 Data analysis

Effective quantum yields were measured after 20 s of dark period (operational time between sampling and measuring) with the AquaPen and calculated according to the following equations:

\[
\text{effective quantum yield} = \frac{F_m' - F_o'}{F_m'},
\]

where \(F_m'\) is the effective maximal fluorescence, and \(F_o'\) is the minimal fluorescence in the presence of nonphotochemical quenching which persists after highlight exposure.

The relative UV inhibition of effective quantum yield was estimated according to the following equation:

\[
\text{relative UV inhibition (\%)} = \frac{P_P - P_{PAB}}{P_P} \times 100,
\]

where \(P_P\) and \(P_{PAB}\) represent the effective quantum yield under PAR and PAB treatments, respectively. Relative UV inhibition was calculated when \(P_P\) and \(P_{PAB}\) were significantly different.

The rates of UVR-induced damage to PSII (k min⁻¹) were calculated from lincomycin-treated samples assuming repair (r) under these conditions was zero. Repair rates (r, min⁻¹) were calculated using non-lincomycin-treated samples with the fixed k values obtained from the parallel experiments with lincomycin. Both calculations were made according to the Kok equation (Heraud and Beardall, 2000):

\[
\frac{P_t}{P_0} = \frac{r}{k + r} + \frac{k}{k + r} e^{-(k + r)t},
\]
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Figure 3. The quantum yields of 25 °C grown Skeletonema sp. and Nitzschia sp. under PAR or PAB for 1 h exposure and subsequent recovery under dim light (gray area) for 1 h, which were incubated and measured at 25 °C (a Skeletonema sp., c Nitzschia sp.) or 35 °C (b Skeletonema sp., d Nitzschia sp.). Vertical lines represent SD, n = 3.

where $P_0$ and $P_t$ represent the effective quantum yield at time zero and $t$ (minutes), respectively.

The recovery rates under dim light were calculated with a simple exponential rise equation (Heraud and Beardall, 2000):

$$y = y_0 + c(1 - e^{-\alpha t}),$$

where $y$ represents the effective quantum yield at time $t$ (minutes) during the dim light incubation, $\alpha$ was the recovery rate, while $y_0$ and $c$ are constants.

Statistical differences for the kinetics of changes in effective quantum yield among treatments were analyzed with repeated measures analysis of variance (RM-ANOVA). The differences of relative UV inhibition and rate constants among treatments were analyzed by one-way ANOVA; a confidence interval of 95% was set for all tests. For the calculation of the ratio of $r : k$ and the relative UV inhibition (%), propagation errors were taken into account to estimate variance.

3 Results

The initial photochemical quantum yield of Skeletonema sp. grown at 15 °C was around 0.50 during light exposure (incubated under 15 °C) but decreased gradually toward the end of the radiation treatments, with lower values under PAB than under the PAR condition ($p < 0.001$, $F = 30.1$; Fig. 1a, Table S1 in the Supplement). During the dim light exposure period, the quantum yield recovered to its initial value within 24 min under PAR treatment, while PAB-treated cells only recovered partially to ~70% by the end of the dim light incubation (Fig. 1a). For 15 °C grown cells that were incubated under 25 °C, the general patterns were similar to those incubated under 15 °C; the differences between the PAR and PAB treatments were smaller but still significant ($p < 0.001$, $F = 9.8$; Fig. 1b, Table S1). Under dim light, the quantum yield of cells under both radiation treatments recovered to near initial values (Fig. 1b). For 15 °C grown Nitzschia sp. that was measured at 15 °C, the pattern of decrease in effective quantum yield was similar to that of Skeletonema sp., with lower values under PAB ($p < 0.001$, $F = 38.8$; Fig. 1b, Table S1). In addition, PAB-exposed Nitzschia sp. could only recover to ~50% of the initial value under dim light (Fig. 1b). However, when 15 °C grown Nitzschia sp. were incubated at 25 °C for light exposure, both PAR- and PAB-treated cells had higher quantum yields, and PAB-exposed cells recovered to 75% of the initial value when subsequently incubated under dim light (Fig. 1d). The increase of temperature (15 to 25 °C) and UV radiation also showed interactive effects for both Skeletonema sp. ($p = 0.022$, $F = 2.98$) and Nitzschia sp. ($p = 0.046$, $F = 2.5$; Table S2).

The 20 °C grown Skeletonema sp. showed significant UV inhibition at incubation temperatures of 20 °C ($p < 0.001$, $F = 8.9$) and 30 °C ($p = 0.033$, $F = 3.1$) and recovered more quickly under dim light, especially for the PAB-treated cells, compared with samples under 15 °C (Fig. 2a,
The repair rate (a) and damage rate (b) of photosystem II in *Skeletonema* sp. during PAR or PAB exposure under grown temperature (acclimated) or short-term elevated temperature (short_term), and the ratio of repair to damage rate (c). Vertical lines in panel (a) and (b) represent SD, n = 3, while vertical lines in panel (c) represent variance. Data points with different lowercase letters (blue for PAR treatment, and red for PAB treatment) indicate significant differences among temperature treatments.

For *Nitzschia* sp. that were grown at 20°C, cells showed moderate UV inhibition during radiation exposure (p < 0.001, F = 10.1), and the quantum yield under PAB treatment only recovered to ~80% at the end of the dim light incubation at 20°C, while quantum yield recovered to the initial value in cells measured under 30°C (Fig. 2c, d, Table S1). Interactive effects of temperature increase (20 to 30°C) and UV radiation were observed for both *Skeletonema* sp. (p < 0.01, F = 4.35) and *Nitzschia* sp. (p = 0.015, F = 3.26; Table S2).

*Skeletonema* sp. that was grown and measured at 25°C showed a similar pattern to that grown under 20°C during both radiation exposure and subsequent dim light (Fig. 3a). However, quantum yields decreased significantly once cells were moved into 35°C, with much lower values observed under the PAB and PAR treatments (p < 0.001) than under 25°C. However, there was no significant difference between PAB and PAR treatments under 35°C (p = 0.60, F = 0.74; Table S1). During the dim light period, *Skeletonema* sp. only recovered to ~30% for the PAR treatment, while there was no recovery after the PAB treatment (Fig. 3b). For *Nitzschia* sp. measured under 25 or 35°C, both treatments showed a similar response, with lower values under PAB than under PAR during the radiation exposure (p < 0.001 and F = 13.3 at 25°C, p < 0.01 and F = 5.4 at 35°C; Table S1), while cells could recover to near initial values at the end of the dim light incubation (Fig. 3c, d). An interactive effect of temperature increase (25–35°C) and UV radiation was only observed for *Skeletonema* sp. (p = 0.049, F = 2.46; Table S2).

In the presence of lincomycin, changes in effective quantum yield showed a decreasing pattern with exposure time for most of the treatments (Figs. S3–5), but with much greater amplitude compared with non-lincomycin-treated samples. The relative UV inhibition at the end of radiation exposure...
is shown in Fig. 4. Both species showed the greatest sensitivities under 15 °C, with ~80 and ~70% relative UV inhibition of photochemical quantum yield for *Skeletonema* sp. and *Nitzschia* sp., respectively. In the range of acclimated temperatures, relative UV inhibition decreased with increase of temperature for both species. In the short-term incubations with temperatures gradually decreased from 15 to 25 °C, then increased significantly toward 35 °C (*p* < 0.01). For *Nitzschia* sp., relative UV inhibition was around 25% in the temperature range of 25–35 °C during the short-term incubations.

During radiation exposure, the repair rates for PSII in *Skeletonema* sp. varied across the different temperatures, with highest values observed at 25 °C, but decreased significantly to around 35 °C (*p* < 0.01; Fig. 5a). The ratio of repair rate to damage rate (*r* : *k*) showed a unimodal pattern with peak values at 25 °C, and with lowest values under 15 or 35 °C, especially for the PAB treatment (Fig. 5c).

The repair rate during light exposure for *Nitzschia* sp. increased significantly in the temperature range of 15 to 25 °C (*p* < 0.001) while remaining relatively stable from 25 to 35 °C (Fig. 6a). The damage rates were quite stable for all temperatures tested, whether cells were acclimated or exposed to short-term elevation of temperature, with lowest values under 15 °C. However, life forms in the natural environment are affected by multiple stressors concomitantly (Boyd et al., 2015). For the applied temperature range in the present study, the growth rate of the benthic species showed a slight response, while growth increased with temperature to a greater extent in the planktonic species, particularly above 25 °C (Fig. 7d). No consistent trend was found for the rate constant for recovery of PAB-exposed *Nitzschia* sp., which varied around 0.10–0.15 min⁻¹, across the range of applied temperature (Fig. 7d).

4 Discussion

In the present study, we found that both benthic and planktonic diatoms were less inhibited by UVR under moderately increased temperature, while the benthic species was more resistant to UVR under the highest temperature applied, which suggests that the tolerance to environmental stress was associated with the niche environment where the microalgae are living, which would in turn determine the biogeographic properties of the species. These findings imply that temperature is a key factor that mediates the response of diatoms to UVR, while different species have developed distinct mechanisms in response to their particular niche environments (Laviale et al., 2015).

As a basic environmental factor, temperature affects all metabolic pathways, and extreme or sub-optimal conditions are often encountered by various organisms in nature (Mosby and Smith, 2015). The growth response of phytoplankton to temperature varies from species to species but often shows a unimodal pattern (Brown et al., 2004; Chen, 2015). For the applied temperature range in the present study, the growth rate of the benthic species showed a slight response, while growth increased with temperature to a greater extent in the planktonic species, particularly above 25 °C. However, life forms in the natural environment are affected by multiple stressors concomitantly (Boyd et al., 2015). For instance, recent studies have demonstrated that increased temperature would affect phytoplankton interactively with light intensity (Edwards et al., 2016) and could alleviate UV direct inhibition in some sensitive species (Halac et al., 2014). Moreover, in diatoms short-term changes in temperature showed a greater interaction with UV radiation than did long-term exposure, which was particularly important for intertidal benthic species (Sobrino and Neale, 2007). In the present study, when species were acclimated under sub-optimal tem-
perature (15 °C), both showed obvious sensitivity to UVR (Fig. 1). During the recovery period, however, the effective quantum yield of the benthic diatom could rapidly regain the highest values within 12 min irrespective of the incubation temperature. The planktonic diatom, however, only performed better under short-term elevated temperature. This suggests that the benthic species could have broader adaptability to cope with the highly varied temperature environment they frequently experience (Laviale et al., 2015).

The operation of PSII is sensitive to light intensity as well as quality. High levels of PAR and UVR can usually induce significant damage to this complex, while the de novo synthesis of protein can replace the damaged subunit (Aro et al., 1993; Lavaud et al., 2016). The damage rate (k), which represents the efficiency of detrimental effects, showed a different response for the two species in this study: in the planktonic species, k was sensitive to temperature change, with the lowest value at the medium temperature, but it was quite stable in the benthic species at all temperatures tested. This could be attributed to a decrease in electron transport, or intrinsic differences between benthic and planktonic species (Melis, 1999; Nitta et al., 2005), since k of the planktonic Thalassiosira sp. also showed sensitivity to temperature change (Sobrino and Neale, 2007). The repair rates (r) and the ratio of r to k further demonstrated that the planktonic species had a relatively lower optimal temperature in response to UVR, with the highest r:k and lowest UV inhibition at 25 °C. In contrast, in the benthic species r and r:k increased steadily and reached relatively stable values at the highest temperature, and this coincided with lower UV inhibition, implying that although acclimated in laboratory conditions for weeks, this species still had an active mechanism to respond to high temperature and UVR, as might occur in its natural niche environment (Laviale et al., 2015).

In addition to repair processes that are initiated after damage, UV-absorbing compounds could directly screen out part of the detrimental radiation, protecting cellular organelles from UV damage (Garcia-Pichel and Castenholz, 1993). In diatoms, however, the spectra of methanol extracts showed only a small absorbance peak in the UVR. Unlike xanthophyll-cycle-related pigments, UV-absorbing compounds (UVACs) are inducible and only synthesized under long-term UV exposure, indicating that UVACs are not a major protecting mechanism for laboratory-cultured diatoms (Hellblin et al., 1996). However, the xanthophyll cycle could respond quickly under photo-inhibitory conditions and has been shown to be a major mechanism in diatoms in response to high light or UV (Cartaxana et al., 2013; Zudaire and Roy, 2001). Therefore, the relatively higher absorption in the blue range for benthic species might indicate that temperature enhances the synthesis of xanthophyll-related pigments (Havaux and Tardy, 1996). The differences in absorption spectra of extracted pigments suggest that to better understand the spectral-dependent responses to UV radiation, biological weighting functions should be introduced in this kind of work (Neale et al., 2014).

The temperature-dependent response to UVR has major implications for phytoplankton. With the continuing emission of greenhouse gases, the surface seawater temperature is predicted to increase by up to 4 °C by the end of this century (New et al., 2011), and this could potentially re-shape the phytoplankton assemblages (Thomas et al., 2012). While the situation might be more complex in the natural environment with the consideration of interaction of UVR with other factors (Beardall et al., 2009), for unicellular green algae, an increase of temperature could mitigate UVR harm for temperate species, while exacerbating UV inhibition for polar species (Wong et al., 2015). Moreover, the tolerance of phytoplankton to extreme temperature would be latitude-dependent; for tropical areas where the temperature is already high, an increase of temperature reduced the richness of phytoplankton (Thomas et al., 2012).

The present study showed a differential response to UV radiation for two diatoms from contrasting niches. As predicted, the benthic species had a higher tolerance to the combination of extreme temperature and UV radiation, which can be attributed to the environment in which were living. Below the optimal temperature, both species performed better in response to UV radiation under elevated temperature, suggesting that the natural variation of temperature due to changes in the heat flux from the sun or meteorological events would alter the extent of UV effects on primary producers, and therefore the aquatic ecosystem (Häder et al., 2011). Furthermore, considering the projected global warming scenarios, UV radiation could impose different impacts on phytoplankton with respect to the regional differences (Beardall et al., 2009; Xie et al., 2010).
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