Effects of management thinning on CO₂ exchange by a plantation oak woodland in south-eastern England
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Abstract. Forest thinning, which removes some individual trees from a forest stand at intermediate stages of the rotation, is commonly used as a silvicultural technique and is a management practice that can substantially alter both forest canopy structure and carbon storage. Whilst a proportion of the standing biomass is removed through harvested timber, thinning also removes some of the photosynthetic leaf area and introduces a large pulse of woody residue (brash) to the soil surface, which potentially can alter the balance of autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration. Using a combination of eddy covariance (EC) and aerial light detection and ranging (lidar) data, this study investigated the effects of management thinning on the carbon balance and canopy structure in a commercially managed oak plantation in the south-east of England. Whilst thinning had a large effect on the canopy structure, increasing canopy complexity and gap fraction, the effects of thinning on the carbon balance were not as evident. In the first year post thinning, the peak summer photosynthetic rate was unaffected by the thinning, suggesting that the better illuminated ground vegetation and shrub layer compensated for the removed trees. Peak summer photosynthetic rate was reduced in the thinned area between 2009 and 2011, but there was no significant difference between sectors. Ecosystem respiration fluxes increased in the thinned relative to the unthinned area in the post-thinning phase.

1 Introduction

In England, woodlands cover 10.0 % of the land surface area, with the majority (0.78 Mha) comprising broadleaved woodland (Forestry Commission, 2013). The total carbon stock in the forest biomass was estimated to be 105.4 Mt C in 2011 (Forestry Commission, 2014), with 27.7 and 77.7 Mt C in conifer and broadleaved woodlands respectively. Much of this broadleaved woodland is in small areas, with 51 % in woodlands < 20 ha (Forestry Commission, 2013) and a little more than half (57 %) of these deemed to be under active management (Forestry Commission England, 2014). As forests are such large stores of carbon, the effects of disturbance (such as harvesting) are of considerable interest (see, e.g., Amiro et al., 2010). If more woodlands are brought back into management and thinning or felling is carried out, then the carbon balance may be affected.

Thinning is a forestry practice that aims to manage competition between trees in order to improve the quality, productivity, yield and form of the final tree crop and to provide an economic return before final felling. In Britain, two main types of thinning are practised: low thinning and crown thinning, with intermediate thinning a combination of these. In low thinning, suppressed and subdominant trees are removed, along with those from the smaller diameter classes, thereby reducing the competition experienced by the larger, more valuable trees. Crown thinning aims to reduce the competition from other larger trees (dominant and co-dominant). When trees of poorer growth are removed along with some dominant individuals to open the canopy, it can be classed as intermediate thinning (Kerr and Haufe, 2011).

A few studies have considered the impacts of thinning and other aspects of the forest management cycle on forest carbon balances using the eddy covariance technique (EC) (e.g. Vesala et al., 2005; Payeur-Poirier et al., 2012; Saunders et al., 2012). However, it is logistically challenging to manipulate forest stands on the scale required to facilitate EC stud-
ies. One approach is to thin the entire forest stand and analyse the pre- and post-thinning phases separately (see, e.g., Saunders et al., 2012). However, large inter-annual variation in forest C fluxes is common (see, e.g., Allard et al., 2008; Granier et al., 2008; Wilkinson et al., 2012), which makes unequivocal determination of the effect of thinning difficult from short time series. Alternatively, if only a portion of the forest stand is subjected to the thinning, contemporaneous treatment and control plots are possible, and paired EC systems may be used to detect the fluxes from each section (see, e.g., Moreaux et al., 2011), although this approach requires extensive and homogeneous forest areas. For this study, neither of these approaches were available, and so the area and extent of the thinning operation was deliberately manipulated so that the EC tower was sited near the line dividing the treatment and control portions of the forest.

Assessing the impacts of management thinning on the net ecosystem exchange (NEE) of a forest stand is further complicated because NEE is the small difference between ecosystem respiration ($R_{eco}$) and gross primary productivity (GPP), both of which are much larger components; a small shift in the balance between these will therefore have a large effect on NEE. Furthermore, the ways $R_{eco}$ and GPP are affected by thinning will differ; for example, thinning changes the canopy density, altering the soil temperature and moisture conditions (see, e.g., Tang et al., 2005; Olajuyigbe et al., 2012) and affecting the soil component of NEE. Vesala et al. (2005) found that whilst there was no reduction in the size of the carbon sink of a boreal Scots pine ($Pinus sylvestris$ L.) stand in Finland following thinning, increases in ground vegetation photosynthesis and heterotrophic respiration were offset by decreases in canopy GPP and in both above- and below-ground autotrophic respiration. Amiro et al. (2010) published a comprehensive study tracking changes in net ecosystem productivity (NEP) across a variety of different forest types following a range of disturbance events. All three conifer forests studied that were subjected to thinning showed relatively short-term impacts on the carbon balance following a decrease in NEE in the year of disturbance. Other studies in managed forests have shown that NEP rates are sustained following the thinning of canopy trees (e.g., Granier et al., 2008), which is often attributed to increased growth by subcanopy plants after dominant canopy trees have been removed (Moreaux et al., 2011; Dore et al., 2012). Many of these studies are concerned with coniferous forests with very different seasonal dynamics to the deciduous oak woodland found in much of lowland England.

Aerial light detection and ranging (lidar) is a remote sensing method capable of producing three-dimensional models of large areas of landscape with sub-metre accuracy and has been used to measure forest height for more than a decade (see, e.g., Yu et al., 2003). In recent years, its application in forest inventories has become common practice, particularly in northern European countries, where the method is used to quickly cover large areas at a high spatial resolution (Naśset, 2004; Maier et al., 2006). Additionally, the ability to view the resulting data in a variety of ways removes the problems associated with illumination and shadowing seen with standard aerial photography. By carrying out aerial lidar surveys before and after a management thinning operation, it is possible to quantify the changes in the forest canopy structure.

The aim of this study was to examine the effects of management thinning on the factors determining the carbon balance of a plantation deciduous oak woodland in southern England. Our hypotheses were that the removal of preselected trees from the woodland during a thinning operation would lead to an initial reduction in GPP. As thinning also increases the amount of woody debris and other litter components added to the forest floor, an increase in $R_{eco}$ was also expected. Together, these changes would result in a large decrease in NEE during the period immediately after thinning, which would be followed by a recovery of NEE to pre-thinning rates over a period of time, possibly several years, although we could not predict the timescale.

2 Materials and instrumentation

2.1 Site description

The eddy covariance measurement site is located in the Straits Inclosure, Alice Holt Research Forest, UK (51°09′ N; 0°51′ W), close to the Alice Holt Research Station in southeastern England (Fig. 1a). The inclosure is a flat area with an elevation of 80 m above mean sea level; the surrounding landscape consists of mixed lowland woodland and both arable and pasture agricultural land. The whole 90 ha inclosure was planted in the 1820s with oak (Schlich, 1905) and then replanted in the 1930s. The main tree species is Quercus robur L., but other species, including European ash (Fraxinus excelsior L.), Q. petraea (Mattuschka) Liebl. and Q. cerris L., are present. There is a small area (4.6 ha) of mixed conifers consisting of Corsican pine ($Pinus nigra$ subsp. laricio Maire.) and Scots pine ($Pinus sylvestris$ L.) at the northwest edge of the woodland and isolated pockets of Japanese red cedar ($Cryptomeria japonica$ (L.f.) D. Don) are also present in the eastern area. The understorey is dominated by hazel (Corylus avellana L.) and hawthorn (Crataegus monogyna Jacq.) (Pitman and Broadmeadow, 2001). Prior to this study, the whole of the stand was previously thinned in 1995.

The climate regime is mild temperate oceanic; the long-term mean (1971–2000) screen annual air temperature was 9.6 °C and the mean annual precipitation 779 mm at the UK Meteorological Office affiliated weather station, Alice Holt, Farnham (51°10′ N, 0°51′ W), approximately 1.8 km from the measurement site. Further site-specific details can be found in Wilkinson et al. (2012).

Between June and August 2007, the eastern half of the woodland (approx. 47.5 ha) was selectively thinned (Fig. 1a).
using an intermediate thinning procedure (see introduction) resulting in an open forest canopy with a uniform stand structure (Kerr and Haufe, 2011). Preselected trees (based on stem form and position within the canopy) were felled, delimbed and sectioned using mechanical harvesters. The merchantable stem wood with a diameter > 7.0 cm was subsequently collected and transported to the forest roadside using a forwarder, before being removed from the forest by timber haulage lorries. This harvesting technique resulted in substantial disturbance to the understorey and shrub layer. Whilst all of the remaining woody debris was left on the site, some of it was collected and used to construct “brash mats” for machinery movement in order to minimise damage and compaction to the soil, especially in areas of heavy traffic. Mensuration surveys carried out after the thinning in 2009 (western sector) and 2011 (eastern sector) showed 453 and 354 trees ha\(^{-1}\) respectively, a difference in stand density of approx. 22 % (Table 1).

2.2 Micrometeorological measurements and flux calculations

Eddy covariance (EC) measurements of energy flux (sensible and latent heat), momentum, net ecosystem exchange (NEE) and water vapour flux have been made above the forest canopy at the site since 1998. The flux tower is located close to the boundary of the thinned and unthinned sectors (Fig. 1a). The EC instrumentation consisted of a three-dimensional sonic anemometer (model Solent R2 until September 2011, model Solent R3 thereafter; Gill Instruments, Lymington, UK) and a closed-path infrared CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O analyser (model LI-6262 until October 2005, model LI-7000 thereafter; LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA), sampling air at 28 m height. Raw high-frequency data (20.8 Hz) were logged using the Edisol software package (Moncrieff et al., 1997). Further details of the instrumentation can be found in Wilkinson et al. (2012). For that previous paper, post processing of the raw high-frequency data was performed using the Edinburgh University micrometeorological software tool EdiRe (http://www.geos.ed.ac.uk/abs/research/micromet/EdiRe/); here we used the EddyPro software package (Version 4.2.1, LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA) but with similar processing options. The angle of attack correction (specific to Gill anemometers) was applied according to Nakai et al. (2006). Double axis rotation tilt correction was also applied to ensure that the vertical velocity signal was orthogonal to the plane of mean air flow. The lag time of the sample from the intake point to the measurement cell of the infrared analyser was determined by maximising the covariance between the vertical wind velocity and scalar concentration. In order to account for flux loss caused by signal damping inside the tube, limited time response and sensor separation, etc., spectral corrections were applied using the fully analytical approach of Moncrieff et al. (1997). Following an analysis of night-time NEE dependence on friction velocity using the method described by Papale et al. (2006), night-time NEE data were rejected where friction velocity was less than a critical threshold (Supplement Table S1). Since CO\(_2\) profile data were not available for the entire measurement period, we have made no corrections for CO\(_2\) storage below the EC instruments. Footprint analysis was performed based on the flux footprint model of Kljun et al. (2004), and the half-hourly flux measurements were rejected when more than 10 % of the measured flux was derived from outside the woodland, our area of interest.

2.3 Flux data processing and treatment separation

Following the calculation of corrected NEE and in order to remove extreme spikes, which were assumed not to be biologically valid, a data filter was applied using an approach
similar to that proposed by Papale et al. (2006) and Thomas et al. (2011). For each calendar year, NEE data were first split into positive or negative values. Positive values more than the mean positive value for the whole year plus 3 standard deviations were removed and the same approach applied to all negative values. A secondary stage data filter was subsequently applied, which removed positive values more than the mean monthly value for that half-hourly period plus 3 standard deviations, and negative values less than the mean monthly value minus 3 standard deviations.

Thirty-minute average flux data (including additional meteorological data such as air temperature, humidity and incident solar radiation \( S_g \)) were separated into two sectors according to wind direction: data that were collected when the wind direction was between 315 and 170° were classified as “east sector” (the area that was thinned in 2007), and data collected when the wind direction was between 170 and 315° were classified as “west sector” (unthinned area). Table 1 summarises the data availability after this classification into the two sectors. Ecosystem respiration \( R_{eco} \) was calculated for each sector using the method proposed by Reichstein et al. (2005). Here, each data set was split into 10-day consecutive periods and \( R_{eco} \) was estimated using the Lloyd–Taylor regression model (Lloyd and Taylor, 1994) between night-time CO\(_2\) flux (global solar radiation < 20 W m\(^{-2}\)) and air temperature. The estimated value of \( R_{eco} \) was then assigned to the central time point of the averaging interval and linearly interpolated between time points.

### 2.4 Model parameters

In order to examine changes in the physiological drivers of the carbon balance, original quality-controlled daytime and night-time 30 min average NEE data were separated and analysed independently. The temperature sensitivity of ecosystem respiration for each sector of the forest was determined using an exponential equation fitted to the average half-hourly night-time NEE and air temperature for each corresponding period:

\[
R_s = K_1 \exp(K_2 T_{air}),
\]  

\( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) being parameters estimated from field measurements.

### 2.5 Lidar measurements and calculation of vegetation structure

The aerial photograph taken after thinning (Fig. 1a) and mensuration surveys revealed substantial spatial heterogeneity

---

**Table 1.** Results of tree mensuration surveys carried out in 2009 (west sector) and 2011 (east sector) at the Straits Inclosure, Alice Holt Forest. In the east sector, 26 circular plots were measured, each with a radius of 12.6 m, whilst in the west sector, 18 plots were measured, each with a plot radius of 8 m. Plot locations were selected using a stratified grid basis to ensure the heterogeneity of the forest structure was measured; figures in brackets are standard error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All trees</th>
<th>Oak trees only</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density (trees ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td>Density (trees ha(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean diameter at breast height (cm)</td>
<td>Mean diameter at breast height (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>East</strong></td>
<td><strong>West</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>354</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.9 (0.55)</td>
<td>26.6 (0.57)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 2.** Annual eddy covariance CO\(_2\) flux data capture and quality-controlled data availability following de-spiking, footprint and n\(^\circ\) quality checks (QCs) for each sector by time of day (all in percentage) over the period 2004–2012 at the Straits Inclosure, Alice Holt Forest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total data capture</th>
<th>QC east day</th>
<th>QC east night</th>
<th>QC west day</th>
<th>QC west night</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>82.1 11.9 8.6 18.0 14.9</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>86.7 12.6 9.5 18.4 15.1</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>93.0 15.9 10.5 18.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>77.3 11.9 10.0 15.7 12.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>81.4 10.7 6.4 26.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>92.5 9.9 6.3 18.6</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>74.3 10.7 8.6 16.6</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>92.5 11.6 8.3 21.6</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>79.6 8.9 6.1 18.7</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Total data capture</td>
<td>QC east day</td>
<td>QC east night</td>
<td>QC west day</td>
<td>QC west night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>79.6 8.9 6.1 18.7 14.7</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>92.5 11.6 8.3 21.6</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>74.3 10.7 8.6 16.6</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>92.5 9.9 6.3 18.6</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>81.4 10.7 6.4 26.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>77.3 11.9 10.0 15.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>93.0 15.9 10.5 18.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>86.7 12.6 9.5 18.4</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>84.4 11.6 8.3 19.2 14.8</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \( R_s \) is the night-time NEE and \( T_{air} \) is the night-time air temperature at 26 m. Data fitted to this function were limited to night-time condition only where the mean half-hourly \( S_g < 20 \text{ W m}^{-2} \) and the quality control flag calculated by EddyPro according to the Mauder and Foken (2006) method was equal to 0.

The relationship between summer (July and August) daytime NEE and \( S_g \) was modelled using a rectangular-hyperbolic function:

\[
\text{NEE} = \left( \frac{\varepsilon \cdot F^\infty \cdot S_g}{\varepsilon \cdot S_g + F^\infty} \right) + R_d,
\]

where \( F^\infty \) is the asymptotic net CO\(_2\) assimilation rate, \( \varepsilon \) is the initial slope of the light response curve and \( R_d \) is respiration in the dark. Data fitted to the light response model were limited to periods where the quality control flag (Mauder and Foken, 2006) was equal to 0.
within the forest block and showed large differences in forest structure between the two sectors. Changes in canopy top height and gap fraction were assessed using aerial lidar surveys conducted over two flight campaigns for the whole of Alice Holt Forest (800 ha) by the Unit for Landscape Modelling (ULM; Dept. of Geography, University of Cambridge). The first was in early November 2006, prior to the thinning, and the second in August 2009, 2 years after the thinning. Due to the mild autumn in 2006, both surveys were completed whilst the forest had a fully developed canopy. A lidar system (ALTM 3033, Optech Incorporated, Ontario, Canada) flown at an altitude of 1000 m above ground level and with a scan angle ±15° was used along a series of overlapping transects designed to cover the whole forest. The system combined a pulse rate of 33 kHz and an overlap of 50% between swaths, resulting in a point density of 2 to 4 points m⁻², which was used to generate a virtual cloud of three-dimensional data points with an accuracy of ±15 cm root mean square (rms). The first- and last-pulse return data were used to generate a digital surface model (DSM) which included the tree cover and a digital terrain model (DTM) representing the ground surface. These data were provided by the ULM as raster elevation models with a 0.5 m cell size. By subtracting the DTM from the DSM using GIS software (ArcGIS 10, Esri, Redlands, California, USA), canopy height models (CHMs) for each survey were created. Furthermore, by subtracting the 2006 DSM from the 2009 DSM, a model of change between the two surveys was also created (Fig. 1b).

To allow a detailed analysis of the vertical change in forest height and gap frequency between 2006 and 2009, each CHM was converted to a 1 m cell size and then spatially split into a 1 ha grid. Canopy top height histograms (bin size: 50 cm) were calculated for each grid cell, based on the 10 000 values per hectare. Frequencies were then averaged for all the grid cells within each sector. Grid cells at the interface between the east and west areas of the forest were excluded from the analysis, as were those cells that contained, either wholly or partially, areas of the surrounding agricultural land. All analyses were conducted using R software (R Development Core Team, 2011).

3 Results

3.1 Climatic conditions

The prevailing wind direction at the site is from the southwest, so more of the data come from periods when the wind is from the west sector (Table 2). As the meteorological conditions associated with easterly and westerly winds differ, the flux data recorded from the two sectors did not reflect the same meteorological conditions (Fig. 2). Mean canopy level annual air temperature (2004–2012) was slightly warmer when air flow was from the west sector (10.8 °C) than from the east sector (9.6 °C).

The mean diurnal course of air temperature over the bimonthly winter periods of November–December and January–February was generally warmer when airflow was from the more usual west rather than the east. The largest difference in winter air temperature was observed in January–February 2012: a period of cold weather from the start of February, dominated by easterly conditions, persisted over the southern UK for about 2 weeks and was also associated with snowfall in parts of the region (Fig. 3a). Conversely, mean summer air temperatures (during daylight hours) were generally higher when airflow was from the east than from the west, as occurred in 2004. Incident solar radiation and relative humidity were generally very similar when air flow was from either sector (Fig. 2).

3.2 Variation in NEE

The mean diurnal course of NEE (Fig. 3b) indicated that the forest generally acted as a CO₂ source for the bimonthly peri-
Figure 3. Panel (a): average bimonthly diurnal curve of incident solar radiation, $S_g$, for east sector (blue solid line) and west sector (green solid line) and air temperature for east sector (blue open circles) and west sector (green open circles) for 2004, 2007 and 2012 at the Straits Inclosure, Alice Holt Forest. Panel (b): mean bimonthly diurnal curve of net ecosystem exchange for east sector (blue solid line) and west sector (green solid line) for 2004, 2007 and 2012; + symbols represent ±1SE at the Straits Inclosure, Alice Holt Forest.
goals of November–December, January–February and March–April, although in exceptionally warm and early springs, such as 2007, the forest became a weak CO$_2$ sink for a few hours around noon. Both sectors of the forest were a strong CO$_2$ sink from May through to October, although there was considerable variation between years. In some periods, when temperature and insolation conditions were very similar for each sector, NEE patterns were also similar (e.g. May–June 2007 and March–April 2012). In other periods with similar temperature and insolation, NEE was different, for example, in July–August 2012.

3.3 Effects of thinning on ecosystem respiration

As expected for a temperate, deciduous forest, there was a large annual cycle in $R_{\text{eco}}$ with a peak in May–August (Fig. 4a–c) but varying substantially year to year. Before thinning, annual $R_{\text{eco}}$ patterns were similar between sectors (e.g. Fig. 4a, 2006), but in the immediate period after thinning, $R_{\text{eco}}$ was usually higher in the east sector (e.g. Fig. 4b, 2009), particularly in the warmer summer period. As weather conditions differed for fluxes measured for east and west, we compared the underlying relationships of $R_{\text{eco}}$ with temperature between sectors.

As an assessment of the sensitivity of $R_\text{s}$ to air temperature, using the coefficients of the exponential function (Eq. 1) revealed differences between sectors (Table 3). Overall $Q_{10}$ was generally higher and more variable between years, when airflow was from the west sector (mean: 2.92; SD: 0.74) than from the east (mean: 2.08; SD: 0.23); however, this was not the case in 2009 and 2010. The largest differences in $R_\text{s}$ (highest in the east) between the two sectors occurred in 2009, 2 years after the thinning. This was the only year during which there was a constant small (although non-significant) positive offset in the sensitivity of $R_\text{s}$ to air temperature between the two sectors (Fig. 4h).

3.4 Effects of thinning on canopy NEE light response

The asymptotic net CO$_2$ assimilation rate ($F^\infty$) and apparent quantum yield ($\varepsilon$) were determined from a light response function (Eq. 2) fitted to the summer (July and August) daytime NEE flux data for both forest sectors. Differences in $F^\infty$ were observed between the east and west sectors both before and after thinning (data not shown). Although both sectors followed the same general inter-annual pattern, there was no clear change (in either sector) after thinning. The magnitude of $F^\infty$ was generally larger than the maximum observed rates of daytime NEE, due to an overestimation of $F^\infty$ by the rectangular-hyperbolic model; therefore, NEE at $S_g$ 800 Wm$^{-2}$ (NEE$_{800}$) was considered a better indication of the maximum rate of light-saturated NEE. NEE$_{800}$ was consistently lower in the fluxes observed from the east sector (Fig. 5a) than from the west for the entire measurement period; there was no significant reduction in NEE$_{800}$ in 2008 in fluxes from either sector. Prior to 2007, the magnitude of apparent quantum yield (Fig. 5b) was generally higher when fluxes were from the west than from the east; the two sectors converged in the post-thinning phase. $R_d$ (respiration in the dark) estimated from the light response curves increased in the east sector post thinning relative to the west and remained higher through to 2012 (Fig. 5c) confirming the results of $R_{\text{eco}}$ estimated using the Reichstein et al. (2005) method.

3.5 Changes in canopy height and gap fraction

The canopy top height derived from the first-return data from the lidar survey showed that the two sectors of forest had similar canopy height distributions in 2006, before thinning (Fig. 6a, b), but with some differences in detail. The small peak in frequency between 5 and 10 m height in the west in 2006 (Fig. 6a) is from areas of the forest which were undergoing succession development following previous disturbance events. By 2009 these areas of the forest had grown and are evident as heterogeneous patches in Fig. 1b. In both sectors, the canopy height distribution profile changed, in the west this was because of growth, whilst in the east the thinning operation had a substantial effect. Prior to the thinning, both maximum and mean canopy heights were similar in both sectors (Table 4). Between 2006 and 2009, the maximum canopy height increased in the west sector by 0.9 m but was reduced slightly in the east sector by 0.1 m. Over the same time period, mean canopy top height also increased in the west sector by 0.95 m and reduced in the east sector by 1.4 m.

Changes in the canopy height distribution profiles were also observed (Fig. 6c, d). The elevation relief ratio, $E$ (Pike and Wilson, 1971), reflects the degree to which the outer canopy surfaces are in the upper ($E > 0.5$) or lower ($E < 0.5$) portion of the height range and is defined as

$$E = \frac{h_{\text{mean}} - h_{\text{min}}}{h_{\text{max}} - h_{\text{min}}},$$

where $h_{\text{mean}}$, $h_{\text{min}}$ and $h_{\text{max}}$ are the mean, minimum and maximum canopy heights respectively. $E$ was reduced substantially in the east because of the larger proportion of lower top heights, while there was only a small increase in $E$ in the west (Table 4). The canopy top height distribution also showed a relatively small increase in the proportion of canopy > 15 m in height between 2006 and 2009 in the west (+6.2 %) but a substantial reduction in the east (−13.7 %) as a result of the thinning operation.

The lidar survey also showed that canopy complexity across the uppermost surface of the forest in the east sector increased following the thinning operations. The relative variability in canopy height (indicated by the coefficient of variation) increased substantially (Table 4) in the east but not in the west. After thinning there was a large increase in the frequency of gaps in the forest canopy (canopy top height < 1 m) in the east sector but not the west because of the re-
Table 3. Night-time ecosystem respiration ($R_s$) coefficients and the estimated $Q_{10}$ values (base temperature: $0^\circ$C) derived from fitting an exponential equation to half-hourly night-time NEE and air temperature values over the period 2004–2012 at the Straits Inclosure, Alice Holt Forest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>$K_1$ east</th>
<th>$K_2$ east</th>
<th>$K_1$ west</th>
<th>$K_2$ west</th>
<th>$Q_{10}$ east</th>
<th>$Q_{10}$ west</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2.22 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.064 (0.003)</td>
<td>1.22 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.120 (0.003)</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>3.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2.14 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.063 (0.002)</td>
<td>1.59 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.091 (0.003)</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1.82 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.068 (0.003)</td>
<td>1.67 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.082 (0.003)</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2.08 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.061 (0.004)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.122 (0.004)</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>3.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1.82 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.078 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.140 (0.003)</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1.71 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.089 (0.003)</td>
<td>1.37 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.089 (0.003)</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1.70 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.072 (0.002)</td>
<td>1.74 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.064 (0.002)</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1.62 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.071 (0.004)</td>
<td>1.15 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.098 (0.004)</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1.72 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.088 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.134 (0.004)</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>3.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures in brackets are 1 standard error (SE).

The removal of canopy trees (compare Fig. 6d). Gaps in the forest canopy were relatively uniformly distributed throughout the whole east sector and increased from a total area of 1.13 ha (3.1 % of the eastern area) in 2006 to 2.16 ha (6.6 %) in 2009. Over the same period there was a small decrease in the total area of gaps in the forest canopy in the west, which measured 0.89 ha (2.47 % of the total western area) in 2006 and 0.85 ha (2.35 %) in the 2009 surveys (Fig. 1b).
Table 4. Results of aerial lidar surveys before and after thinning calculated from first- and last-return data at a point density of 2 points m\(^{-2}\) and extracted from a 1 ha gridded canopy height model at the Straits Inclosure, Alice Holt Forest. C.V.: coefficient of variation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Maximum height (m)</th>
<th>Mean height (m)</th>
<th>SD of mean height</th>
<th>C.V.</th>
<th>Elevation relief ratio (E)</th>
<th>% of canopy &gt; 10 m</th>
<th>% of canopy &gt; 15 m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>66.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>65.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>72.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>51.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Inter-annual variation in summer (July and August) daytime light response model parameters for (a) NEE\(_{800}\), (b) \(\varepsilon\) and (c) \(R_d\) for the east sector (blue line with open circles) and west sector (green line with open circles), with error bars representing ±1SE, at the Straits Inclosure, Alice Holt Forest.

Figure 6. Histograms of canopy top height (m) derived from aerial lidar for the east sector (blue bars) and west (green bars) for (a, b) 2006 and 2009; cumulative frequency of canopy top height for (c) west sector in 2006 and 2009 and (d) east sector in 2006 and 2009 at the Straits Inclosure, Alice Holt Forest.

4 Discussion

Surprisingly, the effects of the thinning procedure in 2007 on the carbon balance were not clearly evident. In part, this may have been because of our experimental approach. We used eddy covariance measurements at one location near the boundary between the thinned and unthinned sectors in order to determine the \(CO_2\) fluxes because of the relatively small size of the forest block and being restricted to only one tower and EC system. The effects of thinning are partly obscured by (a) the differences in weather conditions when airflow is from either sector (Fig. 2), (b) existing heterogeneity in fluxes from different parts of the forest prior to thinning (Fig. 3b) and (d) the limited data availability for each sector (Table 2).
The pre- and post-thinning lidar surveys indicated that whilst canopy top height distributions were comparable in 2006, the thinning operations in 2007 had a large effect on the canopy structure of the east sector, resulting in a more complex canopy with a wider range of top heights and a larger total area of gaps. The complexity of the forest canopy at our site, as a result of variability in gaps and a dense understory, contrasts with other published studies using lidar at other deciduous forest sites (Wasser et al., 2013). Whilst we acknowledge that the 2009 lidar survey did not take place immediately after the thinning, our estimate of the change in canopy gap fraction may be an underrepresentation. Firstly, lidar pulses have a relatively large footprint (~25 cm in diameter) and therefore gaps in the canopy would need to be larger than this in order to be recognised as a gap. Secondly, off-nadir pulses are more likely to produce a canopy height return than they are to penetrate to ground level. Our approach used only the first- and last-return signals of the lidar data, so the canopy height model showed only the uppermost component of the forest canopy. As such, some of the changes in the understory canopy during thinning may have been masked by the vertical overlap of the understory vegetation and upper canopy. Whilst we acknowledge that an analysis of full waveform or multiple return data (Mallet and Bretar, 2009) may provide more detailed information about the canopy’s three-dimensional structure, we maintain that the approach adopted here provided a useful assessment of the changes to the forest canopy due to the thinning operations.

The parameters obtained from the summer light response curves did not support our hypothesis that tree thinning would lead to a reduction in NEE through a loss of canopy photosynthetic area. Contrary to expectation, there was no clear difference in NEE at 800 (Fig. 5) in 2008 for the east sector relative to the west. We suggest that this apparent insensitivity in 2008 to the thinning indicates that in the first year after thinning the newly exposed ground vegetation and shrub layer and better illumination of the remaining crowns compensates for the removed trees. From 2009 to 2011, NEE at 800 was reduced in both sectors probably as a result of defoliation by caterpillars (Wilkinson et al., 2012). The increase in NEE in the east in 2008 and especially 2009 may be as a result of the thinning as it consistent with the earlier work of Niinemets (2007) and Pangle et al. (2009), who demonstrated that as forest canopies become more structurally diverse, light efficiency increases because of a more even distribution of radiation throughout the tree canopy and better light penetration to subcanopy species with a higher ε. Our findings however contrast with results from thinning studies carried out on evergreen conifer sites (with presumably little or no understory vegetation). For example, Saunders et al. (2012) attributed observed changes in the photosynthetic efficiency of a Sitka spruce stand following thinning to inherent change in the photosynthetic efficiency of the remaining trees rather than to increased light absorption.

The impacts of thinning on respiration are complicated by the fact that R_\text{eco} consists of CO_2 derived from both heterotrophic respiration (R_h) largely in the soil and from autotrophic respiration (R_a), both above and below ground. Both of these CO_2 sources comprise a number of processes and components which are likely to be influenced by both time and forest management in different ways. R_a estimated from the light response curves increased in the first years after thinning in the east relative to the west. In the first years after thinning (2008–2010) the initial supply of fine roots, small twigs, leaves and other easily degradable fractions of litter would be a major new source of carbon and nitrogen for the decomposition system. Soil disturbance from machinery might also be expected to increase R_h as was demonstrated by Concilio et al. (2009) at a mixed species conifer site. In addition, much of the large woody debris had been gathered together to form brash mats which may have been a substantial source of CO_2, although we have no independent measurements of emission from them. Thinning is also likely to cause local increases in temperature, increased throughfall, reductions in humidity and probably higher evaporation rates in gaps (Vesala et al., 2005). However, we cannot quantify such effects as the climatic data we recorded were only that from the central instrument tower. After thinning there is likely to be a succession of changes in the relative contributions of R_a and R_h to total R_\text{eco}, which may be associated not only with changes to soil conditions but also with biomass removal (Anderson-Teixeira et al., 2011) and a reduction in GPP (Woodward et al., 2010). Although we do not have independent measures for R_a and R_h throughout the period of the present study, work at the site in 2008–2010 (Heinemeyer et al., 2012) demonstrated that in an unthinned area the largest proportion of total soil efflux was from R_d (56 %) compared with R_h (44 %). Importantly for this study, Heinemeyer et al. (2012) demonstrated a stronger temperature response for R_h than for either root R_d or mycorrhizal R_\text{h}. After thinning the proportion of total soil CO_2 efflux derived from R_h is likely to increase, which may result in an increased temperature sensitivity of CO_2 efflux by forest soils. In 2011 there was no clearly discernable difference in R_\text{eco} between the two sectors, and we therefore assume that any increase in below ground R_h is likely to be cancelled out by a corresponding reduction in R_a, which is consistent with the findings of Tang et al. (2005).

In a previous paper describing the pattern of CO_2 fluxes at this site between 1999 and 2010 (Wilkinson et al., 2012), we noted the substantial inter-annual variation in NEE. The analysis presented here (e.g. in Fig. 3) suggests that part of this may be caused by inter-annual differences in the contribution from the east and west areas of the forest, which differed even before the thinning.
5 Conclusion

This study has investigated the effects of management thinning on the carbon balance of deciduous oak woodland in south-eastern England. Lidar data were used to assess changes in the forest canopy, while EC was used to measure changes in the carbon balance. Management thinning reduced the mean canopy top height and resulted in a forest canopy with a wider top height range and more gaps. The impacts of management thinning on the carbon balance were not clearly evident although ecosystem respiration was higher in fluxes from the east sector from 2008 onwards and remained higher until the end of the study period. The insensitivity of the summer photosynthetic parameters in the first year after thinning, 2008, suggests that newly exposed ground vegetation and shrub layers receiving better illumination compensated for the removed trees.

Copyright statement

The works published in this journal are distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License. This license does not affect the Crown copyright work, which is reusable under the Open Government Licence (OGL). The Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License and the OGL are interoperable and do not conflict with, reduce or limit each other.

© Crown copyright 2016

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/bg-13-2367-2016-supplement.

Acknowledgements. This work was funded by the Forestry Commission, and this paper is an output of the Managing Forest Carbon Programme. We are grateful to the local Forestry Commission staff for allowing and facilitating the research in the Straits Inclosure. We are indebted to Bernard Devereux, Gabriel Amable and Ed Wyer from the Unit of Landscape Modelling, University of Cambridge, for acquiring and processing the lidar data. We wish to acknowledge the help of many Forest Research colleagues who have helped on this work over the years and particularly Mark Broadmeadow, who initiated the project and set up the eddy covariance CO2 flux site.

Edited by: A. Ito

References


Moncrieff, J. B., Massheder, J. M., de Bruin, H., Elbers, J., Friberg, T., Heusinkveld, B., Kabat, P., Scott, S., Soegaard, H., and Verhoef, A.: A system to measure surface fluxes of momentum sen-


