Upwellings mitigated Plio-Pleistocene heat stress for reef corals on the Florida platform (USA)
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Abstract. The fast growing calcareous skeletons of zooxanthellate reef corals (z corals) represent unique environmental proxy archives through their oxygen and carbon stable isotope composition (δ18O, δ13C). In addition, the accretion of the skeleton itself is ultimately linked to the environment and responds with variable growth rates (extension rate) and density to environmental changes. Here we present classical proxy data (δ18O, δ13C) in combination with calcification records from 15 massive z corals. The z corals (Solenastrea, Orbicella, Porites) derive from unlithified shallow marine carbonates and were carefully screened for primary preservation suited for proxy analysis. We show that skeletal accretion responded with decreasing overall calcification rates (decreasing extension rate but increasing density) to warmer water temperatures. Under high annual water temperatures, inferred from sub-annually resolved δ18O data, skeletal bulk density was high, but extension rates and overall calcification rates were at a minimum (endmember scenario 1). Maximum skeletal density was reached during the summer season giving rise to a growth band of high density within the annually banded skeletons (“high density band”, HDB). With low mean annual water temperatures (endmember scenario 2), bulk skeletal density was low but extension rates and calcification rates reached a maximum, and under these conditions the HDB formed during winter. Although surface water temperatures in the Western Atlantic warm pool during the interglacials of the late Neogene were ~2 °C higher than they are in the present day, intermittent upwelling of cool, nutrient-rich water mitigated water temperatures off southwestern Florida and created temporary refuges for z coral growth. Based on the sub-annually resolved δ18O and δ13C records, the duration of the upwelling episodes causing the endmember 2 conditions was variable and lasted from a few years to a number of decades. The episodes of upwelling were interrupted by phases without upwelling (endmember 1) which lasted for at least a few years and led to high surface water temperatures. This variable environment is likely one of the reasons why the coral fauna is dominated by the eurytopic genus Solenastrea, also a genus resistant to high turbidity. Over a period of ~50 years, the oldest sub annually resolved proxy record available (3.2 Ma) documents a persistent occurrence of the HDB during winter. In contrast, the HDB forms in summer in modern z corals from the Florida reef tract. We suggest this difference should be tested as being the expression of a tendency towards decreasing interglacial upwelling since the middle Pliocene. The number of z coral sclerochronological records for the Plio-Pleistocene is still rather low, however, and requires more data and an improved resolution, through records from additional time slices. Nonetheless, our calcification data from the warm periods of past interglacials may contribute to predicting the effects of future ocean warming on z coral health along the Florida reef tract. The inconsistent timing of the HDB within single coral records or among specimens and time slices is unexpected and contrasts the common practice of establishing chronologies on the basis of the density banding.
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1 Introduction
The skeletons of photosymbiotic, zooxanthellate corals (z corals) are highly organised, porous structures formed of the mineral aragonite (CaCO$_3$). Main structures include the tubular corallites in which the living polyps reside, and (in some taxa) the bulbous coenosteum between the corallites which is covered by a thin layer of living organic tissue. The architecture of the corallites is complicated by a well-defined wall and radially arranged blades (septa), sometimes more or less axially fused to form a columnar structure within the centre (columella), and laterally fused, convex upward sheets (dissipements) which serve to separate the living tissue from abandoned parts of the skeleton. Representatives of z corals having this type of organization are the genera Orbicella and Solenastrea. In Porites, the spongy aspect of the skeletal architecture results from laterally fused tiny corallites with perforated walls and irregularly arranged dissipements. In X-ray images of slices parallel to the axes of the corallites (axes of maximum growth), the skeletons of both groups of massive z corals display alternating light and dark bands, the “density bands”, which reflect zones of different skeletal density concurrent with successive upward growth and former growth stages (Knutson et al., 1972). The origin of the rhythmic density changes has been suggested to have two underlying causes: (i) variations in the density of packing of the sclerites at the micro-architectural level, and/or (ii) thickness of the meso-scale skeletal structural elements (septa, costae, columnellae) relative to porosity remaining open (Budde-meier et al., 1974; Dodge et al., 1992; Le Tissier et al., 1994). In the Orbicella-type skeleton, the density banding is very pronounced and sharply defined, reflecting the thickness of exothecal structural elements (dissipements, costae), but not variations in their spacing, whereas it is the overall thickness of the skeletal structures and/or size-variability of the pore spaces and likely also micro-structural organization within the spong登上 and/or the thickness of the tissue layer which causes the density bands in Porites (Dodge et al., 1992; Le Tissier et al., 1994; Reuter et al., 2005).

A pair of high and low density bands is generally assumed to represent 1 year of growth and forms the basis for the calibration of internal age models and estimates of rates of annual upward and outward growth of the colony surface (extension rate [cm yr$^{-1}$]; (Knutson et al., 1972; Lough and Cooper, 2011). Density is a measure of the thickness of the skeletal elements and the total amount of pore volumes (measured as g cm$^{-3}$): the thicker and more massive the individual skeletal elements and the smaller the pores, the higher and closer the density will be to that of the mineral aragonite (2.9 g cm$^{-3}$). The two parameters, extension rate and density, combine for estimates of calcification rates according to Eq. (1; Lough and Cooper, 2011):

$$\text{calcification rate (g cm}^{-2} \text{yr}^{-1}) = \text{annual extension rate (cm yr}^{-1}) \times \text{density (g cm}^{-3})$$  (1)

Although a pair of density bands typically corresponds with 1 year of growth, no universal pattern of band formation and timing of the high density (HDB) and low density (LDB) bands over the seasonal cycle has been found among reef sites world-wide (Highsmith, 1979). Many examples of missing bands or additional bands (“stress bands”) and sequences of double HDBs (dHDB) have been reported (Brachert et al., 2013; Dodge et al., 1992; Highsmith, 1979; Leder et al., 1991; Lough and Cooper, 2011; Worum et al., 2007). More recent studies have shown the systematics of calcification to differ among taxa and ocean regions. While temperature tends to boost calcification rates in recent z corals, temperature effects on extension rate and density markedly differ (Carricart-Ganivet, 2004; Elizalde-Rendon et al., 2010; Lough, 2008; Norzagary-Lopez et al., 2014). In the Indo-Pacific genus Porites, linear extension rate shows a significant increase with sea-water temperature but a concomitant decrease in bulk skeletal density (Lough, 2008). Importantly, however, extension rates have been shown to decline at unusually high temperatures (Cantin et al., 2010; De’ath et al., 2009, 2013). In Orbicella from the Western Atlantic, relationships of skeletal growth with ambient water temperature are less clear. In the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea, linear extension rates decline when skeletal bulk density increases with temperature (Carricart-Ganivet, 2004). In Atlantic Porites (Elizalde-Rendon et al., 2010) the response of linear extension with temperature agrees with that of Porites from the Indo-Pacific, but no temperature effect on bulk density is evident (Elizalde-Rendon et al., 2010). It has been suggested, therefore, that the calcification strategies of the two coral genera and their species differ with regard to successfully colonising space on a reef. Likely, Orbicella is adapted to high-latitude settings by investing more of their calcification resources into linear extension rather than thickening, i.e. “sacrificing density” in order to occupy space on a reef efficiently near the lower temperature threshold of distribution (Carricart-Ganivet, 2004). In addition to the environment, gender seems to represent another poorly understood component controlling calcification (Cabral-Tena et al., 2013).

Some evidence has been documented that calcification does not respond in a linear way to temperature or environmental changes in general (Worum et al., 2007). In one study using stable isotope data from fossil Porites (9–10 Ma), inconsistent subannual timing of the HDB-LDB rhythms among specimens and within individual specimens has been observed, i.e. shifts in the timing of the HDB from the summer to the winter season and the presence of double HDBs in a single year (Brachert et al., 2013). The reasons for this variable timing of the HDB in z corals of the same coral taxon at any given site of growth remains poorly known, but may represent the effect of multiple environmental factors acting in concert on non-linear calcification responses (Brachert et al., 2013). One of these factors has been shown to be water depth, i.e. the timing of the HDB varies with water depth (Klein et al., 1992). Here we present seasonally resolved sta-
ble isotope records from fossil z corals in combination with data on their calcification history. This study aims at constraining the environment of growth and the annual and seasonal water temperatures on the Florida carbonate platform during some Pliocene and Pleistocene interglacials and how these factors controlled skeletal calcification in z corals. We discuss the calcification signatures with regard to upwelling and the fate of coral calcification along the Florida reef tract with continued global warming. This study complements a previous study on long-term seasonality recorded by Florida corals and mollusks (Brachert et al., 2014) and forms the basis for a companion paper on fossil z coral calcification rates (Brachert et al., 2015).

1.1 Stratigraphy and oceanography of the Florida Platform

The Neogene Florida platform represents a stack of depositional units forming marginal wedges or rather continuous sheets over the southern part of the peninsula. The units are comprised of shallow-marine skeletal carbonate deposits and date from interglacials when seal levels were up to 35 m above present (Dowsett and Cronin, 1990; Miller et al., 2012). Intercalated freshwater sediments and paleosols formed during glacial sea level lowstands (Jones et al., 1991; Petuch and Roberts, 2007). At some time, an extensive reef system existed along the south-eastern margin of the platform, associated with a complex system of platform interior environments (Meeder, 1979). Details of the facies, the biota, and the palaeogeography of the single stratigraphic units are described elsewhere (Allmon, 1992; Banks, 1967; Brachert et al., 2014; DuBar, 1958; Locker and Doyle, 1992; Meeder, 1979; Petuch, 1982; Petuch and Roberts, 2007).

Modern surface hydrology around the Florida peninsula differs substantially on the Gulf and Atlantic sides. On the western shelf, SSTs are strongly linked with winter coolings of the northern Gulf of Mexico and range approximately between 17 to 32°C. This contrasts with a more subdued seasonal SST cycle along the eastern coast ranging between 22 to 30°C. This pattern is modified during El Niño/La Niña events and positive and/or negative phases of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) causing either wet and cool or dry and warm deviations from seasonal average (Böcker, 2014). Upwelling occurs episodically and intermittently on both sides of the peninsula and depends on the prevalent seasonal wind systems and the strength of the Loop Current (LC) and Florida Current (FC) systems (Fernald and Purdum, 1981). On the eastern side, upwelling occurs during summer, in connection with an intensified FC (Fernald and Purdum, 1981; Pitts and Smith, 1997; Yang, 1999), whereas on the western Florida shelf, more sustained upwellings occur in winter and respond essentially to an intensified LC and wind-assisted Ekman transport. A concomitant shoaling of the thermocline in the LC causes an intrusion of sub-surface water onto the shelf and shallow water zones (Li and Weisberg, 1999).

Figure 1. Sampling stations in southern Florida, USA (dots). See Table 1 for details and numbering of sampling stations.

1.2 Materials

The z corals studied derive from four chronostratigraphic units of the Florida carbonate platform representing interglacial highstands of sea level and spanning collectively the period from the middle Pliocene to the early Pleistocene (Table 1). Sampling sites in southern Florida selected for this study are pits for carbonate gravels and de-watering canals exposing carbonate sediments with well-established stratigraphic position (middle Pliocene to early Pleistocene; Fig. 1, Table 1). Most of the fossil samples were taken years ago by Edward Petuch (Boca Raton, USA) when the gravel pits were dry through pumping and allowed for documenting and sampling exactly according to stratigraphic position. In order to improve the database for the present study, this material was complemented by one specimen described in the literature (Roulier and Quinn, 1995) and additional specimens collected by our group from spoil piles adjacent to the gravel pits and canals because the outcrops are presently flooded with groundwater. Materials from spoil piles are re-worked and sediments not in their original stratigraphic position, though all fossils from the spoils were considered to derive from the stratigraphic unit exposed on site. Collections are dominated by specimens of Solenastrea (n = 12), but also include Orbicella (n = 2) and Porites (n = 1), both as entire coralla (< 20 cm) and fragments of large coralla (< 60 cm). The scleractinian genus name Orbicella is used for corals...
Table 1. Sampling sites in southern Florida. The numbering follows Brachert et al. (2014).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>GPS coordinates</th>
<th>Lithostratigraphy</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Palm Beach Aggregates</td>
<td>EP8</td>
<td>26°41.742'N, 80°21.270'W</td>
<td>Bermont Fm. (Holey Land Mb.)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EP9A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EP9C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EP9D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Brantley Pit, Arcadia</td>
<td>EP6-S2</td>
<td>27°2.988'N, 81°49.611'W</td>
<td>Caloosahatchee Fm. (Bee Branch Mb.)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>DeSoto Sand and Shell LLC (site 452)</td>
<td>452-K1-S6</td>
<td>27°3.587'N, 81°47.627'W</td>
<td>Caloosahatchee Fm (Bee Branch Mb.)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>452-K4-S1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>452-K14-S6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>unnamed pit (site 509)</td>
<td>509A</td>
<td>26°27.149'N, 81°42.988'W</td>
<td>Caloosahatchee Fm.</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Mule Pen Quarry</td>
<td>EP1-S2</td>
<td>26°10.410'N, 81°42.468'W</td>
<td>Tamiami Fm. (Golden Gate Mb.)</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EP2-S2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EP3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EP4-S2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EP5-S2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Quality Aggregates (APAC)</td>
<td>Coral #1 2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Tamiami Fm. (Pinecrest Mb., unit 7)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


previously assigned to the *Montastraea annularis* group according to the revised taxonomic classification of the reef coral family Mussidae (Budd et al., 2012).

1.3 Methods

The fossil corals (*n = 15*, Table 1) were cut into slabs of <1 cm thickness along the plane of maximum growth using a conventional rock saw at lowest speed and equipped with a water-cooled diamond blade. All corals were screened for diagenetic alteration using a binocular microscope and SEM. In order to detect minimal contaminations by secondary calcite, powder samples taken at random were prepared for X-ray diffraction (XRD) and analysed using a Rigaku Miniflex diffractometer with scanning angles of 20 to 60° 2θ. The detection limit of the method is ~ 1%. Only skeletal areas that retained their original aragonite mineralogy, skeletal porosity and microstructure with no evidence for significant secondary crystal growth or dissolution (microscopic and SEM observation) were accepted for further analyses. Coral slabs of equal thickness were X-rayed using a digital X-ray cabinet (SHR 50 V) to identify potential zones of diagenetic alteration (McGregor and Gagan, 2003; Reuter et al., 2005) and to document cyclic density variations, i.e. the density bands (Knutson et al., 1972). Density bands were defined visually along the zones of maximum change in the grey scale of the radiographs.

Quantitative measurements of density were made using X-ray densitometry (Helmle et al., 2002). Measurements were undertaken along transects parallel to the corallites and parallel to the isotope transects (see below). The individual measurement transects were carefully selected so as not to cross secondary cavities resulting from bioerosion, e.g. borings from bivalves, and potential zones of diagenetic alteration. Bulk skeletal density was calculated as the mean of all individual measurements along a given transect. Calibration of the measurements was tested by measurements of standards for zero density (air) and massive aragonite (slice of an aragonitic bivalve shell having a thickness equaling that of the coral slice). External analytical precision was tested by multiple measurements, and mean deviation from regression (*R*² = 0.9) was found to be 0.04 ± 0.01 g cm⁻³ (range 0.02–0.06 g cm⁻³), i.e. better than 5%. All quantitative data of density and linear extension are given as mean values and standard deviations (±1σ).

Z coral stable isotope data described here are the same as reported by Brachert et al. (2014) supplemented by data from two additional *Solenastrea* samples (Table 1). Sample powders for stable isotope analysis were taken using a microdrill fixed to a manually operated X/Y/Z table. A 0.6 mm drill bit and a drilling depth of 1 mm yielded >20 µg of sample powder from the theca wall. Sampled corallites were selected according to their orientation parallel to the surface of the coral slices in order to avoid geometric distortions between stable isotope cycles and the density bands (Le Tissier et al., 1994). For sampling of the corallite wall, all endothecal skeletal elements such as septae, columella and endothecal dissepiments were removed prior to sampling using a handheld microdrill. For technical reasons, we sampled the inner surface of the corallite wall instead of its external side (en-
dothecate sampling method of Leder et al., 1996). Sampling the cleaned inner surface of the corallite wall assured all potentially existent secondary overgrowths (early or late diagenetic cements) were removed prior to sampling. Samples for isotopic analysis were taken at equal distances of 0.5 mm (or 0.7 mm for coral sample 452K1). In Porites we used a simplified technique where the sample drilling was made without prior cleaning of inner corallite surfaces. Our sampling approach assured the calculation of annual extension rates on the basis of the number of samples per oxygen isotope year. Oxygen isotope years for the age models were defined by the most positive δ18O values assuming them to reflect maximum winter conditions. The age models were further refined by linear interpolation of sampling points between winter values (Brachert et al., 2006a). One long Solenastrea δ18O record was spliced together from four overlapping transects along parallel corallites using the software package AnalySeries (Paillard et al., 1996). In order to document the relationships of stable isotope data with the density bands, steel balls were placed within some of the drill holes of the sampling path and the coral slices X-rayed again.

Oxygen and carbon stable isotope analyses were carried out at the Institute of Geophysics and Geology, Leipzig University. Carbonate powders were reacted with 102 % phosphoric acid at 70°C using a Kiel IV online carbonate preparation line connected to a MAT 253 mass spectrometer. All carbonate values are reported in per mil (%e) relative to the PDB standard according to the delta notation. Reproducibility was checked by replicate analysis of laboratory standards and was better than ±0.04‰ (1σ) for carbon (δ13C) and better than ±0.06‰ (1σ) for oxygen isotopes (δ18O). Water values of δ18Ow are reported vs. SMOW. The seasonal difference in δ18O values is given as Delta – delta values (Δδ18O). For calculations of paleotemperatures, we followed the methodology described by Leder et al. (1996). SMOW to PDB conversions were made according to the relationships given by Friedman and O’Neil (1977).

Statistical analyses were performed using the PAST palaeontological statistics software package (version 3.01) for education and data analysis (freeware folk.uio.no/ohammer/past/). Stable isotope data (δ18O, δ13C) were evaluated using the t test. A linear bivariate model was tested as to whether there were no statistical differences in the stable isotope values in a data set (p > 0.05) against the alternate hypothesis that there were significant differences (p < 0.05). Equality of regression slopes was tested using the f test as assumed by analyses of covariance (ANCOVA).

2 Results

2.1 Macroscopic and microscopic aspect of the coral samples

In outcrop, coral specimens were selected according to the retention of all anatomical features of the corallites and a low weight taken to imply the absence of secondary cements and mineral transformation and/or recrystallisation. Upon microscopic investigation using SEM (Fig. 2a–g), the skeletons display stacked spherulites or fans and layers of fibrous aragonite which represent the microstructures typical of scleractinian corals (Constantz, 1986; Nothdurft and Webb, 2007). Within the centres of the single calcifying units (sclerodermites), porosity is more or less enhanced and the aragonite crystallites are particularly small, granular in shape and have no preferential orientation (Fig. 2h, c, e). The fiber crystals of the sclerodermites display bladed or platy morphologies (Fig. 2e), whereas fibers with beaded shape and rounded crystal rims (Fig. 2c) enclosing micron-sized, rounded channels at crystal contacts are of minor abundance (Fig. 2g).

XRD analyses of the skeletons documented 100 % aragonite with no measurable amount of secondary calcite. Also, in stereo-microscope and SEM view, skeletal surfaces are smooth and devoid of syntactical overgrowths or continuous crusts of secondary incrustations of cement (Fig. 2a, d, f), except for rare patches of isopachous or radial aragonite cement occurring at random within a few specimens and rare biogenic incrustations (Böcker, 2014). Near-surface, open, straight tubular cavity systems, commonly Y-branched, with diameters < 5 µm and parallel to skeletal surfaces (Fig. 2d) are less than 1% by volume and probably caused by endolithic fungi (Nothdurft and Webb, 2007).

Interpretation: XRD analyses did not reveal any measurable amount of calcite which agrees with the results of microscopic and radiographic visual inspections documenting no significant amounts of secondary calcite cements. Early marine aragonite cements representing common modifiers of skeletal porosity in recent z corals (Nothdurft and Webb, 2009) have not been recorded on a regular basis in our material and represent rare occurrences of patches of small spherulites rather than isopachous rims of acicular cement (Böcker, 2014). Thus, precipitation of secondary cement was volumetrically not important, neither at sea floor as aragonite or magnesium calcite, nor as calcite formed during late stages of diagenesis.

Enhanced porosity at the centres of calcification (Fig. 2b) and channels along crystal boundaries, rounded crystal rims, and tiny beaded crystals within the centres of calcification are all potential effects of post-mortem dissolution (Fig. 2c, g). Evidence for secondary aragonite–aragonite transformations (Perrin, 2004) has not been observed in SEM. Taken together, all cements and possible dissolution features are never volumetrically important as to visibly blur the density bands documented in x-radiographs (see below). For this reason,
Figure 2. SEM view of septa and dissepiments. (a) Septal surface with traces of broken dissepiments. Septa and dissepiments are devoid of biogenic incrustations and inorganic cements (EP6). (b) Cross section of a dissepiment displaying radial fiber architecture of the sclerodermites. The centres of calcification exhibit minor dissolution. (c) Detail of a dissepiment showing polycrystalline aragonite fibers composed of granular crystallites (EP6). (d) Surface view of a septum. The septum is perforated by abundant near-surface, filamentous microborings but exhibits no secondary incrustations and/or cements (EP9c). (e) Cross section of dissepiment showing radial arrangement of bladed crystal fibers (EP9c). (f) Surface view of a septum with biogenic incrustation (EP4). (g) Sectional view of the coenosteum porosity infilled with densely packed fibers of bladed aragonite. Some channel porosity is present between fibers (EP4). This specimen was not used for density measurements.
we consider the skeletons to be in a mode of preservation suitable for measurements of stable isotope proxies and calcification rates.

2.2 Stable isotope data and linear extension rates

The bulk stable isotope compositions of the z corals studied were calculated as the arithmetic mean of all measurements in a given specimen. The bulk values range from −3.56 to −1.42 ‰ (mean −2.59 ± 0.65 ‰) in δ18O and −3.49 to −2.04 ‰ (mean −2.75 ± 0.37 ‰) in δ13C resulting in a significant positive correlation ($R^2 = 0.39; p = 0.013$; Fig. 3).

All corals display cyclic variations in δ18O and in δ13C, interpreted to reflect seasonal cycles of sea surface temperature (SST), seawater δ18O ($\delta^{18}O_{sw}$), the ratio of symbiotic photosynthesis vs. heterotrophic feeding, and δ13C of seawater DIC. The mean amplitude of the δ18O cycle ranges from 0.96 to 2.25 ‰ (mean 1.5 ± 0.41 ‰; Table 2). The mean annual maximum and minimum δ18O values are −1.87 ± 0.60 ‰ (range −2.74 to −0.85 ‰) and −3.49 ± 0.32 ‰ (range −4.03 to −3.02 ‰), respectively.

For δ13C, we present the bulk values of the z corals which range from −3.56 to −1.42 ‰ with a mean of −2.59 ± 0.65 ‰ (Table 2). We do not present statistics for the seasonal amplitude of δ13C because the variation of δ18O and δ13C is not necessarily in phase within a year and no independent age model has been used for δ13C. Phase relationships among the δ18O and δ13C cycles differ between individual coral colonies as expressed by the correlation of their δ18O and δ13C data. Three well-expressed patterns exist: positive correlation, no correlation, and negative correlation (Fig. 4, Table 2). Positive correlations denote spatially coincident negative and/or positive isotope values whereas negative correlations are the expression of coincident positive and negative peaks of the isotope cycles (≈180° phase shift). No correlation is less straightforward to interpret and has two possible underlying causes: (1) a phase shift between 0 and 180° or, (2) the absence of any well-expressed cyclic signal in δ13C. Relationships of the coefficient of correlation from subannual δ18O/δ13C values with skeletal δ18O values are noisy and barely significant; nonetheless, a significant positive correlation exists with mean annual δ18O ($R^2 = 0.28; p = 0.050$) but not so with mean seasonality ($R^2 = 0.13; p = 0.214$) and mean peak summer values ($R^2 = 0.08; p = 0.317$), but to some degree with mean peak winter values ($R^2 = 0.22; p = 0.087$). All seasonally resolved coral records are shown in Fig. 4, and an overview of the main compositional trends is given in Table 2.

In the seasonally resolved data sets, a positive correlation exists between bulk δ18O and winter-δ18O ($R^2 = 0.90; p < 0.001$) and summer-δ18O ($R^2 = 0.80; p < 0.001$), respectively, however, the slopes of the two relationships significantly differ and document large δ18O-seasonality to coincide with more positive bulk δ18O and small δ18O-seasonality to coincide with more negative bulk δ18O (equality of slopes can be rejected at $p < 0.001$; Fig. 6). A positive relationship also exists between bulk δ13C and the means of peak seasonal δ18O ($R^2 = 0.61; p = 0.001$ and $R^2 = 0.28; p = 0.051$), but the slopes of the relationships remain indistinguishable (equality of slopes cannot be rejected at $p = 0.78$), i.e. seasonality does not change with bulk δ13C (Fig. 6). Further, the mean of the maximum values in δ18O and mean seasonal δ18O contrast ($\Delta$δ18O) display a positive correlation ($R^2 = 0.76, p < 0.001$), whereas there is no such relationship among means of the minimum δ18O values and mean $\Delta$δ18O ($R^2 = 0.22, p = 0.069$; Fig. 7). For the sake of simplicity in the following text, we use the terms mean summer for the mean of the minimum values and mean winter for the mean of the maximum values of δ18O.

From this pattern we infer the following general nature of the δ18O and δ13C cycles: (1) low seasonality coincides with particularly negative bulk δ18O values, whereas bulk δ13C has no relationship with seasonality; (2) variability in the seasonality of the δ18O cycle ($\Delta$δ18O) is an effect of variations of the mean winter δ18O values only, whereas the mean summer δ18O values display little variation; (3) mean peak winter δ18O values are increasingly positive in parallel with the bulk δ18O, and (4) the phase shift between the δ18O and δ13C cycles increases with more positive winter δ18O values of the δ18O cycles. (5) Bulk δ13C values are particularly negative in specimens displaying negative mean summer and winter δ18O (Fig. 6). These relationships imply a causative link between bulk δ18O, $\Delta$δ18O and the phase relationship of δ13C and δ18O.

Z-corals from one single sampling site or between sites do not exhibit any consistent distributional systematic of the three δ13C/δ18O correlation patterns described above, i.e. all three patterns might be encountered at one single site and, therefore, no systematic distribution exists over geological time and inconsistent patterns over geological time are not

![Figure 3. Bulk stable isotope values of Florida reef corals. Circles: recent and Holocene; Dots: Interglacial Pliocene and Pleistocene. Recent and Holocene data from literature (Leder et al., 1991; 1996; Smith, 2006; Swart et al., 1996).](image-url)
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the effects of potential shortcomings of stratigraphic classifica-
tions.

2.3 Calcification

In positive prints of radiographs all corals display well ex-
pressed alternations of light and dark bands arranged par-
allel to the surface of the corallum (the colonial skeleton) and
normal to the direction of maximum growth of the coral-
lites (Fig. 8). No specimens without density bands, or spec-
imens displaying a patchy concentration of zones with high
or low density, except for the expression of large borings by
bivalves, were documented in the material recovered (Fig. 8).

These alternating bands of high and low density are com-
monly present in massive heads of z corals, and referred to
as “density bands” because the changes in grey tones of the
radiographs reflect density variations of the coral skeleton
(Knutson et al., 1972; Lough and Cooper, 2011). The al-
ternating density bands without any indication of patchy or
blurred density variations is an indication of the good preser-
vation of the original skeletal density variation without any
secondary modifications through diagenesis. In this study,
however, we do not use the density bands for calibrating internal chronologies and calculating linear extension rates but use the oxygen isotope cycles instead (Fig. 8). Skeletal linear extension rates were established from $\delta^{18}O$ cyclicity, and they range from 0.16 to 0.83 cm yr$^{-1}$, with a mean of $0.49 \pm 0.22$ cm yr$^{-1}$ (Table 3). More details on the determination of linear extension rates and relationships with the $\delta^{18}O$ cycles are given in the “methods” section.

With regard to the $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ cycles, no consistent relationship was found with the density bands. Rather, within any given fossil sample, maximum skeletal density either coincides with the maximum or minimum $\delta^{18}O$ values, but specimens with an irregular timing of the HDB with respect to the $\delta^{18}O$ cycle are also present. We express the relationship of the density bands and $\delta^{18}O$ cycles by the winter HDB portion (Fig. 9, Table 2). The winter HDB portion was calculated as the ratio of the number of winter HDBs and the total number of HDBs in a stable isotope record; the summer HDB and intermediate-HDB portions were calculated respectively. In one of the two long records (452K1) the overall timing of the HDBs is irregular with a low summer HDB portion, although within short segments of a few years of duration, the timing of the HDBs is uniform and related either to maximum, minimum or intermediate $\delta^{18}O$ values (Fig. 4, Table 2). Because the corallites sampled were selected according to their orientation parallel to the surface of the coral slabs, asynchronies between stable isotope cycles and density bands are not an artifact of distortions in our X-ray images (Le Tissier et al., 1994). With regard to the distribution of the patterns on the scale of a reef (geological outcrop) or geological time (time slice), we do not observe any consistent pattern; rather all types of density band/$\delta^{18}O$ relationships were recovered at one single site or time slice.

Quantitative measurements of density were performed in transects arranged parallel to the corallites and transects of the isotope measurements. Bulk density, calculated as the means of all individual measurements along a transect, is highly variable among corals with a range from 0.6 to 1.2 g cm$^{-3}$ (mean $0.9 \pm 0.2$ g cm$^{-3}$). Bulk density and extension rate display a significant negative correlation.
(R² = 0.42, p = 0.003). Over time, no significant changes in density were recorded (R² = 0.04, p = 0.438).

3 Discussion

3.1 Interpretation of the stable isotope systematics

Linear positive correlations of paired δ¹³C/δ¹⁸O data are common in skeletal carbonates and have been shown to be related to kinetic isotope effects responding to variable rates of skeletogenesis (McConnaughey, 1989). Kinetic behaviour involves simultaneous depletion of δ¹³C and δ¹⁸O with respect to isotopic equilibrium which results in a positive correlation of δ¹³C/δ¹⁸O along a straight line between equilibrium and values 10 to 15% more negative in δ¹³C and 4% in δ¹⁸O than expected from equilibrium precipitation (McConnaughey, 1989). The positive correlation of the paired bulk stable isotope values from the fossil Florida z corals, however, is not a kinetic signature because a positive correlation of δ¹³C and δ¹⁸O is not necessarily present in the seasonal data which do show all transitions from positive correlation to no correlation and negative correlation among the various coral specimens. Furthermore, average linear skeletal extension rates of the Florida fossils are rather high (n = 15; mean = 0.49 ± 0.22 cm yr⁻¹; Table 3) which rules out variability of the serial stable isotope data presented in this study having no environmental meaning (McConnaughey, 1989). The positive trend of bulk δ¹³C/δ¹⁸O as recorded by the Pliocene and Pleistocene z corals, therefore, represents a distinct environmental proxy record (Fig. 3). The pattern may have at least two different underlying causes: (1) a proximity trend reflecting a continuum of settings from freshwater-influenced environments with the most negative δ¹⁸O and δ¹³C values towards near-shore-restricted, and finally open, well mixed environments with the most positive stable isotope signatures (Andreas, 1991; Joachimski, 1994), or (2) variable upwelling of cool, nutrient enriched subsurface water masses. According to scenario (1), corals with the most positive δ¹³C and δ¹⁸O signatures may be interpreted as the most marine and the least affected by environmental restriction and hinterland effects. Such a trend of positive correlation between bulk oxygen and carbon stable isotope values of the fossil z corals is not, however, present in data from modern and Holocene z corals from Florida Bay, Florida Reef Tract, and Dry Tortugas (Figs. 1, 3). In these corals, bulk stable isotope values display substantially larger variation than in the Pliocene and Pleistocene fossils, and range from −4.07 to −0.20‰ in δ¹³C (n = 11; mean −1.53 ± 1.31‰) and δ¹⁸O from −4.11 to −2.47‰ (mean −3.64 ± 0.46‰) with a negative correlation (R² = 0.40;
where they mix with waters of the reef tract (Swart et al., 1996; Figs. 1, 3). In contrast, the $\delta^{18}O$ values from z corals are essentially identical among reef sites along the present-day reef system and are predominantly controlled by SST effects with minor modifications by $\delta^{18}O_w$ (Leder et al., 1996; Smith, 2006). Skeletal $\delta^{18}O$ values in Florida Bay are the most positive and reflect a high temperature signal to be over-compensated by the counteracting effects of evaporation in conjunction with influx of pre-evaporated freshwaters from adjacent swamps (Swart et al., 1996). For these reasons, the modern Florida model is likely not a good analogue for understanding the middle Pliocene to early Pleistocene records. This inference has also been made from the highly diverse Plio-Pleistocene reefs in south-west Florida (Meeder, 1979). In contrast, in the upwelling scenario (scenario 2) increasingly positive $\delta^{18}O$ reflects surface water cooling in response to upwelling of cool nutrient-rich subsurface waters, while concomitant increasingly positive skeletal $\delta^{13}C$ documents enhanced organic productivity (Berger and Vincent, 1986).

Below, we will discuss the significance of the $\delta^{18}O$ cycles for a plausible identification of the mechanisms behind the stable isotope record.

### 3.2 Significance of the $\delta^{18}O$ cycles

The annual $\delta^{18}O$ cycle is typically represented by seven samples, however, the resolution ranges from 2 to 21 samples per cycle ($n = 185$; mean $7.0 \pm 3.3$ samples cycle$^{-1}$). Irrespective of the number of samples over a cycle, we consider the cycles to represent a seasonal signal which is used for defining the internal age models of the corals and for calculating annual linear extension rates (Table 3). Although there is little doubt the $\delta^{18}O$ cycles reflect seasonality, sampling resolution within a year has been suggested to have a measurable effect on the amount of reconstructed seasonality (Leder et al., 1996). Earlier work on fossil corals has suggested a minimum of four samples in a year to be sufficient to resolve the seasonal cycle in geological data (Brachert et al., 2006b). For this reason, we consider our records a useful approximation to palaeoseasonality during the late Neogene.

Mean summer $\delta^{18}O$ values of the fossils display little variation around their mean, whereas mean winter values display high variability and a strong link with mean $\Delta\delta^{18}O$ variability (Fig. 7). For this reason, variability of $\Delta\delta^{18}O$ is a function of variable winter values. For evaluating the question whether variability of winter $\delta^{18}O$ values is a temperature or seawater effect, we use the bulk $\delta^{13}C$ data. Bulk $\delta^{13}C$ shows no relationship with $\Delta\delta^{18}O$, i.e. the amount of variation in $\Delta\delta^{18}O$ is not related with seasonal changes of the isotopic composition of the DIC as might occur through freshwater discharge or upwelling (Fig. 6). For this reason, significant subannual variations in $\delta^{18}O_w$ are not very plausible as an explanation for the observed variable seasonality which is rather controlled by fluctuations of the winter temperature.
3.3 Paleotemperatures

For quantitative temperature reconstructions, the isotope composition of the ambient water itself plays a critical role. Because the oxygen isotope composition of the palaeoseawater is not known, we use the modern seawater composition at the Florida reef tract ($\delta^{18}O_w = 1.1 \permil$; Leder et al., 1996) as a baseline for our reconstructions and for eventually making inferences about palaeoseawater $\delta^{18}O_w$ and the extent of freshwater discharges or evaporation. For our estimates we further assume all corals to have lived within the same water-depth window and type of environment. Following this approach, the mean annual temperature averaged over all coral specimens ($n = 15$) was $22.6 \pm 1.9 \degree$C (range 19.5 to 26.0 C) with an average mean seasonality of $7.2 \pm 1.9 \degree$C (range 4.3 to 10.2 C). The latter reconstruction is surprisingly similar to modern instrumental seasonality of 7 to 9 C along the reef tract (Leder et al., 1996; Smith, 2006), with an average mean seasonality of 7.2 ± 1.9 C (range 4.3 to 10.2 C). The latter reconstruction is surprisingly similar to modern instrumental seasonality of 7 to 9 C along the reef tract (Leder et al., 1996; Smith, 2006), with an average mean seasonality of 7.2 ± 1.9 C (range 4.3 to 10.2 C).

According to this line of reasoning, evaporation should have been an essential driver of Pliocene and Pleistocene bulk skeletal $\delta^{18}O$, and the z corals with the most positive bulk $\delta^{18}O$ values being similar in magnitude to the recent Florida Bay coral have an evaporative signature in $\delta^{18}O$. These corals, however, according to the positive relationship of paired bulk $\delta^{13}C/\delta^{18}O$ values, have the most open-marine $\delta^{13}C$ signature, incompatible with concomitant maximum evaporation archived in skeletal $\delta^{18}O$. We suggest, therefore, rejecting scenario (1) with evaporation having a strong imprint in $\delta^{18}O$ signatures in favour of an alternate scenario (2) involving upwelling of cool and nutrient-rich waters peripheral to the Florida carbonate platform causing positive
bulk $\delta^{13}C$ signatures and lower than expected water temperatures.

### 3.4 Relationships between stable isotope signatures and calcification systematics

The couplets of light and dark bands visible in radiographs orientated parallel to the individual corallites reflect the successive upward growth of the colony surface and are analogous to bands of density variation reported from modern $z$ corals (Knutson et al., 1972; Lough and Cooper, 2011). The density bands reflect the coral’s response to environmental changes in growth conditions, commonly seasonal, and have, therefore, been used to create multi-annual chronologies and to make reconstructions of environmental change during the last few centuries (Felis and Pätzold, 2004). In contrast to records from modern corals, the couplets of high and low density bands seem to not represent necessarily 1 year of coral growth, because the $\delta^{18}O$ cycles do not consistently correspond with the density couplets. Instead, we observe corals from the same site of growth where the HDBs coincide with the most positive, intermediate or the most negative $\delta^{18}O$ values. Although there is no evidence for the asynchronies resulting from distortions of the bands in the X-ray images, the asynchronies of the density bands and stable isotope cycles bear some risk of representing an artifact of our age models which are based on the most positive $\delta^{18}O$ values to define the beginning of each year, i.e. the winter temperature minimum. This assumption is only valid, however, under the premise of a dominant temperature control on the $\delta^{18}O$ values with no or subordinate isotope effects related to evaporation and precipitation. In our material, this assumption is valid for the following three reasons: (1) no evidence exists in the cyclic isotope patterns for some of the cycles to be inverted from being controlled by SST to effects related to evaporation and precipitation. Rather, the $\delta^{18}O$ cycles are regular, and do not exhibit any erratic pattern on an annual basis as described for a recent Solenastrea from Florida Bay subject to variable evaporation (Swart et al., 1996; Fig. 4). (2) Within individual specimens, the cycles of $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ exhibit consistent phase relationships which implies the driver of $\delta^{18}O$ variability, likely SST, to have been systematically related to an independent environmental parameter, e.g. cloud cover and/or DIC changes due to river discharge in a rainy season or variable symbiont photosynthesis and upwelling, and (3) the amount of SST seasonality inferred from the $\delta^{18}O$ values is fully consistent with modern seasonality (Leder et al., 1996; Smith, 2006). For these three inferences we suggest the oxygen isotope cycle to represent the more reliable internal chronology than the patterns of density banding, and the rhythm of density banding to have been variable from coral to coral and to some degree within corals. Differences in skeletal growth rhythms have been reported recently from female and male colonies within one taxon ($Porites panamensis$), with female colonies growing slower and calcification rates being lower than in males (Cabral-Tena et al., 2013). Fossil coral specimens from the same site displaying reciprocal calcification rhythms relative to the oxygen isotope cycles may, therefore, reflect gender differences as well. Sex proportions of female : male colonies in the modern $P. panamensis$ are 2 : 1 (Cabral-Tena et al., 2013), however, our set of data is too small for a statistical evaluation, and gender differences are not documented in the skeleton. Nonetheless, the observed variations in calcification are likely not gender specific, because in some specimens no relationship exists between the $\delta^{18}O$ cycle and the rhythm of growth banding, whereas it changes in others from the summer mode of HDB formation to the winter mode or vice versa upon continual growth. This is particularly obvious in records of long time series (Fig. 4, Tables 2, 3). Interestingly, the timing of the density bands corresponds with annual extension rate (and calcification rate). Small extension rates coincide with HDBs formed during summer ($R^2 = 0.50; p = 0.002$), intermediate extension rates with an irregular timing of the HDBs, and large extension rates with the predominance of winter HDBs ($R^2 = 0.56; p = 0.001$; Fig. 9). Bulk density also displays relationships with the chronology of the HDBs: a high summer HDB portion corresponds with high density ($R^2 = 0.50; p = 0.029$), and high winter HDB portions with low bulk density ($R^2 = 0.38; p = 0.012$). With regard to calcification rate, corals having winter HDBs have the highest calcification rates ($R^2 = 0.52; p = 0.003$) and those with summer HDBs have the lowest calcification rates ($R^2 = 0.41; p = 0.014$). This overall relationship differs from modern $z$ corals of the Western Atlantic region which have summer HDBs but, on average, higher rates of extension and higher density than the fossil corals (own data base, not shown). From this difference we deduce the variability in calcification to be not so much related to gender but rather to the type
of growth environment. Interestingly, in a modern reef site from the Red Sea, a distinct water-depth effect on extension rate and the timing of the HDB has been reported (Klein et al., 1993). At a depth of 3 m extension rates are highest and the HDB is formed during winter, whereas at 51 m of water depth extension rates are at their lowest and the HDB is formed during summer. This corresponds with a decrease of the phototrophy/heterotrophy ratio (P/H) reflected in $\delta^{13}C$ (Klein et al., 1993). This shift of the timing is consistent with the data from the Florida fossil z corals, however, we rule out any water-depth effect, because repeated shifts of the timing of the HDB, and likely also changes in extension rate, are present at the level of single z coral specimens and not between specimens or sites only. Rather, the fossil data may document changes in the P/H ratio due to turbidity and or food supply for heterotrophic feeding.

In addition to the oxygen isotope signal, the carbon stable isotopic signal of the corals displays a more or less distinct cyclic variation with the same wavelength as the oxygen isotope cycle though variably phase shifted. For this reason, it can be considered an annual signal as well. For evaluating the principal driver of $\delta^{13}C$ variability in the fossil z corals, we consider the phase relationships of the $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ cycles expressed by the significant correlation coefficients ($r$). They differ among specimens with values between $r = +1$ (= in phase) and $r = -1$ (= in antiphase). A clear negative linear relationship of $r$ exists with mean annual $\delta^{18}O$ values ($R^2 = 0.28; \rho = 0.050$), whereas there is no well-defined relationship with the seasonal means: mean winter $\delta^{18}O$ ($R^2 = 0.22; \rho = 0.087$) and mean summer ($R^2 = 0.08; \rho = 0.317$; Fig. 5). This suggests specimens recording rather temperate temperatures and cold winters to have the $\delta^{13}C$ cycles in antiphase with the $\delta^{18}O$ cycles, i.e. specimens reflecting cold winter periods have the $\delta^{13}C$ minimum during winter and vice versa.

3.5 Upwelling as a driver of high skeletal productivity?

Enhanced upwelling peripheral to the Florida platform causing cool and nutrient-enriched waters to flush the platform was suggested earlier as a cause for high skeletal productivity during the Pliocene and Pleistocene interglacials. This reconstruction was based on taxonomical, paleoecological and taphonomical data (Allmon, 2001; Allmon et al., 1995, 1996; Emslie and Morgan, 1994; Jones and Allmon, 1995). Most conspicuous is the occurrence of the cormorant bed from the Pliocene, illustrating events of mass mortality in seabirds depending on upwelling (Emslie and Morgan, 1994). For the cormorant bed we tend to infer the upwelling to have been intermittent. Stable isotope evidence found in molluscan shells, however, remains inconclusive with regard to the origin of high productivity (Jones and Allmon, 1995; Tao and Grossman, 2010). In contrast to earlier work, we use a positive correlation of bulk $\delta^{18}O$ and bulk $\delta^{13}C$ as a signature of upwelling (Figs. 3, 10), which documents the combined effects of SST cooling and enhanced organic productivity on skeletal carbonate production (Berger and Vincent, 1986). The $\delta^{13}C$ in corals is controlled by a number of factors, and the identification of single factors driving z coral $\delta^{13}C$ is not currently possible (Swart, 1983). Most important are the activity of the photosymbionts relative to heterotrophic feeding (P/H ratio) and $\delta^{13}C$ of the DIC in ambient seawater (Klaus et al., 2013; Swart, 1983; Swart et al., 2010). Organic production by zooxanthellae and plankton preferentially consumes $\delta^{12}C$, driving coral skeletal $\delta^{13}C$ towards more positive values (Berger and Vincent, 1986; Swart, 1983). A positive bulk skeletal $\delta^{13}C$ will, therefore, reflect either a high longer-term P/H ratio, organic production, or a combination of both, and specimens displaying positive bulk skeletal $\delta^{13}C$ in conjunction with positive bulk $\delta^{18}O$ values will correspondingly reflect increased photosymbiont activity during cool years or prolonged upwelling. In order to sort out the principal driving mechanism, we have identified two endmember scenarios in the isotope and calcification data (Fig. 10). Endmember 1 is represented by z corals with the most negative bulk $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ values, low $\Delta^{18}O$ and positive correlation of the sub-annually resolved $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ data (positive $r$). We suggest endmember scenario 1 to represent a hot water situation with low organic productivity and low seasonality; maximum organic productivity occurred during winter. Annual skeletal extension rates were low, bulk density high, and bulk calcifications rates were low; the HDB formed during summer, likely in parallel with lowest extension rates. Endmember 2 has the most positive bulk $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ values, high $\Delta^{18}O$ and negative $r$. Annual skeletal extensions rates were high but bulk density low; annual calcification rates were high as well, and the HDB formed during winter. Relative to endmember 1, it represents a more temperate situation with high bulk organic productivity and high temperature seasonality; maximum organic production occurred during summer (Fig. 10).

Low organic productivity in endmember 1 is likely an effect of hot, oligotrophic surface waters as indicated by combined negative bulk $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$. Maximum symbiotic activity and skeletal calcification occurred during winter, whereas they were low during summer time, likely because light saturation was reached at excessive summer SSTs causing photoinhibition, bleaching or expulsion of photosynthetic algae (McConnaughey, 1989). A shallow depth of growth of the corals seems not to be the crucial factor here, because many corals record fluctuations between both endmember stages (Fig. 4). Expulsion of symbiotic algae is perhaps the most likely cause because modern Solestestrea dominating our samples is known to be facultatively zooxanthellate (Allmon, 1992). Under the cooler annual SSTs of endmember 2, organic productivity and skeletal calcification were high, particularly during summer. Organic production, planktonic and/or zooxanthellate, were on average higher than in scenario 1, and maximum production during summer likely reflects the positive interference of planktonic and zoox-
thecellite productivity on skeletal $\delta^{13}C$ during the warm and sunny season in a context of longer-term upwelling. Because recorded SST seasonality was high under these conditions, upwelling is likely to have been less intense during summer or to have been complemented by pronounced winter cooling. Winter cooling is compatible with the recent upwelling systems on the western Florida shelf, i.e. a positive interaction of an intensified LC and wind systems favouring Ekman transport of subsurface waters onto the shelf and into the shallow water zone (Fernald and Purdum, 1981; Li and Weisberg, 1999). This inference is in agreement with notions of an intensified LC during interglacials (Nürnberg et al., 2008). The high sea levels during interglacials may have further promoted intrusions of nutrient-rich water masses in the shallow water zone. In present-day Florida, exceptionally wet and cool winter seasons also occur during El Niño events. Subdecadal, El Niño-type cyclicity has been shown to be present in sclerochronology records from corals in Florida and the Pacific and may explain the interannual variability of our data sets (Roulier and Quinn, 1995; Watanabe et al., 2011).

With regard to the systematics of z coral calcification, it is important to note that the formation of the HDB dates during the period of minimum organic production, likely minimum zooxanthellate activity, in both endmember scenarios. We conclude the HDB is, therefore, the expression of maximum skeletal density developed during periods of minimum skeletal extension and minimum calcification rates, also because the fossil z corals having small bulk extension rates have the highest bulk density but minimal rates of skeletal extension and calcification. This calcification model is compatible with modern z coral calcification patterns (Carri cat-Ganivet, 2004) and fits the environmental reconstructions for the two endmember scenarios. Endmember 2 reflects growth conditions more suitable to z coral growth than endmember 1, however, endmember 2 represents a situation with more moderate SSTs than endmember 1. As suggested in previous studies (Brachert et al., 2013; Worum et al., 2007), our work confirms coral calcification to be non-linear, and coral growth during Pliocene and Pleistocene interglacials to have occurred at high temperatures beyond optimum as reflected by low calcification.

Although Pliocene global average temperature was higher than today, episodic upwelling may have provided SSTs on Florida platform variably protected from overheating (endmember 2). But upwelling is generally ascribed as an adverse condition on z coral growth and coral reef accretion because the upwelling deep-water masses potentially cause cold reef kills, impose nutrient stresses and impede carbonate cementation and skeletal calcification through phosphate poisoning and low pH (Hallock, 1988; Hallock and Schlager, 1986; Manzello et al., 2014). These negative effects, however, may be mitigated depending on the intra-annual timing of seasonal upwelling (Chollett et al., 2010), or if upwelling waters derive from rather shallow sources (Riegl and Piller, 2003). In agreement with our inferences regarding calcification in a context of upwelling (endmember 2), a study on z coral calcification in the Galapagos upwelling zone found a negative effect on density but not so on extension rates and calcification rates which were higher than expected from known relationships (Manzello et al., 2014).

Our data set documents specimens representing the two endmember situations to occur at one single sampling site and the two endmember situations to be recorded even by one single coral specimen (Fig. 4). Therefore, the changes of the two endmember conditions occurred on the time scale of a few years to decades which seems to have created suboptimal environmental conditions for most z coral taxa. The abundant occurrence of the eurytopic Solenastrea, which is also tolerant to high turbidity, also suggests the platform not to have been an ideal refuge for z coral growth within the Western Atlantic warm pool as it may be the case in an upwelling regime. In contrast to the global, long-term trend of seawater $\delta^{18}O$ (Zachos et al., 2001), interglacial $\delta^{18}O$ values of mollusk and z corals from Florida platform became increasingly negative over time which implies an increasing moisture import (Brachert et al., 2014), and likely a decrease in upwelling intensity towards the present. The oldest specimen (age 3.2 Ma; Table 1) investigated during this study represents a rather continuous record of endmember 2 for ~ 50 years of duration (Fig. 4d). More records are needed, however, to test if this is a robust temporal trend.

It should be noted that modern z corals at the Florida Reef Tract form their HDBs during the summer season, and therefore, their calcification patterns resemble the endmember 1 situation described in this study. This may possibly imply that further warming of the region will endanger coral growth.

4 Conclusions

1. Z coral skeletons of middle Pliocene to early Pleistocene age from the Florida platform were found in a preservation suitable for stable isotope proxy analysis ($\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{18}O$) and measurements of skeletal calcification using annual extension rates and bulk density.

2. High organic production recorded by the positive bulk $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{18}O$ signals is likely an effect of upwelling of nutrient-rich and cool subsurface waters. Upwelling had a mitigating effect on otherwise hot SSTs which likely promoted enhanced z coral calcification rates.

3. Episodes of upwelling occurred with a few years to tens of years of duration and alternated with periods of non-upwelling. This situation is likely the reason for the unique z coral fauna dominated by eurytopic Solenastrea being also tolerant to turbid water.
4. Periods with upwelling and without upwelling differ with regard to the signatures of skeletal calcification. Skeletal sections grown during upwelling have low bulk skeletal density but large annual growth increments reflecting high annual calcification rates. The growth bands of high density (HDB) formed during the cool season (endmember scenario 2). Skeletons grown during periods without upwelling have generally high bulk skeletal density but small annual growth increments reflecting low annual calcification rates and the HDB formed in the warm season (endmember scenario 1).

5. We do not know yet whether periods of upwelling were systematically of longer duration during interglacials of the more distant geological past, but the oldest coral record available likely documents the longest continuous episode of endmember scenario 2 (~50 years).

6. Published proxy data and calcification records from recent Florida z corals (reef tract) have many traits in common with the fossils of endmember scenario 1. For this reason we suggest they may be endangered by future anthropogenic ocean warming.

7. The z corals display density bands consistent with skeletal growth stages but the timing of the density bands was not regular on an annual basis. It varies within specimens and differs among specimens of a single site or between different time slices. For this reason, it is not necessarily suited for developing internal chronologies and warrants a need after a critical re-evaluation of many modern coral chronologies.
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