Influence of mesoscale eddies on the distribution of nitrous oxide in the eastern tropical South Pacific
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Abstract. Recent observations in the eastern tropical South Pacific (ETSP) have shown the key role of meso- and submesoscale processes (e.g. eddies) in shaping its hydrographic and biogeochemical properties. Off Peru, elevated primary production from coastal upwelling in combination with sluggish ventilation of subsurface waters fuels a prominent oxygen minimum zone (OMZ). Given that nitrous oxide (N\(_2\)O) production–consumption processes in the water column are sensitive to oxygen (O\(_2\)) concentrations, the ETSP is a region of particular interest to investigate its source–sink dynamics. To date, no detailed surveys linking mesoscale processes and N\(_2\)O distributions as well as their relevance to nitrogen (N) cycling are available. In this study, we present the first measurements of N\(_2\)O across three mesoscale eddies (two mode water or anticyclonic and one cyclonic) which were identified, tracked, and sampled during two surveys carried out in the ETSP in November–December 2012. A two-peak structure was observed for N\(_2\)O, wherein the two maxima coincide with the upper and lower boundaries of the OMZ, indicating active nitrification and partial denitrification. This was further supported by the abundances of the key gene for nitrification, ammonium monooxygenase (amoA), and the gene marker for N\(_2\)O production during denitrification, nitrite reductase (nirS). Conversely, we found strong N\(_2\)O depletion in the core of the OMZ (O\(_2\) < 5 µmol L\(^{-1}\)) to be consistent with nitrite (NO\(_2^-\)) accumulation and low levels of nitrate (NO\(_3^-\)), thus suggesting active denitrification. N\(_2\)O depletion within the OMZ’s core was substantially higher in the centre of mode water eddies, supporting the view that eddy activity enhances N-loss processes off Peru, in particular near the shelf break where nutrient-rich, productive waters from upwelling are trapped before being transported offshore. Analysis of eddies during their propagation towards the open ocean showed that, in general, “ageing” of mesoscale eddies tends to decrease N\(_2\)O concentrations through the water column in response to the reduced supply of material to fuel N loss, although hydrographic variability might also significantly impact the pace of the production–consumption pathways for N\(_2\)O. Our results evidence the relevance of mode water eddies for N\(_2\)O distribution, thereby improving our understanding of the N-cycling processes, which are of crucial importance in times of climate change and ocean deoxygenation.

1 Introduction

Nitrous oxide (N\(_2\)O) is an atmospheric trace gas which strongly affects Earth’s climate both by contributing to the greenhouse effect and by its role as a major ozone-depleting substance (Ravishankara et al., 2009; Myhre et al., 2013). The ocean is a net source of N\(_2\)O to the atmosphere, accounting for about one-third of the total natural source (Myhre et al., 2013); therefore, the investigation of its formation pathways under changing oceanic regimes is relevant for any future predictions of how the nitrogen (N) cycle will react to future climate change. N\(_2\)O is mainly produced by microbial nitrification and denitrification, with particularly high yields under low-oxygen (O\(_2\)) conditions (Goreau et al., 1980; Naqvi et al., 2010; Löscher et al., 2012; Bakker et al., 2014) such as those found in oxygen minimum zones (OMZs) of the tropical oceans. In the eastern tropical South Pacific (ETSP) a prominent OMZ is
formed and maintained by the close coupling between elevated primary production fuelled by coastal upwelling and weak ventilation of intermediate waters (Karstensen et al., 2008). Hence, elevated production of N$_2$O in subsurface low-O$_2$ waters in conjunction with their transport towards the surface makes the ETSP a “hotspot” for emissions of this climate-relevant gas to the atmosphere (Arévalo-Martínez et al., 2015). However, even though N$_2$O production in low-O$_2$ waters could supply as much as 25–50% of the global ocean source (Suntharalingam et al., 2000), it has been shown that when O$_2$ concentrations fall below about $5 \, \text{µmol L}^{-1}$ (in the OMZ’s core) N$_2$O consumption through denitrification (NO$_3^-$ $\rightarrow$ NO$_2^-$ $\rightarrow$ NO $\rightarrow$ N$_2$O $\rightarrow$ N$_2$) takes place, and therefore these waters may act as a net sink for this gas (Codispoti and Christensen, 1985; Löscher et al., 2015b). Hence, OMZs have a significant role in the marine N cycle not only due to their influence on the subsurface production of climate-relevant trace gases such as N$_2$O (Paulmier et al., 2008; Codispoti, 2010; Capone and Hutchins, 2013) but also because of their contribution to the loss of bioavailable fixed N (Kalvelage et al., 2013; Dalsgaard et al., 2014). Further ocean deoxygenation as well as expansion of the OMZs worldwide could in turn increase the volume of waters in which N loss takes place (Stramma et al., 2010; Deutsch et al., 2011), thereby intensifying N limitation of primary production and reducing the ocean’s ability to sequester atmospheric CO$_2$ (Falkowski, 1997).

Recent observations have shown the important role of mesoscale processes in the distribution of the subsurface and biogeochemical properties of the ETSP (Stramma et al., 2013, 2014; Bourbonnais et al., 2015; Löscher et al., 2015a; Thomsen et al., 2015). Along the Peruvian coast, instabilities of the main current flow lead to the formation of non-linear mesoscale eddies which propagate offshore from the site of formation, significantly contributing to the cross-shelf transport of heat, mass, momentum, and biogeochemical properties (Chelton et al., 2007; Chaigneau et al., 2008). Likewise, offshore propagation of mesoscale eddies has been shown to increase the spatial extent of the high-productivity area of the coastal upwelling (Correa-Ramirez et al., 2007; Chelton et al., 2011), implying their relevance in the export of carbon to the open ocean. Provided that downward transport of organic matter is an essential control of the marine N cycle (Kalvelage et al., 2013), it is of interest to investigate potential changes in N$_2$O distribution within mesoscale eddies. Although such mesoscale features in the OMZ off Peru have been associated with N-loss processes (Altabet et al., 2012; Stramma et al., 2013), to date no detailed surveys linking mesoscale eddies and N$_2$O as well as their relevance to N cycling are available.

The main goal of this study is to present the first set of N$_2$O measurements collected across three mesoscale eddies which were tracked during the R/V Meteor cruises M90 and M91 in November–December 2012. Furthermore, we use a combination of N$_2$O concentrations and abundance of selected molecular markers (key genes) for its main formation pathways to elucidate the causes of the observed distribution. Finally, we compare the N$_2$O concentrations within the centre of “young” and “old” mesoscale eddies in order to identify the net effect of their “ageing” (offshore propagation).

2 Eddy surveys

The fieldwork was conducted in November–December 2012 during the R/V Meteor cruises M90 and M91, which covered the open ocean and shelf areas off Peru (5–25° S, 75–86° W). Detailed physical and biogeochemical surveys of mesoscale eddies were carried out within 14–18° S and 75–84° W on 16 to 25 November and on 19 to 23 December. Based on near-real-time satellite data of sea level height anomalies (SSHAs) from AVISO (http://aviso.altimetry.fr/), it was possible to identify two mode water (anticyclonic) eddies at the shelf break (eddy A) and in the open ocean (eddy B), as well as one cyclonic eddy (eddy C) in the open ocean. Likewise, SSHA data were used to track and revisit eddy A in order to investigate its property’s distribution after it started to move westward across the shelf break (Fig. 1).

2.1 Oceanographic and biogeochemical measurements

Ocean velocities were recorded by means of two RDI Ocean Surveyor acoustic Doppler current profilers (ADCPs), which provided velocity data down to about 700 and 1200 m depth (75 and 38 kHz, respectively). Discrete water sampling as well as profiling of hydrographic properties was carried out during the M90 cruise within 14–18° S and 75–86° W. Seawater samples for discrete O$_2$ measurements were collected by drawing bubble-free samples from Niskin bottles and double sensors for temperature, conductivity, and O$_2$. Seawater samples for discrete O$_2$ measurements were collected by drawing bubble-free samples from...
Figure 2. Hydrographic conditions at the time of sampling in the ETSP (0-600 m depth). Temperature in °C (upper panels), O₂ in µmol kg⁻¹ (middle panels), and zonal (a, c, d) as well as meridional. (b) velocity in m s⁻¹ (lower panels) for eddies A, B, and C during the M90 cruise (November 2012; a–e), as well as for eddy A during the M91 cruise (December 2012; d) are shown. Locations of CTD profiles are marked by black triangles (cf. Fig. 1), and the isopycnal σ₀ = 26.6 kg m⁻³ near the core of the OMZ is included in the O₂ sections as a black dashed line. Dashed lines in the velocity plots indicate potential density.

the CTD/Rosette system. O₂ concentrations of these samples were determined by the Winkler method (Hansen, 1999) and were used to calibrate the O₂ sensors. The overall precision of the O₂ discrete measurements was ±0.45 µmol L⁻¹. Nitrate (NO₃⁻), nitrite (NO₂⁻), and phosphate (PO₄³⁻) concentrations were measured on board by means of a QuAAtro auto-analyser (SEAL Analytical GmbH, Germany) with an overall precision of ±0.1 µmol L⁻¹ (NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻) and ±0.02 µmol L⁻¹ (PO₄³⁻). The N deficit (or N⁺) was computed as [NO₃⁻] + [NO₂⁻] − 16 × [PO₄³⁻]. Chlorophyll a (Chl a) concentrations were determined by the acetone extraction method followed by fluorometric analysis with a Trilogy laboratory fluorometer (Welschmeyer, 1994). Turbidity was measured by means of a factory-calibrated WET Labs fluorometer–turbidity sensor with sensitivity up to 0.01 NTU (nephelometric turbidity units). Discrete N₂O samples were collected at 22 stations with emphasis on the upper 1000 m of the water column. For this purpose, bubble-free, triplicate samples were collected in 20 mL brown glass flasks and sealed with rubber butyl septa and metallic caps to avoid any gas exchange. Subsequently, a headspace was created in each flask by injecting 10 mL of helium (99.999 %, AirLiquide GmbH, Düsseldorf, Germany). Microbial activity within the samples was prevented by adding 50µL of a 1 : 3 dilution from a saturated mercuric chloride (HgCl₂) solution. After an equilibration period of a minimum of 2 h the samples were analysed using a gas chromatograph (Hewlett Packard (HP) 5890 Series II) with electron capture detector (GC-ECD). The separation procedure was carried out in a stainless-steel column (length: 1.83 m; external diameter: 3.2 mm; internal diameter: 2.2 mm) with a molecular sieve of 5 Å (W. R. Grace & Co. Conn., Columbia, USA). The GC-ECD was calibrated daily by using dilutions of at least three standard gas mixtures up to 10 400 ppb (Deuste Steininger GmbH, Mühlheim, Germany). Standard gases with N₂O
< 1000 ppb were calibrated at the department of atmospheric chemistry of the Max Plank Institute for Biogeochemistry (Jena, Germany) against the NOAA 2006 scale, whereas for N\textsubscript{2}O > 1000 ppb the gas molar fraction was determined by means of high-resolution measurements of a calibrated off-axis integrated cavity output spectroscopy (OA-ICOS) analyser (precision better than 0.3 ppb; Arévalo-Martínez, et al., 2013). Calculation of the N\textsubscript{2}O concentrations was done as described by Walter et al. (2006). In order to obtain background N\textsubscript{2}O concentrations for the ETSP at the time of sampling, we used data from Kock et al. (2016). For this, we computed a mean profile by averaging water column concentrations of N\textsubscript{2}O from stations located in the open ocean (86° W section) between 6 and 16° S. We did not include near-coastal stations for the background profile calculation due to the high variability that can be observed, since this hampers any attempts of obtaining a “typical” profile (see Kock et al., 2016). Our designation of particular stations to eddy cores and edges was based on the SSHA data and follows the criteria defined by Stramma et al. (2013). Eddy core anomalies for oceanographic and biogeochemical parameters were computed as the difference between stations located at or near the centre of the eddy and stations outside of it.

2.2 Molecular genetic methods

Nucleic acids samples were collected by filtering up to 1 L of seawater (exact volumes were recorded, and the filtration time was lower than 20 min) onto polycarbonate membrane filters with a pore size of 0.2 µm (Millipore). Immediately after collection, samples were frozen at −80°C until further processing. Nucleic acids were extracted using the AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) with additional 15 min cell lysis (10 mg mL\textsuperscript{−1} lysozyme in 10 mM Tris-EDTA, pH 8) and shock freezing in liquid nitrogen before extraction. Quantitative (q) PCR followed protocols in Löscher et al. (2014) except that a ViiA7 qPCR system (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used. The sensitivity level for the detection of ammonium monooxygenase (amoA) and hydrazine oxidoreductase (hzo) genes with this method is 4 copies L\textsuperscript{−1}, whereas for the nitrite reductase (nirS) gene it is 1 copy L\textsuperscript{−1}.

3 Hydrographic and biogeochemical setting

The properties of the eddies investigated during the M90 (November 2012) and M91 (December 2012) cruises were described in detail by Stramma et al. (2013), and therefore only the main features are briefly mentioned here. Eddy A was centred at about 16° S, 76° W, with the highest intensity (zonal and meridional velocities) in the upper 600 m. As a typical mode water eddy, lifting (deepening) of the seasonal (main) pycnocline could be observed (McGillicuddy Jr., et al., 2007), whereby O\textsubscript{2} was lower and temperature and salinity were higher in the centre of the eddy than at its edges (Fig. 2). Shoaling of the mixed-layer depth in the centre of eddy A coincided with a high Chl a maximum at about 50 m depth and an up to 30% reduction in O\textsubscript{2} concentrations. The outer western side of cross-shelf sections across eddy A also revealed the influence of coastal upwelling near the shelf break in the upper 180 m. However this feature was detached from the eddy itself as is shown by temperature, O\textsubscript{2}, and velocity distributions (Fig. 2). Meridional velocity distributions as well as temperature, salinity, and density fields suggest that eddy A enclosed waters from the Peru–Chile Undercurrent (PCU) at the time of formation near the shelf (Stramma et al., 2013). Eddy B was centred in the open ocean at about 17° S, 83°30' W. Although the velocity distribution was similar to that of eddy A (strong in the upper 600 m), rotational speeds were lower and the temperature, salinity, and density anomalies were weaker than in eddy A. Moreover, in comparison with eddy A, the depth of uplifting isopycnals and the mixed-layer depth were deeper in eddy B (Fig. 2). As a consequence, the Chl a maximum as well as the temperature and O\textsubscript{2} anomalies could be found 100 m deeper in eddy B than in eddy A. A trajectory analysis indicated that eddy B was formed near the shelf about 5 months before the time of sampling (i.e. 3 months older than eddy A); however a precise location could not be determined with our methods (Stramma et al., 2013). Eddy C was centred in the open ocean at 16°15' S, 80°15' W, with maximum velocities at ca. 50 m depth and a positive density anomaly over the upper 600 m. In contrast to the anticyclonic eddies A and B, temperature and salinity of eddy C were lower, and density was higher in the centre than at its edges (Fig. 2), although the magnitude of the anomalies was similar to those of eddy A (Stramma et al., 2013). Furthermore, O\textsubscript{2} concentrations were higher in the centre of the eddy than at the edges, and the size of the O\textsubscript{2} anomaly in the OMZ was larger than for eddy A, indicating ventilation of the OMZ with waters from below the main thermocline. Eddy C was formed near the coast, but unlike the anticyclones it moved westward without staying at the shelf (Stramma et al., 2013).

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Depth distribution of N\textsubscript{2}O

N\textsubscript{2}O concentrations in the water column featured a two-peak structure with maxima N\textsubscript{2}O concentrations at the upper and lower boundaries of the OMZ, and depletion at the OMZ’s core (Fig. 3). Such a pattern has been previously reported for the ETSP (Farías et al., 2007) and similar systems with prominent OMZs (Bange et al., 2001; Bange et al., 2010), and it is generally ascribed to alternating activity of microbial N\textsubscript{2}O production–consumption pathways along the vertical O\textsubscript{2} gradients (Codispoti and Christensen, 1985; Ji et al., 2015). Although elevated N\textsubscript{2}O concentrations were observed in near-surface waters (up to 88 nmol L\textsuperscript{−1}) of the northwestern edge of eddy A, at the time of sampling the
eddy was already detached from the coast, and thus these high concentrations could be rather associated to coastal upwelling. In agreement with the distribution of physical properties (Fig. 2), the vertical extent of the low-N$_2$O waters from the OMZ’s core was shifted to shallower depths within the centre of mode water eddies A and B. Moreover, shallower minima of N$_2$O were observed in the upper OMZ for stations located in the centre of eddy A (150 m, $\sigma_0 = 26.3$ kg m$^{-3}$) compared to eddy B (250 m, $\sigma_0 = 26.5$ kg m$^{-3}$), whereas in both cases the second N$_2$O maxima were only slightly displaced towards deeper depths. N$_2$O concentrations at the boundaries of the OMZ in the centre of eddy A were similar to those at its edge, whereas for eddy B the N$_2$O concentrations in the centre were markedly lower than at its edge and outside of it. This is reflected by stronger (negative) N$_2$O anomalies in eddy B than in eddy A (Fig. 3). Even though the highest velocities for both eddies could be measured until about 600 m depth ($\sigma_0 = 26.7$ kg m$^{-3}$), the O$_2$, temperature, and salinity anomalies in eddy B persisted at deeper depths, which could explain why the N$_2$O concentrations at the centre of eddy B were generally lower through the water column (see Fig. 3 and Fig. S1 in the Supplement). The lowest N$_2$O concentration anomalies were found at the core of the OMZ (defined as the depth range with O$_2$ $\sim 5$ mmol L$^{-1}$) both at the coastal and open-ocean stations (Fig. 3), suggesting enhanced N$_2$O depletion within the centre of eddies A and B.

Recently, Stramma et al. (2013) reported the occurrence of active N-loss processes at the core of the OMZ within the centre of both eddies A and B based on the co-occurrence of pronounced NO$_2$ maxima as well as O$_2$ and NO$_3$ minima. In their work, Stramma et al. (2013) argued that nutrient subduction along with reduced productivity might have reduced the flux of organic matter that could fuel N cycling when the eddies moved towards the open ocean. According to this, a comparatively lower consumption of N$_2$O by denitrification within the OMZ in the centre of eddy B should diminish the differences between profiles inside and outside. However, this effect was not visible in the N$_2$O distribution, and, conversely, N$_2$O concentrations were generally lower in the centre of eddy B than in the centre of eddy A. While depth-

![Figure 3](https://example.com/figure3.png)
integrated N$_2$O concentration in the OMZ within the open-ocean eddy B was only 6% lower than in coastal eddy A, observed differences were as high as 25% when the entire water column (5–1000 m) was considered. Integrated concentrations of O$_2$ were generally lower in eddy A than in eddy B (37.6 ± 1.7 mol m$^{-2}$ and 46.9 ± 7.2 mol m$^{-2}$, respectively), suggesting the potential for enhanced N$_2$O production at the oxic–suboxic boundaries of eddy A (see also Sect. 4.2). However, the extent to which these O$_2$ concentration differences could explain the observed differences in N$_2$O concentrations cannot be quantitatively assessed from our data, in particular because of the sampling station density and the fact that we did not carry out N$_2$O production rate measurements. Hence, although Stramma et al. (2013) reported a reduction in organic matter turnover for the open-ocean eddy B during the M90 cruise, this was not evident for N$_2$O, which probably reflects either (1) dissimilarities of the water masses in both eddies at the time of formation (see also Sect. 4.3) or (2) slower denitrification rates at the edges of eddy B than in its centre. A decreased pace of denitrification could explain the higher N$_2$O concentration in the OMZ from stations outside of eddy B than in those in its centre because it would imply slower N$_2$O consumption (transformation to N$_2$).

Vertical distribution of N$_2$O in eddy C was similar to that of the two anticyclonic eddies, with doming of isopycnals in its centre causing a shift of the upper and lower N$_2$O maxima, albeit in this case towards shallower depths. We found elevated N$_2$O concentrations at the boundaries of the OMZ and only a slight decrease at 150–400 m depth, where O$_2$ concentrations fell below 5 µmol L$^{-1}$ (Fig. 3). Nonetheless, the N$_2$O minimum was less pronounced than for eddies A and B at the core of the OMZ, where the strongest (positive) anomalies could be found (Fig. 3). Our results are consistent with the observations of Stramma et al. (2013), who reported elevated O$_2$ concentrations as well as low NO$_3^-$ accumulation at the centre of eddy C, suggesting lower activity of N-loss processes than in eddies A and B. Although upon formation cyclonic eddies tend to increase subsurface production just as mode water eddies do, this effect is not long-lasting and the net downwelling of nutrients ultimately leads to decreased primary productivity (McGillicuddy Jr. et al., 2007). Thus, the diminished supply of organic matter which could fuel N loss within the OMZ’s core might have contributed to the relatively higher N$_2$O concentrations in the OMZ of eddy C than in eddies A and B. Analysis of SSHA data showed, however, that stations assumed to be located at the edge and outside of eddy C were actually influenced by another anticyclonic eddy (see Fig. 1) and therefore are not representative of the mean conditions at the ETSP. Hence, more detailed (higher temporal and spatial resolution) studies of the distribution of N$_2$O within cyclonic eddies are needed in order to elucidate their role in the water column distribution of this gas in the ETSP.

Both our maximum and minimum of N$_2$O concentrations along the vertical O$_2$ gradients were well within the range of previous observations in the ETSP (Fariás et al., 2007, 2009; Ryabenko et al., 2012; Kock et al., 2016), and no statistically significant differences (two-sample t test, p values > 0.05, $\alpha = 0.05$) were found between our N$_2$O values at the centre of the three eddies and a mean open-ocean profile along the 86°W section (Fig. S2). If only stations at about 16°S are considered, however, it can be seen that N$_2$O depletion at the core of the OMZ was stronger at the centre of eddies A and B than outside of them (Fig. S2). Hence, although the influence of eddies on the N$_2$O distribution in the ETSP as a whole seems to be masked by the high variability of the large-scale distribution of N$_2$O (see Kock et al., 2016), the negative N$_2$O anomalies within the core of the OMZ at the centre of anticyclonic eddies suggest a locally enhanced sink for this gas.

Given that enhanced concentrations of N$_2$O can be found within the upper oxycline of the ETSP (e.g. Fig. 3), shoaling of the thermocline within mode water eddies would mean higher N$_2$O concentrations for a given depth as compared to a background profile. Likewise, coastal upwelling waters off Peru are a known source of extremely high N$_2$O concentrations to the surface (Arévalo-Martínez et al., 2015). However, since the observed eddies were detached from the coast at the time of sampling, the eddy-driven shoaling of waters with relatively high N$_2$O concentrations did not contribute to surface fluxes to the atmosphere in this location. In support of this, we observed that even in coastal stations, where a rapid decrease in O$_2$ concentrations (OMZ starts at about 15 m depth) was followed by a narrow peak of N$_2$O between 10 and 40 m depth (Fig. 3), there was not any appreciable variation of N$_2$O concentrations at the surface. Independent verification of our bottle data derives from surface (∼6 m) underway measurements performed during the same cruises (Arévalo-Martínez et al., 2015), which also did not show any enhancement of N$_2$O concentrations during the several cross-eddy sections carried out as part of the M90 and M91 cruises. Hence, although mesoscale eddies seem to influence the vertical distribution of N$_2$O, they do not have a direct impact on its surface distribution and emissions to the atmosphere since they are “trapped” below the mixed layer.

4.2 N$_2$O cycling within coastal eddy A

SSHA data from December 2012 indicated that coastal mode water eddy A was still centred near the shelf break at about 16°30’ S, 76°30’ W. The O$_2$ distribution along a cross-shelf section between 16°9’ S, 76°50’ W and 15°23’ S, 75°20’ W revealed O$_2$ minima (< 5 µmol L$^{-1}$) not only in the centre of eddy A but also in the vicinity of the shelf break due to coastal upwelling. (Fig. 4). An intermediate-depth low-N$_2$O layer was consistent with the location of these low-O$_2$ waters, and it reached its maximum extension (70–400 m depth) close to the western side of the eddy A centre. Although a strong maximum of N$_2$O (up to 80 nmol L$^{-1}$) could be observed at about 40 m depth within the station located in the
D. L. Arévalo-Martínez et al.: Mesoscale eddies and \textit{N}_2\textsubscript{O} in the ETSP

Figure 4. Cross-shelf distribution of \textit{N}_2\textsubscript{O} (a), \textit{NO}_2\textsuperscript{−} (b), \textit{NO}_3\textsuperscript{−} (c), and \textit{N}\textsuperscript{*} (d) along eddy A in December 2012 (16.2° S, 76.9° W to 15.3° S 75.2° W; see Fig. 1). Contours in (a) represent \textit{O}_2 concentrations (in µmol L\textsuperscript{−1}). The white dashed lines indicate the approximate location of the eddy centre according to SSHA data. Numbers above panels (a) and (b) are the station numbers (cf. Fig. 1).

Figure 5. Vertical distribution of \textit{N}_2\textsubscript{O} and \textit{O}_2 concentrations (a), as well as gene abundance of amoA (b), nirS (c), and hzo (d) within the centre (solid lines) and outside (dashed lines) of eddy A in December 2012 (M91 cruise). The numbers in parentheses indicate the station numbers (cf. Fig. 1).

centre of the eddy, the subsequent and sharp decrease in \textit{O}_2 concentrations led to a marked decrease in \textit{N}_2\textsubscript{O}.

The observed \textit{NO}_2\textsuperscript{−} and \textit{N}\textsuperscript{*} minima, as well as the pronounced secondary nitrite maximum (Codispoti and Packard, 1980), were consistent with the \textit{N}_2\textsubscript{O} distribution, suggesting the occurrence of N-loss processes, particularly in the centre of eddy A. Abundances of the hzo gene, the functional gene marker for anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) (Schmid et al., 2008), were generally higher through the water column within the centre of eddy A, with a maximum at about 50–200 m depth (i.e. shallower than outside of the eddy; see Fig. 5). Although anammox does not reflect \textit{N}_2\textsubscript{O} consumption, it does provide an indication of active N loss within the OMZ of the eddy at the time of sampling (Dalsgaard et al., 2012; De Brabandere et al., 2014), which is in line with the observations from previous studies in the ETSP (Altabet et al., 2012; Stramma et al., 2013; Bourbonnais et al., 2015).

Nitrification, as indicated by the depth distribution of gene abundances of amoA, the functional key gene for archaeal ammonium oxidation (Rotthauwe et al., 1997), was observed within eddy A and was stronger in the upper 200 m for the centre of the eddy, whereas below 200 m it was of similar magnitude for stations outside and in the centre (Fig. 5). This would explain the comparatively high shallow-depth maximum of \textit{N}_2\textsubscript{O} within the centre of eddy A (Fig. 4). \textit{N}_2\textsubscript{O} production by archaeal ammonia oxidation has previously been identified to be important in subsurface waters in the ETSP (Löscher et al., 2012). The deeper \textit{N}_2\textsubscript{O} maximum, however, cannot be explained by nitrification alone as e.g. Kalvelage et al. (2011) showed a decrease of nitrification rates below the upper oxycline. Furthermore, the linear correlation of \Delta\textit{N}_2\textsubscript{O} and AOU, which is indicative of nitrification (Nevison et al., 2016).
2003), is not present in that depth range, and geochemical tracer studies suggest a mixed N$_2$O production from nitrification and denitrification (Löscher et al., 2012; Ryabenko et al., 2012).

Based on the structure of the vertical profiles of amoA and nirS gene abundances, we could also infer that N$_2$O maxima at the boundaries of the OMZ resulted not only from nitrification but also partially from production during early stages of denitrification. Our observations support the results of Castro-González and Farías (2004), who used N$_2$O production experiments in the ETSP to show that denitrifiers produce increasing N$_2$O:N$_2$ ratios as the O$_2$ concentrations increase due to the well-known sensitivity of the N$_2$O reductase to O$_2$ (Dalsgaard et al., 2014). In order to roughly estimate the N$_2$O:N$_2$ ratios in the upper oxycline of eddy A, we used the observed N$_2$O concentrations and N$^*$ as an indicator of N loss. As a result we found that, for example, within the centre of eddy A there was a three-fold increase in the N$_2$O:N$_2$ ratio for an O$_2$ concentration decrease of about 85% (229.1 µmol L$^{-1}$ at 20 m to 32.36 µmol L$^{-1}$ at 50 m). A similar pattern was observed for stations located outside of the eddy, although the ratios were slightly higher due to the generally higher N$_2$O concentrations (Fig. 5). Furthermore, we also observed that in the upper 600 m depth the potential for N$_2$O production via partial denitrification (as inferred from nirS abundances) was higher in the centre of the eddy than outside (Fig. 5). Nevertheless, within the OMZ’s core it was evident that N$_2$O consumption was stronger in the centre of the eddy, suggesting that, even though the genes for N$_2$O production by denitrification were present, they were probably inhibited due to further low O$_2$ concentrations (∼3 µmol L$^{-1}$). It is also likely, however, that under denitrifying conditions N$_2$O depletion occurs at a faster rate than production, masking the N$_2$O production signal. Elevated NO$_3^-$ concentrations (11 µmol L$^{-1}$) along with close-to-detection-limit NO$_3^-$ concentrations in the OMZ further suggest that complete – and more intense – denitrification took place in the OMZ core at the eddy centre (Codispoti and Christensen, 1985; Codispoti et al., 1986). Thus, in general, in the centre of the eddy a higher N$_2$O maxima at the upper boundary of the OMZ resulted from enhanced nitrification and partial denitrification, whereas stronger N$_2$O depletion at the OMZ’s core resulted from enhanced, complete denitrification. Although at depths below 600 m both amoA and nirS gene abundances were higher outside of the eddy, N$_2$O concentrations remained similar to those in the centre and O$_2$ was still lower in the eddy’s centre (Fig. 5). Nevertheless, vertical profiles of the same eddy 1 month before showed that N$_2$O concentrations below 600 m were higher in the centre (cf. Fig. 3). Thus, it could be that increasing variability beneath the lower boundary of the OMZ was caused by decreasing intensity (velocity) of the eddy below 600 m (Fig. 2). Overall, the net effect of anticyclonic eddy A was an enhancement of N-loss processes within its centre, thereby making the OMZ’s core an even stronger sink for N$_2$O than it would be under “mean” conditions.

### 4.3 Effect of eddy ageing on N$_2$O

Westward propagation of mesoscale eddies implies that properties of the waters which were “enclosed” within its centre at the time of formation are transported offshore (Chelton et al., 2007). Gruber et al. (2011), for example, suggested that this transport leads to a net reduction of primary productivity in coastal upwelling regions due to nutrient subduction and advection. Since the export of organic matter is the most important factor fuelling the N cycling in the OMZ (Capone and Hutchins, 2013; Babbin et al., 2014), changes in the distribution of the main production–consumption pathways of N$_2$O are likely to occur under the influence of mesoscale eddies along their transit to open waters. In the following we compare the vertical distributions of N$_2$O and relevant biogeochemical parameters within the centre of the young coastal eddy A during the M90 cruise, and the old open-ocean eddy B. Likewise, we include data of a second survey of eddy A during the M91 cruise (hereafter eddy A-M91). For the comparison we focus on the upper 600 m of the water column since the largest physical and biogeochemical anomalies were observed at this depth range (see Stramma et al., 2013). The cyclonic eddy C is not considered for this analysis given its relatively minor importance for N-loss processes.

Figure 6 depicts the vertical distribution of N$_2$O from stations located at or near the centre of the eddies A, B, and A-M91. As can be seen, despite the pronounced N$_2$O maxima at the upper and lower oxyclines in eddy A-M91, in general, the N$_2$O concentrations within this eddy were lower than in eddy A and eddy B. Integrated concentration of N$_2$O within the centre of eddy A was 24% higher than in eddy B (Table 1). This difference can be partially attributed to the fact that N-cycling processes tend to decrease after subsurface nutrients are consumed and/or subducted, and primary production progressively decreases towards the open ocean. This is supported by higher O$_2$ and NO$_3^-$ concentrations in eddy B than in eddy A, as well as the comparatively lower NO$_3^-$ concentrations in eddy B, which suggests an overall decrease in organic matter respiration in the open-ocean eddy. Although the examination of temperature–salinity plots from both cruises suggests the presence of a single water mass within the OMZ of eddies A and B (Fig. S3), with our methods it was not possible to determine the exact time and location of formation of both eddies. Thus, it cannot be ruled out that the differences in the biogeochemical conditions at the time of formation also contributed to the observed differences in N$_2$O. In a recent study, Thomsen et al. (2015) showed that the eddy-induced transport of shelf-break waters to the open ocean might be an important mechanism for the advection of N-deficient waters away from the coastal area off Peru. Hence, even though the physical properties of both
Table 1. Comparison of physical and biogeochemical properties of mode water eddies in the ETSP during the M90 (November 2012) and M91 (December 2012) cruises. N$_2$O, O$_2$, NO$_3^-$, NO$_2^-$, and selected functional gene markers are expressed as integrated concentrations/abundances over the water column.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A(M90)</th>
<th>A(M91)</th>
<th>B(M91)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vertical extent (m)$^a$</td>
<td>0–600</td>
<td>0–600</td>
<td>0–600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius (km)$^a$</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>48.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (10$^{12}$ m$^3$)$^a$</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$O (mol m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2$ (mol m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3^-$ (mol m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2^-$ (mol m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amoA ($10^8$ copies m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>19.54</td>
<td>598.5</td>
<td>379.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nirS ($10^8$ copies m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hzo ($10^8$ copies m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>101,773</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Values taken from Stramma et al. (2013) refer to the depth range wherein the strongest anomalies were observed.

eddies (A and B) are reflected in the vertical distribution of N$_2$O, the extent to which the observed differences in N$_2$O concentrations are the result of either decaying N cycling or the properties of the water masses at the time of formation remains an open question.

In comparison with eddy A-M91, the integrated concentration of N$_2$O in the centre of eddy A was 53 % higher (Table 1). This marked decrease of N$_2$O in the coastal mode water eddy A only 1 month after the first sampling points towards an enhancement of N-loss processes within the OMZ of this eddy. In agreement with this observation, eddy A-M91 featured the lowest O$_2$ and NO$_3^-$ content, as well as the highest NO$_2^-$ values among the three eddies considered (Table 1). Our observations are also in line with the results of Bourbonnais et al. (2015), who reported the occurrence of intense N loss (up to $\sim 44 \mu$mol L$^{-1}$) within in eddy A, as well as an increase in the vertical expansion of the N-deficient waters in eddy A-M91. A substantial increase in water-column-integrated abundances of both amoA and nirS genes was observed in the centre of eddy A-M91 with respect to eddies A and B, reflecting the comparatively higher N$_2$O concentrations found at the boundaries of the OMZ (cf. Fig. 6). It seems, however, that enhanced N$_2$O production by nitrification and partial denitrification in eddy A-M91 was outpaced by high N$_2$O consumption in the core of the OMZ with further decreasing O$_2$ concentrations, which in turn resulted in values even lower than those of the open-ocean eddy B. Furthermore, sharp differences in hzo gene abundances among the three eddies, with A-M91 featuring considerably higher values (Table 1), serve as evidence that N-loss activities in the ETSP were comparatively stronger in coastal eddies at the time of sampling. Likewise this also suggests that strengthening of eddy A (increased velocity) while it stayed stationary on the shelf between the two surveys (M90 and M91) might have led to an N-cycling intensification through the water column.

4.3.1 Changes in N cycling

In order to provide a quantitative estimate of the amount of denitrification that took place in eddy A, as well as to assess how it changed between the two surveys, in this section we present estimates of nitrate deficit ($\Delta$N) by means of the “NO” approach (Naqvi and Gupta, 1985). “NO” is used as a quasi-conservative water mass tracer (Broecker, 1974) and is defined here as $[O_2] + 9.1[NO_3^-]$ (Bange et al., 2000). A plot of “NO” versus potential temperature ($\theta$) from stations located at the centre of eddy A during M90 and M91 is shown in Fig. 7. For both cruises we obtained a good correlation between “NO” and $\theta$ after excluding data points from the surface to the upper limit of the OMZ ($O_2 < 20 \mu$mol L$^{-1}$), as well as those from the OMZ’s core ($O_2 < 5 \mu$mol L$^{-1}$). Hence, the obtained regression lines (“NO”$^{M90}$, “NO”$^{M91}$, Fig. 7) represent conditions which are expected when the OMZ is not affected by denitrification (Naqvi and Gupta, 1985). We calculated $\Delta$N by using “NO”$^{M90}$ and “NO”$^{M91}$ according to the following expression (Bange et al., 2000): $\Delta$N$=\left(\frac{[O_2]_{M90}}{9.1} - [NO_3^-]_{M90} - [NO_2^-]_{M91}\right)$, where $x$ is the corresponding regression line for each cruise.

After integrating $\Delta$N over the depth range of the OMZ, we obtained values of 8.9 and 0.02 mol m$^{-2}$ for eddy A during M90 and M91, respectively. $\Delta$N from M90 was significantly higher than most estimates available for a similar OMZ in the Arabian Sea (Naqvi and Gupta, 1985; Howell et al., 1997; Bange et al., 2000), and it was only comparable with values up to 7.3 mol m$^{-2}$ found by Naqvi and Gupta (1985) at about 20° N towards the coast of Oman. $\Delta$N from M91 was, how-
ever, markedly lower than both ΔN from M90 and ΔN from previous work in the OMZ of the Arabian Sea (minimum ΔN = 1.0 mol m$^{-2}$; Bange et al., 2000). This suggests not only strong denitrification activities within mesoscale eddies formed off the Peruvian coast but also high short-term variability as they move offshore. These results are in agreement with recent work in the ETSP, in which an isotopic approach was used to show the occurrence of intense N loss by both denitrification and anammox in the OMZ within mesoscale eddies (Bourbonnais et al., 2015). A pronounced Chl a and turbidity maximum in the centre of eddy A-M91 suggest a potentially increased supply of organic matter which, upon sinking, could stimulate N loss through anammox and denitrification (Kalvelage et al., 2013; De Brabandere et al., 2014). Therefore it is likely that N loss still took place in the coastal eddy A during M91, albeit at rates comparatively lower than those during M90 and the time period elapsed between the two cruises. Our results are in agreement with the work of Löscher et al. (2015a), who reported enhanced N$_2$ fixation rates in the centre of mode water eddies, as well as co-occurrence of N$_2$ fixation and N loss, suggesting a spatial link between both processes in the ETSP. As part of the same study, Löscher et al. (2015a) also found that in general N$_2$ fixation rates tended to be lower during M91, most likely indicating a decline of biological production during ageing of the eddy. Hence, although N$_2$ fixation rates were lower within the mode water eddy A during M91, its occurrence, together with the fact that during M91 we most likely sampled the eddy after a period of intense N cycling, might help to explain the alleviation on the N deficit between the M90 and M91 cruises. Under the spatial constraints of our survey, one could infer that the N loss during M91 (as determined both by the N$^+$ and "NO" methods) does not necessarily reflect enhanced denitrification within the eddy at the time of sampling (December) but rather the remaining signal of denitrification during early stages of the eddy which were partially captured when we sampled it in November. However, given that we might have sampled different portions of the eddy despite a consistent definition of centre (i.e. based on SSHA data), a direct comparison remains speculative and further studies employing methods with higher temporal and spatial resolution would be required to assess the temporal variability of N loss and N$_2$O consumption in the OMZ of mesoscale eddies.

In order to roughly estimate the amount of N loss through denitrification which was driven by coastal eddy A between November and December 2012 (27 days), we used our ΔN values and a calculated area of 8.5 × 10$^6$ m$^2$. This resulted in a daily loss flux of 0.04 Tg-N day$^{-1}$, which if scaled to an annual basis would be comparable with values reported for the Arabian Sea by Mantoura et al. (1993) but lower than most estimates for the same area (Naqvi, 1987; Howell et al., 1997; Bange et al., 2000) and for the eastern tropical South Pacific (20–33 and 20–29 Tg-N yr$^{-1}$, respectively; Codispoti and Richards, 1976; Codispoti and Packard, 1980; DeVries et al., 2012). However, a direct comparison with those studies is not entirely realistic since the mean lifespan of mesoscale eddies off Peru is typically not longer than a few months (Chaigneau, et al., 2008). Therefore the intense N loss observed within the eddies might represent a transient state which at times contributes significantly to the total N loss in the ETSP. Since denitrification can also be a source of N$_2$O (Codispoti and Christensen, 1985; Bakker et al., 2014), we estimated the N$_2$O production from denitrifying waters within the OMZ's core (O$_2$ < 5 µmol L$^{-1}$) of eddy A. For this, we calculated the depth-integrated ΔN$_2$O (N$_2$O$_{measured}$−N$_2$O$_{equilibrium}$) over the OMZ for both profiles at the centre of eddy A (M90 and M91). Using the eddy area and time span between cruises as shown above, we obtained a N$_2$O daily yield of 1.3 × 10$^{-3}$ Tg-N$_2$O day$^{-1}$ (or 8.0 × 10$^{-6}$ Tg-N day$^{-1}$). Scaling this value to an annual basis resulted in a N$_2$O yield of 4.5 × 10$^{-3}$ Tg-N$_2$O yr$^{-1}$ (or 2.9 × 10$^{-2}$ Tg-N yr$^{-1}$), which is markedly lower than previous values for the Arabian Sea (Mantoura et al 1993; Bange et al., 2001). Accordingly, our estimated N$_2$O production from denitrification is considerably low in comparison with our estimated denitrification rates from eddy A in November–December 2012 (0.02 %), suggesting faster N$_2$O consumption than production within the OMZ of eddy A for the period of sampling. N$_2$O production in the OMZ of the Arabian Sea has been reported to amount to at least 2 % of the mean denitrification rates (Bange et al., 2001). However this value is not entirely comparable with ours since in that study a whole basin (1.95 × 10$^{12}$ m$^2$) is considered and our time span is in the order of months rather than years. It should be
pointed out that our denitrification rates and N$_2$O yields from the OMZ assume a given size and permanence period of the eddy in coastal waters and, moreover, do not take into consideration the progressive decrease in N-loss activities within the eddy centre as it moves away from the coast. Therefore, our values are only contentious and are meant to highlight the need for combined biogeochemical–physical studies which account for the annual variability in occurrence, abundance, and spatial extent of mesoscale anticyclonic eddies off Peru, given their importance for N-loss processes.

The coastal eddy A was formed on the shelf about 2 months before the time of sampling, whereas the open-ocean eddy B was formed at least 5 months before our survey. This hampers a direct comparison of both mode water eddies, in particular because with our methods it was not possible to determine the exact location where eddy B was formed. Nonetheless, the main physical and biogeochemical features of eddies A and B suggest that, in general, the ageing of mesoscale eddies tends to decrease N$_2$O concentrations through the water column in response to reduced the supply of material to fuel microbial respiration in the boundaries of the OMZ.

4.4 Synthesis

Aside from the temporal and spatial sampling constrains, our observations allowed us to identify common features of the water column distribution of N$_2$O that arise from the occurrence of different mesoscale eddies in the ETSP. We showed that the main vertical distribution of N$_2$O within eddies A, B, and C fits well to the typical open-ocean distribution reported in previous works in the same area (Lösch et al., 2012; Ryabenko et al., 2012; Kock et al., 2016). Thus, elevated concentrations of N$_2$O at the upper and lower boundaries of the OMZ could be attributed to production via nitrification and partial denitrification (as inferred from high *amoA* and *nirS* abundances, respectively), whereas denitrification-driven depletion of N$_2$O was clearly observed at the core of the OMZ (O$_2$\(<\;5\;\mu$mol$L^{-1}$). However, vertical shifting of the OMZ due to isocline displacement within the eddies (both anticyclonic and cyclonic) also led to variation of the position of the maxima and minima in the water column. The upward displacement of oxycline waters with high N$_2$O concentrations was, nevertheless, detached from surface waters, and therefore eddies did not affect the surface concentrations of N$_2$O. Concentrations of N$_2$O were generally lower through the water column in anticyclonic eddies, suggesting that they transport highly productive waters trapped at the coast and bear a high potential for intense N cycling, as has been also shown by recent studies in the ETSP (Bourbonnais et al., 2015; Thomsen et al., 2015). Likewise, we observed that the vertical expansion of low-O$_2$ waters within the core of the OMZ (O$_2$\(<\;5\;\mu$mol$L^{-1}$) within the centre of anticyclonic eddies also resulted in an increased area where N$_2$O depletion was favoured. Hence mesoscale eddies in the ETSP might represent a locally relevant, enhanced sink for N$_2$O. This observation is in line with previous studies which directly measured N loss in the same eddies (see e.g. Bourbonnais et al., 2015; Lösch et al., 2015a). The fact that OMZ core waters (which act as a sink for N$_2$O) are transported over long distances offshore also suggests a potentially higher relevance of this region as a sink for N$_2$O. Nevertheless, given the extremely high production of N$_2$O in the ETSP (Kock et al., 2016) and our current lack of understanding of the overall, long-term impact of mesoscale eddies in the biogeochemistry of N$_2$O, it is, at this point, difficult to assess how relevant this sink term might be.

5 Summary and conclusions

In this study we used a combined approach including physical, biogeochemical, and molecular methods in order to investigate the distribution of N$_2$O within mesoscale eddies in the ETSP. Overall, a two-peak structure was observed in vertical profiles of N$_2$O, indicating the alternation between production and consumption processes as a response to the O$_2$ gradients through the water column. Our results suggest that N$_2$O concentrations in the water column were consistent with the main physical features of the mesoscale eddies. Hence, lifting (deepening) of the seasonal (main) pycnoclines in mode water eddies (A and B) was visible for N$_2$O, with shoaling of the upper maxima and slight displacement of the lower maxima towards deeper depths. Likewise, doming of isopycnals in the open-ocean cyclonic eddy (C) caused a shift of the upper and lower N$_2$O maxima towards shallower depths. O$_2$ and nutrient (NO$_3$ and NO$_2$) distributions as well as abundances of key gene markers for N$_2$O production processes showed that the upper and lower oxyclines in the boundaries of the OMZ are net sources of N$_2$O, producing the two observed maxima which envelop the N$_2$O-depleted waters in the core of the OMZ. Trapping of highly productive coastal waters in anticyclonic eddies (e.g. eddy A) led to a net enhancement of N-loss processes in its centre both through denitrification and anammox, thereby making the OMZ’s core an even stronger sink for N$_2$O than it would be under mean conditions. However, the strength of the N-cycling processes decreases during the transit of these eddies out of the shelf, mostly in response to a lower supply of organic matter from surface waters and sinking of nutrients as the eddy collapses. Hence our observations suggest that open-ocean mode water eddies tend to produce less N$_2$O than coastal ones because the weaker maxima that enclose the OMZ core have an overall bigger impact than the reduced N$_2$O consumption within the OMZ core. Nevertheless, water properties at the time of formation, as well as the pace at which eddies propagate, might significantly alter the N$_2$O concentrations through the water column. Although depth distribution of N$_2$O in the centre of cyclonic eddy C was similar to eddies A and B, in general the concentrations (both maxima and minima) were higher and its relative importance.
for N loss was negligible. Despite the observed shoaling of upper isopycnals in both mode water and cyclonic eddies, we did not find any appreciable changes in the surface distribution of N\textsubscript{2}O since these features are trapped below the mixed layer.

In conclusion, our survey provides the first insights into N\textsubscript{2}O distribution within mesoscale eddies in the ETSP and points out the importance of multidisciplinary approaches in investigating the rather multifaceted N cycling in OMZs. However, high complexity of the N\textsubscript{2}O production and consumption pathways, together with unaccounted temporal and spatial variability, hampers the chances of an objective quantification of the net effect of mesoscale eddies on N\textsubscript{2}O for the whole ETSP. Projected future deoxygenation and expansion of OMZs have been suggested to significantly increase marine N\textsubscript{2}O production. However, an increased strength of the N\textsubscript{2}O sink within the core of low-O\textsubscript{2} waters in mesoscale eddies might also play an important role which has not yet been quantified. Hence, it is critical to understand how these prominent features of the circulation might affect N\textsubscript{2}O distribution and concentrations in order to be able to assess the variability of its sources and the strength of its sinks.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/bg-13-1105-2016-supplement.
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