Diverse coral communities in mangrove habitats suggest a novel refuge from climate change

K. K. Yates1, C. S. Rogers2, J. J. Herlan2,*, G. R. Brooks3, N. A. Smiley1, and R. A. Larson3

1US Geological Survey, Coastal and Marine Science Center, St Petersburg, Florida 33701, USA
2US Geological Survey, Southeast Ecological Science Center, St John, US Virgin Islands 00830, USA
3Eckerd College, Galbraith Marine Science Laboratory, St. Petersburg, Florida 33711, USA
* now at: Universidad Católica del Norte, Larrondo 1281, Coquimbo, Chile

Correspondence to: K. K. Yates (kyates@usgs.gov)

Received: 20 February 2014 – Published in Biogeosciences Discuss.: 31 March 2014
Revised: 30 June 2014 – Accepted: 8 July 2014 – Published: 19 August 2014

Abstract. Risk analyses indicate that more than 90% of the world’s reefs will be threatened by climate change and local anthropogenic impacts by the year 2030 under “business-as-usual” climate scenarios. Increasing temperatures and solar radiation cause coral bleaching that has resulted in extensive coral mortality. Increasing carbon dioxide reduces seawater pH, slows coral growth, and may cause loss of reef structure. Management strategies include establishment of marine protected areas with environmental conditions that promote reef resiliency. However, few resilient reefs have been identified, and resiliency factors are poorly defined.

Here we characterize the first natural, non-reef coral refuge from thermal stress and ocean acidification and identify resiliency factors for mangrove–coral habitats. We measured diurnal and seasonal variations in temperature, salinity, photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), and seawater chemistry; characterized substrate parameters; and examined water circulation patterns in mangrove communities where scleractinian corals are growing attached to and under mangrove prop roots in Hurricane Hole, St. John, US Virgin Islands. Additionally, we inventoried the coral species and quantified incidences of coral bleaching, mortality, and recovery for two major reef-building corals, Colpophyllia natans and Diploria labyrinthiformis, growing in mangrove-shaded and exposed (unshaded) areas.

Over 30 species of scleractinian corals were growing in association with mangroves. Corals were thriving in low-light (more than 70% attenuation of incident PAR) from mangrove shading and at higher temperatures than nearby reef tract corals. A higher percentage of C. natans colonies were living shaded by mangroves, and no shaded colonies were bleached. Fewer D. labyrinthiformis colonies were shaded by mangroves, however more unshaded colonies were bleached. A combination of substrate and habitat heterogeneity, proximity of different habitat types, hydrographic conditions, and biological influences on seawater chemistry generate chemical conditions that buffer against ocean acidification. This previously undocumented refuge for corals provides evidence for adaptation of coastal organisms and ecosystem transition due to recent climate change. Identifying and protecting other natural, non-reef coral refuges is critical for sustaining corals and other reef species into the future.

1 Introduction

Evidence that repeated coral bleaching events (Baker et al., 2008; Eakin et al., 2009; Fitt et al., 2001; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Hoegh-Guldberg, 2011; Lesser, 2011) and ocean acidification (Fabricius et al., 2011; Kleypas and Yates, 2009; Kroecker et al., 2013, Silverman et al., 2009) will severely impede coral growth within the next few decades (Burke et al., 2011; van Hooidonk et al., 2014) has prompted an urgent search for coral reef systems that provide natural refuges from climate threats and efforts to identify mechanisms that could help reef organisms acclimatize to the changing climate. The complex interplay among climate, oceanographic, and biological factors that influences susceptibility and resilience of reefs has made identification and characterization of refuges challenging. Research is needed on how these
factors interact and how they will affect the overall biodiversity, function, and transition of these ecosystems. Focus has been placed on identifying reefs with low exposure to or potential for adaptation to climate threats, and reduced local anthropogenic impacts (Keller et al., 2009; McClanahan et al., 2011; Mumby and Steneck, 2008; Salm et al., 2006; West and Salm, 2003). Recent studies have identified only a few reef systems, for example, in the western Indian Ocean (McClanahan et al., 2011), on the Great Barrier Reef (Berkelmans, 2002), and in shallow bays of Palau (van Woesik et al., 2012) that show resistance to elevated temperatures and less coral bleaching. Only one reef, in the Florida Keys, has been identified as a potential refuge from ocean acidification (Manzello et al., 2012). To our knowledge, no alternative (non-reef) natural habitats have ever been identified as potential climate change refuges for corals.

Mangrove communities, while often near coral reef ecosystems, are not thought of as having suitable conditions for coral recruitment and growth due to high sedimentation rates, lack of suitable substrate, and inadequate water quality. Therefore, no prior focus has been placed on identifying mangrove–coral habitats, the scientific literature contains few references to scleractinian corals growing in mangrove habitats (e.g. Macintyre et al., 2000; Rutzler et al., 2000), and no comprehensive surveys or multidisciplinary studies of these habitats have been performed.

In St. John, US Virgin Islands, over 30 species of scleractinian corals are growing on and under mangrove prop roots in small bays located along the perimeter of a large bay, Hurricane Hole, within the Virgin Islands Coral Reef National Monument (Table 1). Many are reef-building corals that survived a 2005 to 2006 bleaching and disease event that caused major losses of coral throughout the northeastern Caribbean (Miller et al., 2009). Qualitative surveys conducted in one bay in 1984 suggest that corals were present, but neither diverse nor abundant (Beets et al., 1986). We considered that an increase in the diversity and abundance of corals in mangrove communities since that time (Rogers and Herlan, 2012) could be a response to climate change.

Keppel et al. (2012) define refugia as “habitats that components of biodiversity retreat to, persist in and can potentially expand from under changing environmental conditions”. The presence of such a remarkable abundance and diversity of coral species in the mangroves of St. John, and their largely intact condition in contrast to severe declines of corals on nearby reefs following bleaching and a major disease outbreak support the concept of this habitat as a refuge. This mangrove–coral habitat is characterized by heterogeneity in the physical environment that allows it to be out of equilibrium with open ocean conditions, resulting in differentiation of local physical, chemical, and biological attributes. This ecosystem serves as an example of how some mangrove habitats could provide alternative refuges for corals from climate threats, particularly increasing seawater temperature, high levels of solar radiation, and decreasing pH. We identified and quantified physical, chemical, and biological resiliency factors in mangrove communities with corals in Hurricane Hole, St. John, US Virgin Islands. We present a list of resiliency factors to help guide identification of other alternative refuges for reef-building corals.

### 2 Methods

Physical, chemical, and biological attributes were characterized in three small, adjacent bays in Hurricane Hole including Water Creek, Otter Creek, and Princess Bay in November 2010, July 2011, and July 2012 (Fig. 1). In each bay, we measured diurnal and seasonal variations in temperature, salinity, photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), and seawater chemistry [total alkalinity (\(A_T\)), dissolved inorganic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coral species</th>
<th>Princess Bay</th>
<th>Otter Creek</th>
<th>Water Creek</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stephanocoenia intersepta</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acropora palmata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agaricia agaricites</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agaricia spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siderastrea siderea</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siderastrea radians</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porites astreoides</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porites porites</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porites furcata</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porites divaricata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favia fragra</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploria labyrinthiformis</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudodiploria clivosa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudodiploria strigosa</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manicina areolata</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colpophyllia natans</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colpophyllia amaranthus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladocora arbuscula</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbicella annularis</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbicella faveolata</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tabulastrea faveolata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phyllangia americana</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oculina diffusa</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meandrina meandrites</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichocoenia stokesi</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dendrophyllia glycera</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scolymia cubensis</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scolymia lacera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myctophyllia spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eusmilia fastigiata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubastrea aurea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millepora spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Species list of corals living in mangroves.
carbon \( (C_T) \), pH\(_T\) \( (\text{pH on the total H}^+ \text{ scale}) \), dissolved oxygen \( (\text{DO}) \), and nutrients). We characterized substrate parameters and examined water circulation patterns associated with inner-bay mangrove communities with no corals (MNC sites), nearby mid- to outer-bay mangrove communities with corals growing on and under prop roots (MC sites), and rock outcrops with unshaded corals (ROC sites) in all three bays. Corals at these sites were growing near the coastline in shallow water ranging from 1.2 to 2.0 m water depth. Additionally, we inventoried coral species in all three bays, and quantified incidences of coral bleaching, mortality, and recovery for two major reef-building corals, *Colpophyllia natans* and *Diploria labyrinthiformis*, growing in mangrove-shaded and exposed (unshaded) areas in the bays with the highest coral diversity (Otter Creek and Water Creek). Logistics prevented concurrent collection of similar data from reefs around St. John. However, we previously collected limited data from four sites on a nearby reef in Long Bay (approximately 2 km from the nearest mangrove coral study site and 6.3 m water depth, Fig. 1) from 16 July to 22 August 2004. The reef sites were characterized by scattered coral colonies of *Orbicella annularis* complex, *Montastrea cavernosa*. *Porites porites*, *P. astreoides*, *A. agaricites*, *Favia fragum*, *Diploria labyrinthiformis*, and the hydrocoral *Millepora alcicoris* growing among sea fans, other gorgonians, sponges, turf algae, macroalgae and coral rubble. All seawater chemistry data from Hurricane Hole and Long Bay reef sites were collected near the seafloor (less than 0.5 m from the bottom). Additionally, we collected vertical profile data of carbonate system parameters over a coral habitat at 6.5 m water depth near the mouth of Otter Creek (Fig. 1) at 07:00 AST on 19 July 2011. Profile data were collected at 1.5, 3.0, 4.6, and 6.0 m depth.

### 2.1 Seawater chemistry

Seawater was collected for \( A_T \), \( C_T \), and pH\(_T\) analyses from each site every 4 h \((n = 7)\) throughout 24 h periods in November 2010, July 2011, and July 2012. Measurements were made every 4 h for 3 consecutive days \((n = 19)\) in Otter Creek during July 2012. No DO data were collected in Water Creek during July 2012 due to DO sensor failure. Twenty percent of pH values \((47 \text{ of } 225)\) were calculated from \( A_T \) and \( C_T \). A peristaltic pump was used to pump seawater from less than 0.5 m above the seafloor through a 0.45 µm filter into 500 ml borosilicate glass bottles. Samples for \( A_T \) and \( C_T \) were preserved by adding 100 µL saturated HgCl\(_2\) solution. Bottles were positive-pressure sealed with ground glass stoppers coated with Apiezon grease. Seawater samples for pH\(_T\) were collected from the same peristaltic pump and filtered into 30 mL glass optical cells, and were analyzed within 1 h of collection. Samples were analyzed for \( A_T \) \((±1 \mu \text{mol kg}^{-1})\) using spectrophotometric methods of Yao and Byrne (1998) with an Ocean Optics USB2000 spectrometer and bromocresol purple indicator dye, for \( C_T \) \((±3 \mu \text{mol kg}^{-1})\) using a UIC carbon coulometer model CM5014 and CM5130 acidification module using methods of Dickson et al. (2007) and for pH\(_T\) \((±0.005)\) via spectrophotometric methods of Zhang and Byrne with an Ocean Optics USB2000 spectrometer and thymol blue indicator dye. Dissolved oxygen \((±0.1 \text{ mg l}^{-1})\), temperature \((±0.01 ^\circ \text{C})\), and salinity \((±0.01)\) were measured using a YSI multimeter calibrated daily. Certified reference materials (CRM) for \( A_T \) and \( C_T \) analyses were from the Marine Physical Laboratory of Scripps Institution of Oceanography (A. Dickson). Duplicate or triplicate analyses were performed on at least 10% of samples.

Seawater from Long Bay reef was also collected and analyzed for \( A_T \) and \( C_T \) using the same methods as in Hurricane Hole. pH\(_T\) was calculated from \( A_T \) and \( C_T \) using the carbonate speciation program CO2sys (Pierrot et al., 2006). Dissolved oxygen \((±0.1 \text{ mg l}^{-1})\), temperature \((±0.01 ^\circ \text{C})\), and salinity \((±0.01)\) were measured using a flow-through analytical system and methods of Yates and Halley (2003). These data were collected at 07:00 \((n = 7)\) or 11:00 \((n = 3)\).

Vertical profile data were collected by attaching a weighted peristaltic sampling tube (marked with depth increments) to the YSI multimeter and lowering the collection apparatus to depth along a guide line attached to a buoy at the surface and a weight at the seafloor. Samples and measurements for \( A_T \), \( C_T \), pH\(_T\), DO, salinity, and temperature were collected and analyzed using the same methods as described for Hurricane Hole analyses. Carbonate system parameters for all study sites including aragonite mineral saturation state \((\Omega_A)\) and \( p\text{CO}_2 \) were calculated from \( A_T \), pH\(_T\), or \( A_T \) and \( C_T \) for Long Bay reef samples, temperature, and salinity measurements using the carbonate speciation program CO2sys (Pierrot et al., 2006) with dissociation constants K1 and K2 from Merbach et al. (1973) refit by Dickson and Millero (1987), KSO\(_4\) from Dickson (1990), and...
and using the total pH scale (pH\textsubscript{T}). Correlation analysis of salinity-normalized total alkalinity (nA\textsubscript{T}) and dissolved inorganic carbon (nC\textsubscript{T}) was performed on Hurricane Hole data using methods of Suzuki and Kawahata (2003) to examine heterogeneity of chemical and biological processes.

Seawater samples were collected for the measurement of total nitrogen and phosphate in November 2010 and July 2011. In November 2010, nutrient samples (n = 58) were collected in transects spanning the innermost reaches to the mouth of all three bays. During July 2011, water samples were collected every 4 h throughout 24 h time periods at every sample site in all three bays. Water samples (20 mL) were filtered through 0.22 \textmu m pore size Millipore Sterivex filters rinsed with two volumes of sample water. Samples were stored in 20 mL acid-washed polypropylene scintillation vials at 0°C until time of analysis. Nutrient samples were analyzed at the NOAA Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory in Miami, FL.

### 2.2 Sediment samples

Bulk surface sediment samples (approximately 2 kg wet weight) were collected via grab-sampling from the seafloor (approximately 1.2 m water depth) at each study site in each bay (Fig. 1). Wet samples were dehydrated to remove water and salts within 6 h of collection by rinsing with 70% isopropyl alcohol, allowing samples to settle, decanting the alcohol, and repeating this process two additional times. Samples were then allowed to air dry prior to analysis for grain size and composition. Grain size was measured via settling-tube for sand-sized fractions (Gibbs, 1974), and pipette for mud-sized fractions (Folk, 1965). Calcium carbonate content was determined by the acid leaching method (Milliman, 1974). Total organic matter (TOM) was measured by loss on ignition (LOI) at 550°C for > 2.5 h (Dean, 1974). Mineralogic composition was measured by XRD on a Bruker D-8 advanced system using cobalt radiation at the University of Georgia, Department of Geology.

### 2.3 Coral surveys

A list of coral species growing in Princess Bay, Otter Creek, and Water Creek was compiled based on over 100 surveys conducted by snorkeling the perimeter of each bay and identifying all live coral colonies growing from the shoreline to a depth of approximately 6 m (Rogers and Herlan, 2012). Minor coral bleaching was observed in July 2010 with more severe bleaching in August through October 2010. From November 2010 to January 2011, a complete census of colonies of Diploria labyrinthiformis and Colpophyllia natans (the most abundant framework-building corals in Hurricane Hole) was conducted in Otter Creek and Water Creek. The census included colonies growing in the mangroves and on the nearby substrate that sloped to the sandy bottom in each of the bays. Coral depths ranged from near the surface to about 6 meters. Each coral was photographed and examined for condition (e.g., bleached or unbleached) and exposure (shaded or unshaded). A coral was considered shaded if it grew directly under mangroves or within a few meters of them where it received shading for at least a portion of each day. Subsets of these colonies in Otter Creek were re-examined in May 2011 to document recovery and mortality. Fisher’s exact tests performed in SAS 9.2 were used to compare the response of shaded and unshaded coral colonies for each species. Additionally, we calculated the log-odds ratios and confidence intervals to determine the effect of shading on coral bleaching for both species combined.

### 2.4 Temperature and PAR measurements

Temperature measurements were collected every 2 h during 2010 and 2011 using HOBO Pendant data loggers at 18 locations in Hurricane Hole (Table 2). Loggers were placed near mangrove and coral habitats at inner-, mid-, and outer-bay locations. Daily averages were generated from all loggers. Temperature data from long-term monitoring transects were collected every 2 h from loggers at reef depth on the fore-reef slope area of six reefs around St. John during 2005 and 2010 by the National Park Service (Miller et al., 2009; National Park Service 2012). These data were used to calculate daily means at each site and averaged among sites to generate daily averages for the long-term reef transects. Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) was measured every 15 min (15-minute averages) at study sites in Otter Creek and Water Creek approximately 20 cm above the seafloor using LI-COR 4\textpi sensors and approximately 3 m above sea level and above the mangrove canopy using LI-COR 2\textpi sensors coupled with LiCor 1000 or LI-COR 1400 data loggers. PAR sensors were placed on the seafloor under the mangrove canopy and outside of the mangrove canopy at MNC and MC

---

**Table 2. Location of HOBO temperature loggers in Hurricane habitats**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Logger ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Latitude (DD)</th>
<th>Longitude (DD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1208639</td>
<td>Otter Creek mid-bay</td>
<td>18.34965</td>
<td>-64.69215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712498</td>
<td>Princess Bay mid-bay</td>
<td>18.33566</td>
<td>-64.69455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712499</td>
<td>Otter Creek mid-bay</td>
<td>18.35160</td>
<td>-64.69240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712501</td>
<td>Water Creek mid-bay</td>
<td>18.34777</td>
<td>-64.69076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712501</td>
<td>Water Creek mid-bay</td>
<td>18.34773</td>
<td>-64.69072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712503</td>
<td>Water Creek inner-bay</td>
<td>18.34963</td>
<td>-64.68951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712511</td>
<td>Otter Creek inner-bay</td>
<td>18.35119</td>
<td>-64.69111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712522</td>
<td>Otter Creek outer-bay</td>
<td>18.34950</td>
<td>-64.69243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712524</td>
<td>Otter Creek inner-bay</td>
<td>18.35008</td>
<td>-64.69069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712525</td>
<td>Water Creek mid-bay</td>
<td>18.34850</td>
<td>-64.69146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712534</td>
<td>Water Creek inner-bay</td>
<td>18.35066</td>
<td>-64.68871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712537</td>
<td>Water Creek outer-bay</td>
<td>18.34596</td>
<td>-64.69165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712538</td>
<td>Princess Bay outer-bay</td>
<td>18.35328</td>
<td>-64.69333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712540</td>
<td>Otter Creek inner-bay</td>
<td>18.35107</td>
<td>-64.69013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712541</td>
<td>Water Creek mid-bay</td>
<td>18.34890</td>
<td>-64.69048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712545</td>
<td>Princess Bay inner-bay</td>
<td>18.35615</td>
<td>-64.69069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712546</td>
<td>Water Creek mid-bay</td>
<td>18.34755</td>
<td>-64.68956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712547</td>
<td>Otter Creek inner-bay</td>
<td>18.35198</td>
<td>-64.69169</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Grain size of surface sediments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample location</th>
<th>% Gravel</th>
<th>% Sand</th>
<th>% Silt</th>
<th>% Clay</th>
<th>% Mud</th>
<th>Mean Φ</th>
<th>% Carbonate</th>
<th>% TOM</th>
<th>% Insoluble residue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STJ5</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STJ7</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STJ8</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STJ10</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STJ11</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>79.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STJ12</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STJ13</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STJ14</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STJ15</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>85.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 $\Phi = -\log_2$ of the grain diameter in mm, 2 total organic matter from loss on ignition. See Fig. 1 for sample locations.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Heterogeneity of the physical environment

Heterogeneity in the physical environment provides for semi-isolation of coastal water masses from open ocean water enabling local differentiation of physical, chemical, and biological attributes of coastal ecosystems. The potential for a refuge to sustain characteristics that promote climate change resiliency is, in part, dependent upon the ability of the system to remain out of equilibrium with open ocean chemical conditions.

The bays in Hurricane Hole range in area from 0.06 to 0.11 km², have small watersheds ranging in size from 0.11 to 0.43 km², are surrounded by steeply sloped, rocky hillsides, and have a narrow (up to approximately 10 m) fringe of red mangroves (*Rhizophora mangle*). Bedrock is exposed as cliffs and outcrops along the coast and consists of igneous and metamorphic rocks including Cretaceous basalt, andesite, and keratophyre (Rankin, 1998). Carbonate sediments on St. John are in situ marine biogenic carbonates. There is no permanent source of freshwater inflow to any of the bays, nutrient concentrations are low, water clarity is generally high, and tidal range is low (< 0.25 m). The inner areas of the bays are very shallow, less than 2 m, and well protected from high winds and seas. The mangrove fringe is thickest in the inner bays. Prop roots support abundant communities of reef and mangrove organisms including crustose coralline algae, and are surrounded by communities of intermediate density seagrass (*Thalassia testudinum*) and calcareous algae including *Halimeda* spp. and *Penicillus* spp. Very few corals are growing near inner-bay mangroves. Grain size analyses showed that sediments in the inner bays are primarily fine-grained ranging from 16 to 65 % mud-sized fractions (Table 3).

Mid- to outer-bay areas are characterized by narrower mangrove fringes and shallow (< 1 m) water depths immediately adjacent to the shoreline that slopes steeply to 5 to 10 m just beyond the mangrove canopy. The mangrove prop roots support sponges, crustose coralline algae, numerous scleractinian corals and other organisms (Fig. 2). The outer bays are infrequently exposed to storm waves that remove fine-grained sediments, and sediments consist primarily of 69 to 96 % sand and gravel with occasional occurrences of large boulders (Table 3). Corals are growing attached directly to prop roots and to hard substrates under roots. Near the entrance to the bays, there are rock outcrops with few or no mangroves nearby. These sites have primarily hard bottom substrate with small pockets of coarse-grained sediments, corals growing directly on the hard bottom, very sparse occurrences of calcareous algae, and little seagrass growing nearby.

![Figure 2. Corals growing under a mangrove canopy and attached to mangrove prop roots including a colony of *Colpophyllia natans* (center of photo).](image-url)


3.2 Chemical heterogeneity

Heterogeneity in the physical environment and benthic community structure creates chemical micro-climates that can affect adjacent habitats. Variations in chemical trends were observed at the sub-kilometer scale in each bay and in association with specific habitat types (Fig. 3). MNC sites showed the lowest DO, pH$_T$, and $\Omega_A$ (with the exception of Otter Creek in November 2010) and highest $p$CO$_2$, $A_T$, and $C_T$. Salinity and $A_T$ showed the highest range in Water Creek and Princess Bay during Tropical Storm Tomás in November 2010. These bays have larger watersheds than Otter Creek and are more heavily influenced by terrestrial runoff during rain events. MC and ROC sites showed higher DO, pH$_T$, and $\Omega_A$, and lower $p$CO$_2$, $A_T$, and $C_T$ than MNC sites. Diurnal variability was also observed at each study site. Lowest $\Omega_A$, pH$_T$, temperature and DO, and highest $C_T$, $p$CO$_2$, and $T_A$ generally occurred in early morning and were reflected in our 07:00 measurements (see http://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.825752). Vertical profile data showed water column heterogeneity with considerable differences in $\Omega_A$ ($\Delta 0.34$), pH ($\Delta 0.04$), $p$CO$_2$ ($\Delta 40$ µatm), TA ($\Delta 45.3$ µmol kg$^{-1}$), and $C_T$ ($\Delta 20.9$ µmol kg$^{-1}$) between surface and bottom water (Fig. 4, Table 4), indicating that sur-
face water measurements do not reflect bottom water conditions. Average total nitrate and phosphate values (data at http://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.825752) were near the limits of resolution for $A_T$ measurements and, therefore, were not included in calculation of carbonate system parameters from $A_T$ and pH$_T$ measurements.

Calcification/dissolution thresholds are the levels of ΩA below which (and pCO$_2$ levels above which) net dissolution of carbonate sediments exceeds calcification rates as determined by in situ, mesocosm, and modeling studies of coral reef ecosystems. Aragonite saturation states fell considerably lower than threshold ranges of 3.0 to 3.2 and/or pCO$_2$ exceeded thresholds of 504 to 584 µatm (Langdon et al., 2003; Silverman et al., 2009; Yamamoto et al., 2012; Yates and Halley, 2006) at all MNC study sites in Princess Bay and Water Creek during November 2010 and July 2011 and 2012. Thresholds at the Otter Creek MNC site were exceeded only during July 2011. Aragonite saturation states at all MC and ROC sites remained above threshold levels except in Water Creek and Princess Bay during the November 2010 tropical storm; pCO$_2$ values were considerably lower at all MC and ROC sites than at MNC sites (Fig. 3g and h).

Long Bay reef data were collected only at 07:00 and 11:00 AST (Fig. 3, Table 5). Therefore, only minimum ΩA, pH, temperature and DO, and maximum TA, CT, and pCO$_2$ values are comparable to Hurricane Hole data. Median data for reef parameters may be biased toward lower or higher values because they do not reflect full diurnal cycle conditions; comparison of reef data to Hurricane Hole excludes November 2010 data that reflect tropical storm conditions. Minimum reef ΩA (2.93) was considerably lower than any other coral site in Hurricane Hole and lower than the reported range of dissolution thresholds. The lowest ΩA (3.18) at Hurricane Hole coral sites occurred in Otter Creek during July 2011, and it was the only coral site that showed an ΩA within the carbonate dissolution threshold range. All median and maximum values from Hurricane Hole coral sites in July 2011 and July 2012 were above threshold ranges, and maximum values reached 4.2. Minimum pH at the reef was 7.894, and was lower than the lowest pH (7.950, also in Otter Creek during July 2011) recorded for any coral site in Hurricane Hole. Maximum pCO$_2$ values at the reef (626 µatm) were higher than any coral site in Hurricane Hole (maximum = 550 µatm in Water Creek, July 2011) and were above the reported dissolution threshold range. Our data indicate that ΩA was not consistently elevated at mangrove coral sites relative to the reef. However, minimum ΩA at mangrove coral sites was not as low as reef values, and, in most locations, remained above thresholds for carbonate dissolution unlike reef values.

X-ray diffraction analyses of sediments in Hurricane Hole indicated all MNC sites have the lowest percentages of carbonate sediments (12 to 55 %) and no high-magnesium calcite (high-Mg calcite, the most soluble phase of calcium carbonate) in two of the three bays (Princess Bay and Water Creek) despite the presence of crustose coralline algae that produce high-Mg calcite (Tables 3 and 6). Percent total organic matter (TOM) was less than 12 % throughout the bays, but higher at inner- and mid-bay sites than outer-bay sites (Table 3). Low pH$_T$ and high pCO$_2$ conditions generated by respiration and oxidation of organic matter at MNC sites create a chemical environment that is conducive to dissolution of fine-grained sediments produced by calcareous green algae and coralline algae associated with these mangrove communities. Highest percentages of carbonate sediments (70 to 97 %) and high-Mg calcite was found at all MC and ROC sites. The only MNC site with high-Mg calcite was in Otter Creek. Our results suggest that dissolution of fine-grained carbonate sediments occurs in MNC areas with frequent exposure to pH$_T$ below 7.93 to 7.95, and coral growth is limited to areas with minimum pH$_T$ above 7.93 (Fig. 3d).

### 3.3 Process heterogeneity

Process heterogeneity resulting from spatial variations in community structure and hydrographic conditions is a key factor in maintaining disequilibrium between coastal and open ocean water masses. Correlation of nA$_T$ and nC$_T$ can be used to indicate the potential influence of calcification, carbonate sediment dissolution, photosynthesis, and respiration on seawater carbonate chemistry (Suzuki and Kawahata, 2003). A linear regression slope approaching 2 indicates calcification and dissolution are dominant processes, and the slope can be used to calculate the ratio of net ecosystem calcification (NEC) to net community production (NCP). MNC sites generally showed a greater range of nA$_T$ and nC$_T$ and a greater influence from respiration and carbonate dissolution than MC and ROC sites (Fig. 5). This result is consistent with low pH$_T$, high pCO$_2$, low mineral saturation state, and lower percentages of carbonate sediments characteristic of MNC sites. Eighteen of twenty-seven sites showed a correlation between nA$_T$ and nC$_T$ with $r^2$ greater than 0.5 (Table 7). NEC : NCP for these sites showed a wide range between 0.14 and 12.1 (Table 7) reflecting the strong effect of variation in community structure on these processes.

Lagrangian drifter studies conducted during August 2011 indicated that surface currents within the bays move primarily from the inner to outer bay due to prevailing easterly winds (McKenzie, 2012). Estimated water mass residence times are long (days) (McKenzie, 2012), which, combined with shallow water depths, increases the impact of NEC and NCP on seawater chemistry (Anthony et al., 2011). Carbonate sediment dissolution (a process that consumes CO$_2$ and generates HCO$_3^-$ and Ca$^{2+}$) at upstream, inner-bay MNC sites may provide a source of alkalinity to downstream coral sites that helps maintain mineral saturation states and supports coral calcification. This combined effect of heterogeneity in community structure, hydrography, and biologically driven changes in seawater chemistry has been demonstrated as an effective means to buffer decreases in mineral
Figure 4. Vertical profile data of seawater chemical parameters collected at 07:00 on 19 July 2011 over coral habitat at 6.5 m water depth. Vertical axis is depth below sea surface. Also refer to Table 4.
Table 4. Vertical profile data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Sal. (psu)</th>
<th>DO (mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>pH₅</th>
<th>T_A (µmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>C_T (µmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>pCO₂ (µatm)</th>
<th>Ω_A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>8.043</td>
<td>2368</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>3.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>8.025</td>
<td>2336</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>8.012</td>
<td>2322</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>8.004</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vertical profile data were collected between 07:00 and 07:07 on 19 July 2011 near the mouth of Otter Creek at study site number STJ17 (Fig. 1). Also refer to Fig. 4.

Table 5. Long bay reef data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time (AST)</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Sal. (psu)</th>
<th>DO (mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>pH₅</th>
<th>T_A (µmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>C_T (µmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>pCO₂ (µatm)</th>
<th>Ω_A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16 Jul 2004</td>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.996</td>
<td>2267</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18 Aug 2004</td>
<td>07:00</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>7.925</td>
<td>2283</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>19 Aug 2004</td>
<td>07:00</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.955</td>
<td>2309</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>16 Jul 2004</td>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>8.031</td>
<td>2288</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>17 Jul 2004</td>
<td>07:00</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7.943</td>
<td>2286</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18 Aug 2004</td>
<td>07:00</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>7.937</td>
<td>2279</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>19 Aug 2004</td>
<td>07:00</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>7.894</td>
<td>2282</td>
<td>2033</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20 Jul 2004</td>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>8.006</td>
<td>2269</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22 Aug 2004</td>
<td>07:00</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>7.950</td>
<td>2275</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22 Aug 2004</td>
<td>07:00</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>7.936</td>
<td>2269</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Long Bay reef data were collected from four locations at 07:00 or 11:00 AST between 16 July and 22 August 2004. ND = no data. Latitude and longitude for reefs sites are as follows: site 1 = 18.337972, -64.675944; 2 = 18.337944, 64.676028; 3 = 18.337833, -64.675861; 4 = 18.337806, 64.675917 (refer to Fig. 1 for reef location and to Fig. 3).

3.4 Biological responses

The presence of decades-old coral colonies in these mangrove-lined bays suggests adaptation to higher water temperatures and more resistance to and rapid recovery from bleaching events such as the one that was followed by severe mortality from disease in 2005 on the island’s coral reefs. Coral surveys indicate at least 33 species of scleractinian corals are growing in Hurricane Hole Bays (Table 1). Many coral colonies are growing directly on or close to mangrove prop roots, and others grow on rocks or hard substrate close to shore to a depth of approximately 6 m. Bleaching was observed on St. John’s coral reefs (NPS, 2012) and in Hurricane Hole in 2010. Initial surveys of bleached and unbleached corals from November 2010 to January 2011 indicate that only 5 and 2 % of C. natans colonies were bleached in Otter Creek and Water Creek, respectively, while 58.9 and 17.4 % of D. labyrinthiformis colonies were bleached in Otter and Water creeks, respectively. We chose these two coral species as the focus of our observations because they were the most abundant major reef-building species in Hurricane Hole, they are thriving there despite a decline in relative and absolute abundance of both species on St. John reefs during the 2005/2006 bleaching and disease event (Whelan et al. 2007, Miller et al. 2009), and both species typically occur in exposed as opposed to shaded locations.

Re-examination of a subset of Otter Creek colonies in spring 2011 showed general recovery from bleaching but some mortality. Fisher’s exact test results indicated that different proportions of C. natans (72.5 % shaded, 27.5 % unshaded) and D. labyrinthiformis (18.2 % shaded, 81.8 % unshaded) were found in shaded versus unshaded conditions (p < 0.0001) and had different levels of initial bleaching (p < 0.0001, C. natans: no shaded colonies bleached, 10.5 % of unshaded colonies bleached; D. labyrinthiformis: 34.2 % of shaded colonies bleached, 66.1 % of unshaded colonies bleached). Different mortality outcomes were also observed combining partial and total mortality (p = 0.0327) (Fig. 6a and b, Table 8). There was no mortality of shaded C. natans colonies and 10.5 % mortality in unshaded colonies. D. labyrinthiformis showed 10.5 % mortality in shaded and
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12.3% mortality in unshaded colonies, respectively. More *C. natans* colonies grew in the shade than *D. labyrinthiformis* colonies. The fact that *C. natans* occurred statistically more often in the shade and that few of these colonies bleached supports the role of shading in providing refuge conditions. Although more unshaded *D. labyrinthiformis* colonies bleached and bleaching of these was more severe, shaded colonies were not more likely to recover normal pigmentation. For this species, shading affected the proportion of colonies that initially bleached (*p < 0.0001*), but not the outcome (*p = 0.7582*). Although more unshaded colonies of *D. labyrinthiformis* bleached, the mortality outcome for shaded and unshaded colonies was similar (*p = 0.7508*). Overall, recovery from bleaching was high, with little mortality. Only two *C. natans* colonies bleached. Both had partial mortality and none of the initially unbleached corals showed any mortality (*p < 0.0001*). Data in Table 8 were combined for both coral species into four categories and used to calculate log-odds ratios: (1) shaded, bleached; (2) shaded, unbleached; (3) unshaded, bleached; and (4) unshaded, unbleached (Table 8). Results indicate that the probability of unshaded corals bleaching relative to shaded corals is 8.84 at a 95% confidence interval.

All major Caribbean reef-building species, with the exception of the acroporids, were observed in Hurricane Hole. Over 40 species of scleractinian corals and 3 species of Millepora occur in the US Virgin Islands (Rogers et al., 2008), and 33 have been seen in the mangroves. A rigorous quantitative comparison of the relative and absolute abundance of all the species of corals in the mangroves versus on the reefs around St. John is not possible primarily because so many of the corals are inaccessible growing in shallow water in the prop roots, and standard monitoring methods cannot be used. However, one can estimate the relative abundance of some of the coral species in the mangroves and on the reefs qualitatively based on direct observations and photographs.

Data from the National Park Service (Atkinson and Miller, 2014) show species in the genus *Orricella* consistently have had the highest cover on St. John’s reefs. This *Orricella* group is not as abundant in the mangroves as the two major reef-building species that we focused on in our research. The most common species in the mangroves are *Colophyllia natans*, *Diploria labyrinthiformis*, *Orricella* spp., *Porites* spp., and *Agaricia* spp. *Colophyllia natans* and *Diploria labyrinthiformis*, the subject of the observations on bleaching and recovery reported here, have a higher relative and absolute abundance in some portions of Hurricane Hole than on the island’s coral reefs based on data on coral cover. Furthermore, these two species declined in relative and absolute abundance on the reefs as a result of bleaching and disease in 2005/2006. Many other species in the mangroves have an intermediate abundance. Two coral species (*Mycetophyllia* and *Scolymia*), represented by only a few individuals in the mangroves, are more often found in deeper water (greater than 10 m) and are presumably able to survive because of shading by the mangroves.

PAR measurements made above the sea surface and at the seafloor at MC sites and ROC sites of similar depth during November 2010, July 2011, and July 2012 indicate that PAR was attenuated during peak daylight hours of 10:00 to 14:00 by 88.6 ± 5.3 %, 70.7 ± 4.8 %, and 72.0 ± 1.7 % (Fig. 7), respectively, where corals grow shaded by the mangroves at MC sites. In addition to shading by mangrove trees, colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) in the water near mangroves, especially where there is frequent freshwater input, could also attenuate harmful visible and ultraviolet radiation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample location</th>
<th>Carbonate mineralogy</th>
<th>Sample location</th>
<th>Carbonate mineralogy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample location</td>
<td>% Aragonite</td>
<td>% Mg-calcite</td>
<td>% Calcite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STJ5</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>37.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STJ7</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STJ8</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STJ10</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STJ11</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>34.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STJ12</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STJ13</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STJ14</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STJ15</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and reduce the photo-oxidative stress on corals (Fitt and Warner, 1995, Shick et al., 1996, Zepp et al., 2008, Ayoub et al., 2012). It is unlikely that CDOM is providing much additional shading in Hurricane Hole, but it could be a contributing factor. The water clarity is generally very high, particularly in shallow water near the mangrove prop roots at our study sites. PAR data in Fig. 7 shows that PAR at the rock outcrops which are very close to the mangroves is not considerably attenuated relative to PAR measured in-air indicating that CDOM is likely not contributing much to the attenuation of solar radiation.

Comparison of temperature records in the shallow waters of Hurricane Hole to coral reefs around St. John (Miller et al., 2009; National Park Service, 2012) indicate that corals growing in Hurricane Hole are exposed to higher (0 ± 0.5 °C on average) and more variable temperatures than coral reefs around the island (Fig. 8). Low bleaching and mortality have been observed for corals growing on nearshore reefs of Palau that have constant exposure to high temperatures, more variable temperatures, and high vertical attenuation of light caused by suspended particulate matter (van Woekik et al., 2012). Experiments with corals from a shallow lagoon in American Samoa suggested that thermal tolerance

Figure 5. Salinity-normalized total alkalinity ($nA_T$) and total carbon ($nC_T$) plots with best-fit linear regressions (see Table 7 for equations and $r^2$ values) for November 2010, July 2011, and July 2012 in Otter Creek, Water Creek, and Princess Bay. Average $nA_T$ and $nC_T$ for each time period was calculated from all data collected from each site within each bay. Gray lines represent the theoretical impact of calcification (G), carbonate sediment dissolution (D), photosynthesis (P), respiration (R), CO$_2$ uptake (CU), and CO$_2$ release (CR) on $A_T$ and $C_T$. 
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Table 8. Condition of colonies of *Diploria labyrinthiformis* and *Colpophyllia natans* during 2010–2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colony condition</th>
<th><em>D. labyrinthiformis</em></th>
<th><em>D. labyrinthiformis</em></th>
<th><em>C. natans</em></th>
<th><em>C. natans</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of colonies</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleached to dead</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleached to partial mortality</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleached to unbleached</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unbleached to dead</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unbleached to partial mortality</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unbleached to unbleached</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary**

Shaded | Unshaded
---|---
Bleached | 13 | 115
Unbleached | 75 | 75

Bleached-shaded and -unshaded, and unbleached-shaded and -unshaded data were combined for both species and used for log-odds ratio statistics.

4 Alternative refuges and resiliency factors

As reefs decline worldwide and sea level continues to rise, alternative shallow-water refuges like mangrove–coral habitats may be critical for insuring the survival of coral species. Few refuges have been identified to date. Potential refuges from thermal and irradiance stress have been suggested based on modeling (e.g., Karnauskas and Cohen, 2012; Fine et al., 2013) and field research (van Woesik et al., 2012). In Palau, corals exhibited less bleaching and mortality in bays where the highest temperatures were recorded, because of attenuation of light (shading) by suspended particulate matter (van Woesik et al., 2012). Cloud cover substantially reduced bleaching in the Society Islands in 1998, showing the role of increased solar irradiance in producing thermal stress in corals (Mumby et al., 2001). Based on modeling, Fine et al. (2013) suggest that the Gulf of Aqaba (Red Sea), where corals have very high bleaching thresholds, functions as a refuge because of its particular geographic configuration, with a thermal barrier at its southermost end selecting more resistant coral genotypes. Glynn (1996) proposed that reefs near cool, upwelled waters might serve as refuges. Karnauskas and Cohen (2012) used models to show that warming around a limited number of Pacific island reefs might be ameliorated by enhanced topographic upwelling accompanying a strengthening of the equatorial undercurrent. Riegl and Piller (2003) found some evidence of the role of upwelling in mitigating thermal stress in the Bahamas and South Africa. However, Chollett et al. (2010) showed that upwelling does not ensure that nearby reefs will act as refuges.

Glynn (1996) also suggested that reefs far from detrimental human activities might serve as refuges. However, several remote reefs that Riegl and Piller (2003) examined in the Caribbean had sustained significant loss of coral from bleaching and disease. The potential for deep reefs (often defined as 30 m and deeper) to serve as refuges for corals...
Figure 7. Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) measurements at study sites in Otter Creek (a, b, and c) and Water Creek (d and e). Measurements represent 15 min averages. STJ numerals indicate PAR sensor locations as referenced in Fig. 1. Data available at http://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.825752.
and sources of replenishing larvae for shallower reef zones may be limited (Bongaerts et al., 2010). Deep (and moderately deep) reefs are not immune to major stressors including bleaching, disease (e.g. Riegl and Piller, 2003; Menza et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2010), and ocean acidification. Many coral species do not grow over an entire depth gradient, many do not broadcast (spawn) larvae, and deep corals may not, therefore, provide larvae that can settle and survive in shallow waters. Recent studies indicate that some corals living on reefs downstream from seagrass beds and macroalgal communities where photosynthesis elevates $\Omega_A$ may be protected from ocean acidification (Manzello et al., 2012; Kleypas et al., 2011; Semesi et al., 2009a and b). However, many of those reefs may not be protected from thermal stress. Identification and protection of natural, alternative, shallow-water refuges is essential as one of the few viable management strategies for sustaining coral and other reef species, and it provides one of the only direct actions that can be taken locally to manage climate change impacts (Salm et al., 2006).

The unlikely association of corals with mangroves in many coastal ecosystems due to unsuitable growth conditions has dissuaded previous consideration of these habitats as potential coral refuges. Many mainland mangrove habitats especially those with large watersheds and high rates of freshwater runoff are not likely candidates for coral refuges. Despite the fact that St. John is exposed to episodic storm events with high rainfall that can briefly lower salinity (for example as shown in our November 2010 data), corals are thriving in the bays of Hurricane Hole and have been for decades, partially because the island has no perennial streams. Study sites in Princess Bay showed lower coral diversity and abundance than in Otter and Water creeks perhaps because it receives more freshwater runoff due to a larger watershed size. However, data are too few to quantify physical constraints on topographic boundaries that limit refuge potential for these coastal habitats. Numerous small tropical islands worldwide have physical characteristics similar to the US Virgin Islands (for example where freshwater input is limited due to small watersheds and no permanent sources of freshwater inflow) and the potential for suitable mangrove–coral refuge conditions. Our findings identify the first naturally existing, alternative refuge from climate change for reef-building corals and provide baseline resiliency factors to assist in identifying and characterizing mangrove–coral habitats and other alternative refuges around the world. Mangrove–coral habitats provide evidence of ecosystem transition that may be a response to pressures from unprecedented rates of recent climate change. Evidence of onshore migrations of coral in response to past changes in sea level rise is well documented in the geologic record (e.g. Hopple, 1983; Neumann and Macintyre, 1985). However, evidence for co-location of live corals and mangroves is not. These habitats may serve as an example of a novel coastal ecosystem (Graham et al., 2014) resulting from differential species responses, and provide insights into future evolution of tropical coastal ecosystems with increasing sea level rise, thermal stress, and ocean acidification.

We consider the St. John mangrove habitat as a refuge for corals because it provides relief from thermal and photo-oxidative stress through shading by mangroves and variability of seawater temperatures, and because biological and sedimentological processes buffer declines of pH and carbonate mineral saturation states that can impede growth of calcifying organisms. Our study showed that two major reef builders exhibited different responses to elevated temperatures. High diversity and variable response of reef organisms to climate change increases the likelihood that at least some species will be able to persist in locations with particular environmental conditions in the face of changing climate (Rogers, 2013). Coral diversity within the mangroves could help make these systems more resilient to future stresses. Exposure to warmer and more variable conditions in the mangroves may also facilitate adaptation of these corals to higher temperatures and may enhance resiliency for future expansion under changing environmental conditions (van Woesik et al., 2012; Palumbi et al., 2014; Oliver and Palumbi, 2011). Carbonate mineral saturation states and pH on a nearby reef were lower than in the mangrove coral habitats. Furthermore, $\Omega_A$ and $\rho_{CO_2}$ on the reef surpassed critical carbonate dissolution threshold ranges while those in mangrove coral habitats generally did not. We suggest that the ability of a refuge environment to consistently buffer declines in pH and carbonate saturation state (as opposed to periodically elevating them) to keep them from surpassing critical thresholds relative to reef environments is the most important factor for providing relief from ocean acidification. The physicochemical conditions and benthic heterogeneity in these mangrove–coral habitats showed the potential to buffer against ocean acidification like the seagrass/coralline algae/coral assemblages.
of Tanzania and Malaysia (Kleypas et al., 2011; Semesi et al., 2009a, b). Key resiliency factors for mangrove–coral habitats include (1) high diversity and variable response of coral species to climate change stressors, (2) heterogeneity of benthic community composition, processes, and proximity of different habitat types, (3) hydrographic conditions that amplify biogeochemical effects on seawater chemistry and promote chemical characteristics that support coral growth, and (4) exposure to variable water temperatures and physical shading of corals from solar radiation (Table 9). The appropriate combination of all of these factors for creating refuge conditions is not generally characteristic of most coral reef environments. Thus, only a few reef systems that protect against increased temperatures, solar radiation, or ocean acidification have been identified, and none have been identified that protect from all three stressors like the mangrove–coral transition from mangrove/seagrass/algae to mangrove/coral habitat. Therefore, only a few reef systems that promote chemical characteristics that support coral growth, and are appropriate for creating refuge conditions for the US Virgin Islands (Table 9). The research was funded by the Park-Oriented Biological Support Program of the US Geological Survey and National Park Service, and by the Southeast Ecological Science Center and the Coastal and Marine Geology Program of the US Geological Survey. We would like to thank Nasseer Idrisi and Sophia McKenzie for collection and analysis of hydrographic data. Our gratitude goes to Chelsea Bliss and Rich Young for total alkalinity and total carbon measurements. We would like to thank Hannah Yates for assisting with collection of seawater samples and chemical parameter measurements, and Casey Evans and Miranda Bona for assisting with coral surveys. Thanks to Andrea Atkinson with the National Park Service and John Lisle with the US Geological Survey for statistical analysis of the coral data. We would like to thank Robin Clair of Estate Zootenvaal for logistical support and Candace Oviatt for early comments on the manuscript. We appreciate insightful comments from two anonymous reviewers that greatly improved our manuscript.
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Table 9. Resiliency factors for mangrove–coral refuges.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resiliency factor</th>
<th>Local condition at mangrove–coral sites in St. John, US Virgin Islands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diversity and response of organisms</td>
<td>More than 30 coral species identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- High diversity of coral species</td>
<td>Preferential growth of <em>C. natans</em> in shaded areas, more bleaching of exposed than shaded <em>D. labyrinthiformis</em> colonies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Variable response of organisms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneity and habitat proximity</td>
<td>Collocation of corals and mangroves, upstream to downstream transition from mangrove/seagrass/algae to mangrove/coral habitat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Community heterogeneity</td>
<td>Prop roots, hard bottom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Available substrate for coral settlement</td>
<td>Average NEC : NCP of 0.5 to 0.9 at mangrove–coral (MC) sites and upstream mangrove, calcareous algae, seagrass communities (MNC), respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Low NEC : NCP ratios at upstream or coral locations and proximity to coral growth sites</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrographic and chemical conditions</td>
<td>No permanent or frequent freshwater inflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Limited or no influence from permanent freshwater inflow</td>
<td>Days (McKenzie, 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Long water mass residence times</td>
<td>Periodic influence from storm waves that prevents settlement of fine-grained sediments, low TOM (&lt; 12 %), &gt; 69 % sand and gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Hydrographic conditions that maintain substrate appropriate for coral settlement and growth</td>
<td>Minimum pH 7.93, maximum $\rho$CO$_2$ 550 µatm, minimum $\Omega_A$ 2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Seawater chemistry conducive to coral growth</td>
<td>Average temperature $0.5 \pm 0.5 ^\circ$C higher than reef tract temperatures (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Mangrove canopy attenuates &gt; 70 % of incident photosynthetically active radiation (PAR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Consistent exposure of corals to higher, more variable temperatures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Physical shading from solar radiation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Miller, J., Muller, E., Rogers, C., Waara, R., Atkinson, A., Whelan, K. R. T., Patterson, M., and Witcher, B.: Coral disease following
massive bleaching in 2005 causes 60% decline in coral cover on reefs in the US Virgin Islands, Coral Reefs, 28, 925–937, 2009.