Vertical activity distribution of dissimilatory nitrate reduction in coastal marine sediments
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Abstract. The relative importance of two dissimilatory nitrate reduction pathways, denitrification (DEN) and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA), was investigated in intact sediment cores from five different coastal marine field sites (Dorum, Aarhus Bight, Mississippi Delta, Limfjord and Janssand). The vertical distribution of DEN activity was examined using the acetylene inhibition technique combined with N₂O microsensor measurements, whereas NH⁴⁺ production via DNRA was measured with a recently developed gel probe-stable isotope technique. At all field sites, dissimilatory nitrate reduction was clearly dominated by DEN (59–131 % of the total NO⁻³ reduced) rather than by DNRA, irrespective of the sedimentary inventories of electron donors such as organic carbon, sulfide, and iron. Highest ammonium production via DNRA was measured at a site with very high concentrations of total sulfide and NH⁴⁺ within and below the layer in which NO⁻³ reduction occurred. Sediment from two field sites, one with low and one with high DNRA activity in the core incubations, was also used for slurry incubations. Now, in both sediments high DNRA activity was detected accounting for 37–77 % of the total NO⁻³ reduced. These contradictory results might be explained by enhanced NO⁻³ availability for DNRA bacteria in the sediment slurries compared to the core-incubated sediments in which diffusion of NO⁻³ from the water column may only reach DEN bacteria, but not DNRA bacteria. The true partitioning of dissimilatory nitrate reduction between DNRA and DEN may thus lie in between the values found in whole core (underestimation of DNRA) and slurry incubations (overestimation of DNRA).

1 Introduction

The balance between retention and loss of fixed nitrogen, especially NO⁻³, in coastal marine ecosystems is crucial as it defines the degree of eutrophication in these environments (Burgin and Hamilton, 2007; Herbert, 1999; King and Nedswell, 1985). Sediments play a key role in the biological turnover of fixed nitrogen in shallow aquatic environments by hosting microbially mediated processes such as nitrification, anaerobic ammonia oxidation (anammox), and denitrification (Thamdrup and Dalsgaard, 2008). Anammox and denitrification convert fixed nitrogen into dinitrogen that can leave the ecosystem and thus these two processes contribute to fixed nitrogen removal. The relative contribution of anammox to fixed nitrogen removal is, however, particularly low in very shallow coastal marine sediments (Dalsgaard et al., 2005; Thamdrup, 2012). A third anaerobic process involved in fixed nitrogen conversion is dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA). Ammonium produced via DNRA is recycled either within the sediment or in the water column into which it diffuses and hence DNRA may sustain coastal eutrophication. In the anoxic layer of marine sediments, denitrification (DEN) and DNRA directly compete for NO⁻³ as an electron acceptor and for organic carbon, sulfide, and others as electron donors. The outcome of this competition determines whether marine sediments act as source or sink of fixed nitrogen, which has impacts for the trophic status of the whole ecosystem.

While denitrification is a well studied pathway and known as an important sink for NO⁻³ in marine sediments (Herbert, 1999; Seitzinger, 1988), the environmental importance of DNRA is less well known. Lately, however, reports on
high DNRA rates in various aquatic environments are accumulating. Estuaries (An and Gardner, 2002; Kelly-Gerreyn et al., 2001), aquaculture systems (Christensen et al., 2000; Gilbert et al., 1997; Nizzoli et al., 2006), a salt marsh (Koop-Jakobsen and Giblin, 2010), and freshwater sediment (Brunet and GarciaGil, 1996) have been identified as sites where DNRA plays a significant role in the nitrogen budget. Environmental conditions often regarded as controlling factors of the competition between DEN and DNRA include the carbon-to-nitrate ratio (e.g., Herbert, 1999; Kelso et al., 1999; Strohm et al., 2007; Tiedje et al., 1982; Yin et al., 2002), sulfide (e.g., An and Gardner, 2002; Brunet and GarciaGil, 1996), iron (Edwards et al., 2007; Lovley et al., 2004; Weber et al., 2006b), and temperature (Dong et al., 2011; Jørgensen, 1989; Ogilvie et al., 1997). Specifically, high relative contributions of DNRA to total dissimilatory nitrate reduction have been ascribed to high carbon-to-nitrate ratios, high sulfide and reduced iron concentrations, and high temperatures.

Studies on the identification of these possible controlling factors have mostly used slurry incubations of sediment (Bonin et al., 1998; Fernandes et al., 2012; Lansdown et al., 2012), whole sediment core incubations with a final destructive sampling of the upper sediment layers (Christensen et al., 2000; Dong et al., 2009; Dunn et al., 2012), or whole sediment core incubations in which only the in- and outflow of the water column were analysed (Gardner and McCarthy, 2009; Gardner et al., 2006; Smyth et al., 2013). The major limitation of these approaches is that the controlling factors are not studied directly in the intact nitrate-reducing sediment layer. In slurry incubations, all in situ gradients are destroyed and the conditions formerly established in the nitrate-reducing and the neighbouring sediment layers are blended. Furthermore, rates determined in slurries often overestimate the in situ rates (Christensen et al., 2000; Revsbech et al., 2006). Whole core incubations have the advantage that the biological and chemical stratification of the sediment stays intact during the incubation (but not necessarily during experimental sampling). The distinct investigation of the nitrate-reducing sediment layer, however, is not targeted by this method, neither in terms of nitrogen conversions, nor in terms of the controlling factors. We therefore investigated sediment cores with intact biological and chemical stratification during experimental incubation and sampling with respect to the vertical distribution of DEN and DNRA activities and the hypothesized controlling factors in the sediment. Coastal marine sediments were sampled at five field sites that differed in several environmental and sediment parameters and were analysed in the laboratory. DEN activity was measured with the acetylene blocking technique combined with N2O microsensor measurements, whereas DNRA activity was measured with a newly developed gel probe-stable isotope technique. In parallel sediment cores, the vertical distribution of possible controlling factors was analysed. For a methodical comparison, sediment from two contrasting field sites was investigated in both whole core and slurry incubations.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Sampling sites

Intact sediment cores were collected at five coastal marine sites between September 2009 and July 2011. The sampling sites were Dorum, an intertidal flat north of Bremerhaven (Germany), Station M5 in Aarhus Bight (Denmark), the Mississippi Delta near Chauvin (USA), the Hjarbæk Fjord within the Limfjord (Denmark) and the low-water line of Janssand (near sulfidic seeps), a back barrier tidal flat of Spiekeroog Island (Wadden Sea, Germany).

These sites were chosen to cover a range of sediment characteristics that might influence the rates of dissimilatory nitrate reduction pathways (e.g., organic carbon and sulfide contents). Site characteristics and sampling details are given in Table 1.

At each site, 6–10 sediment cores were taken with acrylic core liners with an inner diameter of 9 cm and a length of 20 cm. The final height of the sediment and the water column in the core liners were 15 and 5 cm, respectively. Care was taken to avoid macrofauna burrows and shell debris during coring. The sediment cores were transported to the laboratory within 1–6 h and then immediately connected to the experimental setup as described below.

For additional sediment slurry experiments, surface sediment (0–2 cm depth) was sampled from Dorum and from two sites of Janssand (i.e., from the upper sand flat and from the low-water line near a sulfidic seep) in October 2012.

2.2 Experimental setup and sampling design

Six intact sediment cores were connected to an incubation set-up, in which the overlying seawater was aerated and continuously exchanged from a reservoir (10 L) to maintain stable conditions at the sediment surface. The water level was kept constant by drawing off excess overlying water with a peristaltic pump. Seawater was prepared from Red Sea Salt (Red Sea Fish Farm, Israel) at the salinity of the respective sampling site and a pH of 8.0–8.4. The seawater was amended with NaNO3 to a final concentration of 50 µmol L−1 NO3 which was mostly higher than in situ (except for Mississippi Delta where it was lower; Table 1). The sediment cores were incubated at a constant temperature that was close to the in situ temperature at the time of sediment collection (Table 1). After starting the pumps, the overlying water of the cores reached a stable concentration of 50 µmol L−1 NO3− within 1 day, but a further incubation for 3–5 days was scheduled to allow steady-state conditions to develop inside the sediments. The NO3− concentration of the overlying water was monitored each day and corrected if necessary. Additional cores for sediment analyses were...
kept submerged in an aquarium under the same conditions as in the incubation set-up. After the pre-incubation period, the vertical distribution of DNRA and DEN activities and of physical-chemical parameters assumed to influence these two pathways were measured in whole sediment cores.

2.3 Sediment slurry incubations

In addition to the whole core incubations, slurry experiments were conducted with sediment from Dorum and Janssand (upper tidal flat vs. low-water line near a sulfidic seep). Approximately 1 g of homogenized sediment was transferred into 6 mL exetainers (7 for DEN and 7 for DNRA rate measurements) and mixed with 3 mL of anoxic 35‰ artificial seawater (Red Sea Salt, Red Sea Fish Farm, Israel). The water was amended with 50 µmol L\(^{-1}\) \(^{15}\)N atom %, Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Andover, MA, USA). Linear concentration changes over the time were used for rate calculations.

2.4 Microsensor measurements

Microsensors for O\(_2\) (Revsbech, 1989), NO\(_3\)\(^-\) (Larsen et al., 1997), H\(_2\)S (Jerchlewski et al., 1996), N\(_2\)O (Andersen et al., 2001), and pH (Schulthess et al., 1981) were constructed at the Max Planck Institute for Marine Microbiology in Bremerhaven (Germany) with a tip diameter of \(\sim 10-30\) µm for O\(_2\), H\(_2\)S and pH and \(\sim 150\) µm for NO\(_3\)\(^-\) and N\(_2\)O. The sensors were calibrated each day and checked for proper functioning after profiling sulfidic sediments. Microsensor measurements were made in the 6 sediment cores that were connected to the incubation set up. In each core 3 to 12 profiles were measured at randomly selected spots. The custom-made programmes µ-Profiler, DAQ-server, and LINPOS-server were used for measurement automation and data acquisition (see http://www.microsen-wiki.net). Vertical profiles were recorded in steps of 250 or 500 µm, starting at 3 mm above the sediment surface and ending 10–20 mm below the sediment surface.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling site</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>Ecosystem</th>
<th>Sediment texture</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Salinity (‰) *</th>
<th>NO(_3) (µmol L(^{-1})) *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorum</td>
<td>53°44'11.39&quot;N 8°30'27.22&quot;E</td>
<td>Intertidal flat</td>
<td>Sandy</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aarhus Bight</td>
<td>56°06'20&quot;N 10°27'47&quot;E</td>
<td>Coastal bay</td>
<td>Muddy</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Delta</td>
<td>29°13'33.00&quot;N 8°30'27.22&quot;W</td>
<td>River delta</td>
<td>Muddy</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limfjord</td>
<td>56°32'13.52&quot;N 9°22'12.23&quot;E</td>
<td>Shallow fjord</td>
<td>Muddy</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janssand</td>
<td>53°44'7.17&quot;N 7°41'48.90&quot;E</td>
<td>Intertidal flat</td>
<td>Sandy-to-muddy</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Salinity and NO\(_3\)\(^-\) concentration in the water column. Samples were taken between September 2009 and July 2011.
To determine the vertical distribution of DEN activity in the sediment, the acetylene inhibition technique (Sørensen, 1978) was used in three cores that were incubated over night at 10% acetylene saturation in the overlying water. Acetylene inhibits the last step of denitrification so that N\textsubscript{2}O becomes the end product which accumulates in the sediment and can be measured with an N\textsubscript{2}O microsensor. Acetylene also inhibits anammox (Jensen et al., 2007), but this does not affect the denitrification-derived N\textsubscript{2}O production because anammox does not produce significant amounts of N\textsubscript{2}O. Additionally, acetylene inhibits nitrification (Berg et al., 1982), but since NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} was supplied at a relatively high concentration via the water column, decreases in denitrification-derived N\textsubscript{2}O production due to inhibited nitrification activity were not to be expected. Thus, the acetylene inhibition technique as used here exclusively quantifies denitrification of NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} supplied via the water column.

As a measure of DEN activity, the N\textsubscript{2}O flux (J) between the layer of N\textsubscript{2}O production (which coincides with the layer of NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} consumption) and the sediment surface was calculated from the upper linear N\textsubscript{2}O concentration gradient using Fick’s law of diffusion:

\[
J = -D_s \cdot \frac{\Delta C}{\Delta x},
\]

(1)

with \(D_s\) as the sedimentary diffusion coefficient of N\textsubscript{2}O and \(\Delta C/\Delta x\) as the linear N\textsubscript{2}O concentration gradient. \(D_s\) was calculated from the diffusion coefficient in water (\(D_w\)) and the porosity (\(\phi\)) of the respective sediment as

\[
D_s = D_w \cdot \phi/[1 - \ln(\phi^2)]
\]

(Boudreau, 1996). For N\textsubscript{2}O, \(D_w\) was taken as \(1.8 \times 10^{-5}\), \(2.07 \times 10^{-5}\) and \(2.4 \times 10^{-5}\) cm\textsuperscript{2}\ s\textsuperscript{−1} at 15, 21 and 25 °C, respectively (Broeckel and Peng, 1974). For NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−}, \(D_w\) was taken as \(1.5 \times 10^{-5}\), \(1.7 \times 10^{-5}\) and \(1.9 \times 10^{-5}\) cm\textsuperscript{2}\ s\textsuperscript{−1} at 15, 21 and 25 °C, respectively (Li and Gregory, 1974). \(\phi\) was determined as the loss of weight in 3 subcores sliced into 2 mm layers down to 20 mm. Sediment slices of known volume were weighed and then dried at 65 °C until weight constancy was achieved.

For the quantitative comparison with the NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} flux into the NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−}-consuming layer, the upward N\textsubscript{2}O flux was multiplied by 2 to account for the downward \(\Delta\)N\textsubscript{4} flux that could not be directly determined because the lower N\textsubscript{2}O concentration gradient was not in steady state. Since the overlying water was amended with 50 µmol L\textsuperscript{−1} NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} (which in most cases was higher than the in situ concentration on the day of sediment collection ranging from 2 to 124 µmol L\textsuperscript{−1}), the NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} removal pathways in the sediment may have been stimulated. The obtained fluxes might thus be considered as potential fluxes.

Total dissolved sulfide (i.e., the sum of H\textsubscript{2}S, HS\textsuperscript{−}, and S\textsuperscript{2−}) was calculated from the H\textsubscript{2}S and pH microprofiles according to Jeroschewski et al. (1996). The \(pK_{1}\) value (i.e., the dissociation coefficient for the equilibrium between H\textsubscript{2}S and HS\textsuperscript{−}) was corrected for temperature and salinity of the respective sampling site according to Millero et al. (1988).

2.5 Combined gel probe and isotopic labeling technique

The depth distribution of DNRA activity was measured using the gel probe stable isotope technique of Stief et al. (2010) with minor modifications. Briefly, the pre-hydrated polycrylamide gel in the probe, deoxygenated with He, were inserted into the sediment. Forty-eight hours later, the overlying water was amended with \(^{15}\)N-labelled NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} (99 % \(^{15}\)N atom %, Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Andover, MA, USA) to a final concentration of 50 µmol L\textsuperscript{−1}. The probes were left in the sediment for another 24–48 h for complete equilibration with the pore water. After retrieving the probes, the gel was immediately cut into a series of 20 1 mm pieces with a home-made cutter. Each slice was placed in a pre-weighed 3 mL vial (Exetainer, Labco, High Wycombe, UK), weighed again, and flushed twice with He for 60 s (with 1 min equilibration time in between) followed by the hypo-
bromite assay described above. Samples from the Mississippi Delta and Janssand experiments were spiked with 50 µL of 10 µmol L\textsuperscript{−1} \(^{15}\)NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+}. In headspace samples of 100–250 µL, the isotope ratio of \(^{28}\)N\textsubscript{2}, \(^{29}\)N\textsubscript{2}, and \(^{30}\)N\textsubscript{2} was determined by GC-IRMS (VG Optima, ISOTECH, Middlewich, UK) against air standards. Calibration standards were prepared with MilliQ water adjusted to different \(^{15}\)NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} concentrations (0, 5, 10, and 25 µmol L\textsuperscript{−1}; \(^{15}\)NH\textsubscript{4}Cl 98 % \(^{15}\)N atom %, Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Andover, MA, USA). Gel probes were immersed in the standard solutions and allowed to equilibrate for 24 h. After incubation, the gel standards were treated in the same way as described above. For each \(^{15}\)NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} concentration, 3–5 replicate gel slices were analysed. The \(^{15}\)NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} concentration was calculated from the isotope ratios of \(^{28}\)N\textsubscript{2}, \(^{29}\)N\textsubscript{2}, and \(^{30}\)N\textsubscript{2} in the sample and in air standards using equations given by Risgaard-Petersen et al. (1995). Samples from the Mississippi Delta and the Janssand sediment were corrected for the added spike concentration. In addition, all profiles were corrected for the natural abundance of \(^{15}\)NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} in the pore water of coastal marine sediment as found by Prokopenko et al. (2011) (i.e., 0.374 \(^{15}\)N-Atom %), which only had a minor influence on the calculated fluxes.

As a measure of DNRA activity, the \(^{15}\)NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} flux between the layer of \(^{15}\)NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} production (if coinciding with the layer of NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} consumption) and the sediment surface was calculated from the steady-state concentration profiles using Eqs. (1) and (2). The diffusion coefficient of NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} (\(D_w\)) was taken as \(1.5 \times 10^{-5}\), \(1.8 \times 10^{-5}\) and \(2.0 \times 10^{-5}\) cm\textsuperscript{2}\ s\textsuperscript{−1} at 15, 21 and 25 °C, respectively (Li and Gregory, 1974). For the quantitative comparison with the NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} flux into the NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−}-consuming layer, the upward \(^{15}\)NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} flux was multiplied by 2 to account for the downward \(^{15}\)NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} flux that could not be directly determined because the lower \(^{15}\)NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} concentration gradient
was not in steady state. Also the $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ fluxes may be considered as potential fluxes because of the relatively high NO$_3^-$ concentrations in the overlying water.

Since only few $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ concentration profiles featured curvatures that could be used for the above calculations, but clearly showed elevated $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ concentrations, DNRA activity was also estimated as the depth-integrated rate of $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ production. This rate was calculated as the sum of all $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ concentration values of a profile multiplied by sediment porosity and the step size of the concentration profile and divided by the exposure time of the gel probe. Underlying assumptions were that the $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ concentrations were zero at the start of the incubation (the natural abundance of $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ is already accounted for in the $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ concentration profiles) and increased linearly over time. The depth-integrated rate of $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ production has the units of a flux, but is lower than the steady-state flux calculated above because it does not account for losses of $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ due to adsorption, consumption, and diffusion during the exposure time of the gel probe.

### 2.6 Porewater analyses

For NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ analyses, 3 sediment sub cores (inner diameter of 2.6 mm) were taken from each sampling site and cut into 2 mm slices down to a depth of 20 mm. To each sediment slice 2 mL of artificial seawater (Red Sea Fish Farm, Israel) adjusted to the respective in situ salinity were added. After thorough mixing, the sediment suspension was centrifuged at 1000 g for 10 min and the supernatant was analysed as described above. The dilution of artificial seawater and the weight of the sediment slices were taken into account for the calculation of pore water concentrations.

For the determination of total dissolved iron after Viollier et al. (2000), 3 sub cores were cut into 2 mm slices down to 20 mm. All plastic ware was cleaned with acid (suprapure HNO$_3$ Merck, Darmstadt) and solutions were made with deoxygenated water. Cutting of sediment sub-cores and handling of the sediment slices were done in a N$_2$-flushed glove box. The sediment slices were weighed and 1.5 mL of anoxic MilliQ H$_2$O was added. The mixed samples were centrifuged (5 min at 3000 g) and the supernatant was removed completely and filtered (Millipore, Millex-GN 13 mm). The pelleted sediment was used for solid-phase iron analysis described below. For pore water analyses 1 mL of the filtered sample was taken (Viollier et al., 2000). Samples were measured undiluted, whereas the standards (prepared from a 1 mM stock solution diluted with a NaCl solution at the salinity of the respective sampling site) were diluted 1:2 with 0.5 M HCl.

### 2.7 Solid-phase sediment analysis

#### 2.7.1 Sedimentary adsorption of ammonium

The adsorption of DNRA-derived NH$_4^+$ to sediment was quantified in sediment sub cores cut into the layers 0–2, 2–7, and 7–12 mm. Sediment from the Mississippi Delta was cut into the layers 0–5 and 5–10 mm only. Each slice was split into two pieces of approximately equal size. The sediment pieces were weighed and amended with 1.5 mL of one of the following anoxic solutions: (A) NaCl adjusted to the respective in situ salinity as a blank, (B) NaCl enriched with 50 µmol L$^{-1}$ $^{14}$NH$_4^+$ to mimic newly produced NH$_4^+$. The sediment was incubated for 30 min and vigorously shaken every 10 min. Following this incubation, the samples were centrifuged for 5 min at 3000 g. In the supernatant, NH$_4^+$ was analysed as described above. The percentage of the added NH$_4^+$ that adsorbed to the sediment was calculated from the measured porewater NH$_4^+$ concentration (assay A) and the expected vs. the measured porewater NH$_4^+$ concentration after enrichment with NH$_4^+$ (assay B).

#### 2.7.2 Carbon-Nitrogen-Sulfur (CNS) content

CNS was analysed in freeze-dried sediment aliquots by combustion gas chromatography (Carlo Erba NA-1500 CNS analyser).

#### 2.7.3 Acid-Volatile Sulfide (AVS) content

Easily extractable sulfide (mainly FeS) was measured after Simpson (2001) in sediment sub cores cut for all sampling sites as described above for the iron determination. Samples were handled in a dinitrogen-flushed glove box and all the plastic ware was cleaned as described before. Solutions were made with deoxygenated water. The sediment slices were weighed and a subsample of 0.150–0.650 g wet weight was used for the subsequent analysis. Calibration standards were made in deoxygenated water out of a 100 mM Na$_2$S stock solution and diluted 1:10 with 1 M suprapure H$_2$SO$_4$ (Merck, Darmstadt).

#### 2.7.4 Solid-phase iron content

Extraction of solid-phase iron from the sediment was made with 0.5 M HCl for 1 h (Kostka and Luther, 1994). The extracts were filtered (Millipore, Millex-GN 13 mm) and handled as described above for pore water iron analysis. The extracted sediment samples were diluted like the standard (see paragraph for pore water iron analyses) and measured after Viollier et al. (2000).
Fig. 1. Vertical profiles of $O_2$, $NO_3^-$ and $NH_4^+$ (a–e), $^{15}NH_4^+$ and $N_2O$ (f–j) and pH and $Sulfide_{tot}$ (k–o) measured in intact sediment cores from different coastal marine sampling sites. The $NH_4^+$ profiles were measured in extracted pore water, $^{15}NH_4^+$ profiles (indicating DNRA activity) were measured with gel probes, while the other profiles were measured with microsensors. The DEN activity profiles (represented by the $N_2O$ profiles with acetylene) were measured after inhibition of the last step of denitrification with acetylene. Means ± standard deviation of 3–9 profiles are shown.

3 Results

3.1 Characteristics of sampling sites and sediments

The five sampling sites and sediments covered a wide range of environmental parameters and sediment characteristics (Tables 1 and 2). The five coastal marine sediments were muddy, sandy or muddy-to-sandy with porosities ranging from 45 to 85%. At the time of sampling the sediments, $NO_3^-$ concentrations in the water column were generally low, with one notable exception at the freshwater-impacted Limfjord (124 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ $NO_3^-$, 2 ‰ salinity). In situ temperatures ranged from 2.9 to 30.5 $^\circ$C. Total carbon contents differed less than expected between the sediments and ranged from 0.6 to 3.0 %, while nitrogen contents were particularly low at Dorum (0.02 %) and highest at Aarhus Bight (0.30 %). The sediments differed largely in the capacity to adsorb $NH_4^+$, produced by DNRA, with virtually no adsorption at Aarhus Bight and very high adsorption at Janssand (46 %).

3.2 Vertical gradients of pore water concentrations

Steady-state microprofiles of pore water solutes directly or indirectly involved in the activity of both DEN and DNRA
Fluxes of N₂ (measured as N₂O upon acetylene inhibition) and ¹⁵NH₄⁺ were calculated from the concentration profiles shown in Fig. 1f–j and used as measures of DEN and DNRA activity, respectively. Beside these steady-state fluxes, the depth-integrated rate of ¹⁵NH₄⁺ production was calculated as an alternative estimate of DNRA activity (Fig. 2). In all sediments analysed here, DEN rather than DNRA was the dominant NO₃⁻ respiration pathway. Only in the Janssand sediment, a significant ¹⁵NH₄⁺ flux directed from the layer of NO₃⁻ consumption to the sediment surface could be measured.

After incubation with 10% acetylene, a distinct N₂O concentration peak indicating DEN activity developed in the anoxic layer of the sediments from all sampling sites. The corresponding N₂ fluxes were between 3.3 ± 0.7 and 11.1 ± 1.0 nmol N cm⁻² h⁻¹ (Fig. 2). Besides Dorum, in none of the sediments, N₂O was detectable without acetylene inhibition (Fig. 1f–j).

A distinct concentration peak of ¹⁵NH₄⁺ (7.8 ± 1.8 µmol L⁻¹) in the layer of NO₃⁻ reduction indicating DNRA activity was only detected in Janssand (Fig. 1j). The steady-state ¹⁵NH₄⁺ flux (0.5 ± 0.2 mmol N cm⁻² h⁻¹) was more than three times lower than the corresponding N₂ flux. The calculated ¹⁵NH₄⁺ flux is possibly significantly underestimated since adsorption of NH₄⁺ to this sediment was particularly high (Table 2). Substantial ¹⁵NH₄⁺ concentrations were also found in other sediments (e.g., Mississippi Delta, 9.6 ± 1.2 µmol L⁻¹), indicating DNRA activity in these sediments. However, these scattered ¹⁵NH₄⁺ concentration profiles cannot be used for flux calculations like at Janssand because they do not feature a curvature indicative of steady-state ¹⁵NH₄⁺ production. Instead, DNRA activity was estimated from the depth-integrated rate of ¹⁵NH₄⁺ production. In all sediments, including Janssand, ¹⁵NH₄⁺ production rates (ranging from 0.06 ± 0.01 to 0.16 ± 0.08 nmol N cm⁻² h⁻¹) were considerably lower than NO₃⁻ consumption and N₂ production rates. The highest ¹⁵NH₄⁺ production rates were found in sediment from Mississippi Delta and Janssand with 0.16 ± 0.08 nmol N cm⁻² h⁻¹ and 0.13 ± 0.02 nmol N cm⁻² h⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 2).

The relative partitioning between DEN and DNRA was assessed for each sampling site by a mass balance based on the NO₃⁻, N₂, ¹⁵NH₄⁺ fluxes and depth-integrated rates of ¹⁵NH₄⁺ production (Fig. 2, Table 3). At most sites, NO₃⁻ (the flux of which was set to 100%) was quantitatively reduced to N₂. In sediment from Dorum (130.6%), the Mississippi Delta (116.9%) and the Limfjord (103.2%), even more N₂ was produced than pore water NO₃⁻ was consumed. In sediment from Janssand, however, only 59.0% of the NO₃⁻ consumed ended up as N₂, while 8.9% (steady-state flux)
or 2.5 % (depth-integrated production rate) was converted to NH$_4^+$. Taking the NH$_4^+$ adsorption of 46 % into account, the proportion of DNRA in NO$_3^-$ consumption might have been as high as 13.0 % or 3.7 %, respectively, in this sediment. Although Mississippi Delta had the highest 15NH$_4^+$ concentrations and depth-integrated rate of 15NH$_4^+$ production, Janssand was still the site with the highest relative share of DNRA activity in dissimilatory nitrate reduction (Table 3).

### 3.4 Easily extractable solid-phase sulfide and iron

Acid volatile sulfide (mainly FeS) showed for the Mississippi Delta, Limfjord and Janssand sediments a linear increase in concentration starting at the sediment surface (Fig. 3). In combination with the sulfide freely dissolved in the pore water, these three sampling sites had the highest amount of readily available sulfide species in the sediment.

Total dissolved iron had highest values in the Limfjord sediment, with a continuous increase from the sediment surface down to 20 mm (Fig. 3). A distinct peak was measured in Janssand starting at 3 mm.

Solid phase iron showed no distinct distribution pattern at any of the sampling sites (Fig. 3), with concentrations ranging from 4.1 ± 1.8 to 12.6 ± 3.2 µmol g$^{-1}$ wet weight.

### 3.5 Slurry experiment

In addition to the whole core experiment, slurry incubations were conducted to test the differences in DEN and DNRA activities in a diffusive (whole core) vs. an advective setting (slurry).

In sediment from all three sampling sites (Dorum, Janssand upper tidal flat and low-water line near a sulfidic seep), the reduction of NO$_3^-$ was accompanied by the simultaneous production of N$_2$ (DEN activity) and 15NH$_4^+$ (DNRA activity). In contrast to the whole core incubations, the relative share of DNRA in dissimilatory nitrate reduction was substantial in the slurry incubations of all three sediments (Fig. 4). 77, 56, and 37 % of the observed NO$_3^-$ reduction in sediment from Janssand (low-water line), Janssand (upper flat), and Dorum, respectively, was explained by DNRA activity, while the remainder was explained by DEN activity (Fig. 4). The production of N$_2$O was negligible in all slurred sediments (Fig. 4).

### 4 Discussion

#### 4.1 Relative importance of DEN and DNRA in coastal marine sediments

In all coastal marine sediments studied as intact cores, denitrification (DEN) rather than DNRA was the dominant NO$_3^-$ reduction pathway. DEN dominated irrespective of a large range of variation in sediment characteristics that are often discussed to favour either DEN or DNRA (e.g., sulfide concentration (An and Gardner, 2002; Brunet and GarciaGil, 1996), carbon-to-nitrate ratio (Tiedje et al., 1982; Yin et al., 2002)). In all but one sediment, NO$_3^-$ was quantitatively reduced to N$_2$ within the bounds of accuracy of the methodical approach. In some cases, the N$_2$ flux even exceeded the NO$_3^-$ flux, which may have resulted from DEN activity by nitrate-storing microorganisms.

In four out of five sediments, the vertical 15NH$_4^+$ profiles measured with gel probes did not feature a distinct concentration peak in the layer of NO$_3^-$ reduction, which would be the strongest argument for DNRA activity. Since the NH$_4^+$ adsorption capacity of these four sediments did not exceed 15 %, the majority of newly produced NH$_4^+$ would not have gone undetected by the gel probe technique. In fact, in all four sediments, the measured 15NH$_4^+$ concentrations clearly exceeded the natural abundance of 15NH$_4^+$ usually found in the pore water of coastal marine sediment (Prokopenko et al., 2011), indicating DNRA activity. However, due to the scatter, these 15NH$_4^+$ concentration profiles could not be used for calculating steady-state 15NH$_4^+$ fluxes. Instead, depth-integrated rates of 15NH$_4^+$ production were calculated to estimate DNRA activity in these sediments. In all five sediments, low DNRA activities were detected using this calculation approach. Nevertheless, the scattered vertical distribution of 15NH$_4^+$ that was observed in most sediments, suggests production mechanisms other than dissimilatory reduction.

---

**Table 2. Sediment characteristics.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling site</th>
<th>Porosity (%)$^a$</th>
<th>C (wt %)$^a,b$</th>
<th>N (wt %)$^a,b$</th>
<th>Adsorption of NH$_4^+$ (%)$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorum</td>
<td>45 ± 6</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.00</td>
<td>15 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aarhus Bight</td>
<td>85 ± 10</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.37</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.03</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Delta</td>
<td>81 ± 9</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.03</td>
<td>13 ± 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limfjord</td>
<td>62 ± 13</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janssand</td>
<td>49 ± 8</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.02</td>
<td>46 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Values for porosity, carbon and nitrogen contents are depth-integrated averages (0–20 mm). $^b$ Carbon and nitrogen contents in the sediment are given in weight % of dry sediment. $^c$ Adsorption of NH$_4^+$ to sediment particles is given as percentage of NH$_4^+$ added to sediment slices from the depth of NO$_3^-$ reduction (2–5 mm). Means and standard deviations of 3 subsamples are shown. n.d.: not determined.
Table 3. Mass balance for dissimilatory nitrate reduction in intact sediment cores sampled at five coastal marine investigation sites. Fluxes of N-NO$_3^-$ were set to 100 % and fluxes of N-N$_2$ (indicating DEN activity) and $^{15}$N-NH$_4^+$ (indicating DNRA activity) were calculated as relative shares of NO$_3^-$ fluxes. *Estimated from depth-integrated rates of $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ production; n.d.: not detected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling site</th>
<th>N-NO$_3^-$ (%)</th>
<th>N-N$_2$ (%)</th>
<th>$^{15}$N-NH$_4^+$ (%)</th>
<th>$^{15}$N-NH$_4^+$ (%)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorum</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>130.6</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aarhus Bight</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Delta</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>116.9</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limfjord</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>103.2</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janssand</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Pore water sulfide (Sulfide$_{tot}$), AVS (acid-volatile sulfide), Pore water iron (Fe$_{tot}$ PW) and Solid-phase iron (Fe$_{tot}$ Sed) of the different sampling sites. Solid-phase pools (AVS and solid-phase iron) are shown per gram wet weight (WW). Means ± standard deviation of 3 replicate sub cores are shown.

Fig. 4. Nitrogen mass balances of slurred sediments sampled at three coastal marine investigation sites calculated in relative shares of N-NO$_3^-$ consumption. N-NO$_3^-$ consumption rates were set to 100 % and production rates of $^{15}$N-N$_2$ (indicating DEN activity), $^{15}$N-NH$_4^+$ (indicating DNRA activity), and N-N$_2$O were calculated accordingly.

In this case, the gel probe technique revealed a distinct concentration peak of $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ that partially overlapped with the layer of NO$_3^-$ consumption. Based on the upper $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ concentration gradient, the $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ flux out of the nitrate-reducing layer made up 8.9 % of the NO$_3^-$ flux into the nitrate-reducing layer. Taking into account the high percentage of NH$_4^+$ adsorption in this sediment (46 %), the relative partitioning of dissimilatory nitrate reduction between DEN and DNRA might have been close to 82 and 18 %, respectively. These values are within the range found in other marine sediments, with DNRA activity accounting for 11–75 % and DEN accounting for 5–98 % of the total reduced NO$_3^-$ (An and Gardner, 2002; Bonin et al., 1998; Dong et al., 2011; Koop-Jakobsen and Giblin, 2010; Porubsky et al., 2009).

The nitrogen budget in the Janssand sediment (and in Aarhus Bight sediment) was not closed; in these the NO$_3^-$ flux exceeded the combined fluxes of N$_2$ and $^{15}$N-N$_2$O. Possible explanations are assimilation of NO$_3^-$ by sediment microorganisms, ammonox (not measured in this study) or intracellular storage of NO$_3^-$ by sulfide-oxidizing bacteria, foraminifera, and diatoms (Kamp et al., 2011; McHatton et al., 1996; Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2006; Sayama, 2001). The latter scenario, however, would only apply to non-steady-state conditions when nitrate-storing microorganisms with exhausted stores fill up their vacuoles. Additionally, the high
sulfide concentrations in the sediment pore water may have alleviated the inhibition of N$_2$O reduction by acetylene, which is known to underestimate DEN rates (Sørensen et al., 1987). The opposite phenomenon (i.e., the combined flux of N$_2$ and $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ exceeding the NO$_3^-$ flux) was observed in sediment from Dorum and the Mississippi Delta. Also in this case, the intracellular storage of NO$_3^-$ by vertically migrating sulfide-oxidizing bacteria, foraminifera, and diatoms may serve as a possible explanation (again only under non-steady-state conditions). NO$_3^-$ taken up at the sediment surface and transported to deep layers will not be reflected in the steady-state pore water profile of NO$_3^-$, whereas its dissimilatory reduction in deep layers will be reflected in the porewater profiles of N$_2$ (measured as N$_2$O) and $^{15}$NH$_4^+$. In fact, intracellularly stored NO$_3^-$ was detected in Dorum sediment (up to 22.3 µmol NO$_3^-$ dm$^{-3}$, Stief et al., 2013) where the discrepancy between NO$_3^-$ and N$_2$ fluxes was particularly pronounced, but in Mississippi Delta sediment no stored NO$_3^-$ could be detected.

The downward transport of $^{15}$NO$_3^-$ by migrating cells may also be responsible for the shape of the $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ concentration peak observed in Janssand sediment. A closer look at this peak reveals that it extends to well below the layer of NO$_3^-$ penetration. Non-steady-state modeling confirmed that the shape of this peak cannot be explained by downward diffusion and accumulation of $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ at depth during the exposure time of the gel probe of 2 days (data not shown). Hence, a faster spreading of the $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ concentration peak by moving cells seems more likely. Nitrate-storing and migrating microorganisms performing DNRA might be responsible for the deep occurrence of $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ and for the scatter in the $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ profiles measured in the other four sediments.

4.1.2 Slurry incubations

In all three sediments incubated as slurries, both DEN and DNRA contributed substantially to dissimilatory nitrate reduction. A direct comparison with whole core incubations is possible for the sediments from Dorum and Janssand (sampling site near sulfidic seeps) which have been used in both types of incubation. For Dorum sediment, the whole core incubation revealed DEN activity exclusively, while the slurry incubation revealed a relative partitioning between DEN and DNRA of 61 and 39 %, respectively of the total reduced NO$_3^-$. For Janssand sediment, the slurry incubation shifted the partitioning from a dominance of DEN (i.e., 87 vs. 13 % or 94 vs. 6 %) in the whole core incubation to a dominance of DNRA (i.e., 18 vs. 82 %). In sediment from the upper flat in Janssand and Dorum tested in slurries, the nitrogen budget was closed, leaving no room for a substantial involvement of intracellular NO$_3^-$ storage, microbial NO$_3^-$ assimilation, or NH$_4^+$ adsorption. At the low water line from Janssand 6 % of the total nitrogen budget are missing that could account for the above mentioned additional NO$_3^-$ sinks. Additionally, N$_2$O production did not exceed 1 % of the NO$_3^-$ consumption, but interestingly the highest N$_2$O production rate was found in the most sulfidic sediment, probably due to partial inhibition of dissimilatory N$_2$O reduction (Brunet and Garcia-Gil, 1996; Sørensen et al., 1980) by sulfide.

4.1.3 Whole core vs. slurry incubations

It could be assumed that the different results obtained by the two types of sediment incubation are explained by much higher NO$_3^-$ consumption rates in the slurry incubations due to the advective vs. diffusive substrate supply. However, higher NO$_3^-$ consumption rates measured in slurry incubations vs. whole core incubations were only detected for Dorum (-69.7 nmol N cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ and -23.1 ± 4.2 nmol N cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$, respectively) but not for Janssand (-47.0 nmol N cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ and -42.4 ± 4.1 nmol N cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$, respectively). Alternatively, it may be speculated that in sediment slurries many more DNRA bacteria are supplied with NO$_3^-$ than in intact sediment cores, especially in the absence of advective porewater transport. When NO$_3^-$ is exclusively supplied by diffusion, many microorganisms capable of DNRA might be cut off the NO$_3^-$ supply from above because they reside deeper in the sediment than microorganisms capable of DEN. DNRA microorganisms are active only in sediment layers that are completely anoxic and in which strongly reducing conditions prevail, often characterised by near absence of NO$_3^-$ and presence of sulfide (Tiedje et al., 1982). In contrast, DEN microorganisms can cope well with oxic-anoxic shifts (e.g., due to porewater irrigation by the tides or burrowing animals) and therefore are active closer to the oxic-anoxic interface in the sediment (Brettar and Rheinheimer, 1991; Thamdrup and Dalsgaard, 2008; Tiedje et al., 1982). In fact, the gel probe technique previously revealed that the activity maximum of DNRA was located slightly deeper in stream sediment than the activity maximum of DEN (Stief et al., 2010). In stratified sediments, DEN microorganisms have thus the potential to out compete DNRA microorganisms for NO$_3^-$. The slurry incubation of sediment disrupts these stratifications and exposes all microorganisms to homogeneous conditions with respect to substrates and products. DNRA microorganisms and rates, even if irrelevant in situ (Christensen et al., 2000; Laverman et al., 2006; Revsbech et al., 2006) might thus get more important in the slurry incubations because here they are not nitrate-limited any longer. Taken together, slurry incubations may overestimate DNRA rates due to enhanced NO$_3^-$ supply, whereas whole core incubations may underestimate DNRA rates due to diminished NO$_3^-$ supply, especially in the absence of advection.

4.2 Environmental factors controlling the partitioning between DEN and DNRA

The five sampling sites were chosen to cover a range of environmental factors that are proposed to promote or repress
either DEN or DNRA. These factors (e.g., availability of NO$_3^-$ and electron donors such as organic carbon, sulfide, or reduced iron) are thought to influence the partitioning of dissimilatory nitrate reduction between DEN and DNRA. In the following, the contrasting results observed for sediment from Janssand (with a high DNRA activity, irrespective of method used for rate calculation) and the remaining sampling sites will be viewed in the light of these factors.

### 4.2.1 Nitrate and carbon

The ratio of electron acceptor (i.e., NO$_3^-$) to electron donor (i.e., organic carbon) is the most frequently mentioned partitioning factor between DEN and DNRA (Fazzolari et al., 1998; Kelso et al., 1999; Tiedje, 1982, 1988; Yin et al., 2002). Supposedly, DNRA is the favoured pathway under nitrate-limited conditions, while DEN is the favoured pathway under nitrate-replete conditions. Slightly more energy is gained per mol NO$_3^-$ by DNRA than by DEN (Strohm et al., 2007) and additionally DNRA consumes more electrons during the reduction of NO$_3^-$ to NH$_4^+$. Low NO$_3^-$ and high organic carbon availability can thus create conditions favourable for DNRA rather than DEN (Christensen et al., 2000; Herbert, 1999; Megonigal et al., 2003; Nizzoli et al., 2006; Tiedje, 1988).

While the amended NO$_3^-$ availability in the overlying water of the whole core incubations was kept at the same level for all sediments, the total carbon and organic carbon contents varied considerably. Obviously though, high total carbon contents had no stimulating effect on DNRA because in the two sediments with the highest values (Mississippi Delta and Aarhus Bight), DNRA activity was only detected using the depth-integrated rate of $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ production as a minimum estimate. On the contrary, substantial DNRA activity was detected in Janssand sediment with comparably low total carbon content.

At the time of sediment collection, in situ NO$_3^-$ concentrations in the water column were in most cases lower than the 50 µmol L$^{-1}$ NO$_3^-$ used in the whole core incubations. The sudden increase in NO$_3^-$ supply to the sediments has certainly stimulated the NO$_3^-$ removal pathways, and in the worst case also shifted the in situ partitioning between DEN and DNRA in favour of DEN. However, over an annual cycle, most coastal marine sediments experience large fluctuations in water column NO$_3^-$ to which the microbial communities in the sediments are adapted. At Dorum, for instance, water column NO$_3^-$ varies between 2 and 80 µmol L$^{-1}$ NO$_3^-$ (Stief et al., 2013), in Janssand mean values of ~67 µmol L$^{-1}$ were observed in the overlying water (Gao et al., 2011) and in the Aarhus Bight, bottom water concentrations occasionally reach 25 µmol L$^{-1}$ NO$_3^-$ (Lomstein et al., 1990). The NO$_3^-$ amendments made in the whole core incubations, which were a methodical necessity for studying NO$_3^-$ removal pathways in the sediments, were hence within or not far off the range of in situ concentrations. It can thus be assumed that the experimentally determined partitioning between DEN and DNRA were also within the range of in situ partitioning values.

### 4.2.2 Sulfide

High sulfide concentrations in the sediment pore water have a strong influence on the activity of both DEN and DNRA (An and Gardner, 2002; Brunet and GarciaGil, 1996; Burgin and Hamilton, 2007; Christensen et al., 2003; Nizzoli et al., 2006). Sulfide can serve as an electron donor for DEN and DNRA, but at very high concentration it inhibits the last step of DEN, but not DNRA. Sulfidic sediments therefore tend to have a high capacity to reduce NO$_3^-$ and to produce N$_2$O and NH$_4^+$ (An and Gardner, 2002; Brunet and GarciaGil, 1996).

An almost gradual increase in free total sulfide concentrations was observed in the sediments reaching from Aarhus Bight via Dorum, Mississippi, and Janssand, to Limfjord, but this increase was not reflected in increases of NO$_3^-$ consumption and N$_2$O or $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ production. The only striking findings were the substantial DNRA activity (irrespective of the method used for rate calculation) and the low DEN activity measured in Janssand sediment in which the second highest sulfide concentrations occurred. However, even in this sediment, DEN activity dominated dissimilatory nitrate reduction. Possibly, heterotrophic denitrifiers were out competed by autotrophic denitrifiers who can oxidize sulfide to sulfate in the presence of NO$_3^-$ and therefore exist even in sediments high in sulfide (Brinkhoff et al., 1998; Shao et al., 2009).

The role of sulfide in stimulating DNRA can be further questioned based on the results of the slurry incubations. During the experimental procedure, the in situ concentration of freely dissolved sulfide was diluted approximately 3-fold by the addition of sulfide-free seawater. Despite the diluted sulfide concentrations, clear shifts from DEN to DNRA were observed for the Dorum and Janssand sediments, contradicting the sulfide hypothesis. Finally, there was also no correlation between the sedimentary contents of acid-volatile sulfide and the occurrence of DNRA as there were even higher concentrations at Limfjord than at Janssand or Mississippi Delta. A peculiar feature of the Janssand sediment was the coincidence of very high sulfide and NH$_4^+$ concentrations. Even though the possible role of a high background concentration of NH$_4^+$ for DNRA activity remains unclear, it might still be used as an indicator of highly reduced sediment where DNRA is more likely to occur than in less reduced sediment.

### 4.2.3 Iron

Besides carbon and sulfide, reduced iron can serve as another electron donor for dissimilatory nitrate reduction. For Geobacter and Dechloromonas spp., it has been shown that iron is used to reduce NO$_3^-$ quantitatively to NH$_4^+$ (Weber et al., 2006a, c). In the present study, no distinct correlation between the appearance of iron (pore water and solid-phase) and DNRA or DEN activity in the sediments was observed.
Nevertheless, in Janssand the sediment with the highest measurable DNRA activity in whole core incubations, porewater iron concentrations were the second highest in this study. The solid-phase iron contents were similar at all five sampling sites.

4.2.4 Temperature

Seasonally or habitat-specific high temperatures were shown to favour DNRA over DEN activity (Dong et al., 2011; Jorgensen, 1989; Ogilvie et al., 1997). In our study, in situ temperatures ranged from ~3 to 30 °C and at the particularly warm sampling site in the Mississippi Delta, high DNRA activity was expected (Dong et al., 2011). Indeed, the $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ pore water concentrations and the depth-integrated rates of $^{15}\text{NH}_3^+$ production were the highest ones encountered in this study (Figs. 1 and 2). However, the variability of data from replicate gels was very pronounced and only the depth-integrated rates of $^{15}\text{NH}_3^+$ production revealed DNRA activity in this sediment. The average $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ profile as a whole can be questioned, however, because it also revealed high $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ concentrations well below the $\text{NO}_3^-$ penetration depth that are maybe explained by DNRA activity of nitrate-storing and migrating microorganisms. In addition, sediment from the Aarhus Bight and Dorum had similar depth-integrated rates of $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ production, despite largely different incubation temperatures. We conclude that in our limited data set temperature was not a key factor that explained the presence or absence of DNRA activity.

5 Conclusions

This study investigated the relative share of two dissimilatory nitrate reduction pathways, DEN and DNRA, in coastal marine sediments. In none of the sediments studied here, DNRA was the dominant nitrate-reducing process. Nevertheless, the special conditions that prevail at Janssand apparently create a micro-environment in which DEN and DNRA can co-occur. At the low-water line, there is a high advective input of reduced compounds (e.g., sulfide and $\text{NH}_4^+$) from the body of the tidal flat towards the sediment surface and a diffusive or advective input of $\text{O}_2$ and $\text{NO}_3^-$ from the water column into the sediment (Billerbeck et al., 2006; Jansen et al., 2009; Roy et al., 2008). It can be assumed that microorganisms capable of DNRA cope better or benefit from the millimolar-range sulfide concentrations compared to DEN microorganisms. So unlike in non-sulfidic sediments, the in situ conditions at Janssand may have allowed DNRA microorganisms to thrive particularly well due to their sulfide tolerance and the lack of competition for $\text{NO}_3^-$ with DEN microorganisms. However, the whole core incubation turned the Janssand sediment into a non-seep sediment without advective inputs of sulfide from below and $\text{O}_2$ and $\text{NO}_3^-$ from above. Thus, the whole core incubation presumably underestimates the relative share of DNRA in dissimilatory nitrate reduction. On the contrary, the slurry incubation of Janssand sediment may overestimate the relative share of DNRA because of unlimited $\text{NO}_3^-$ supply to DNRA bacteria that are out competed for $\text{NO}_3^-$ by DEN bacteria in stratified sediments. The true partitioning of dissimilatory nitrate reduction between DNRA and DEN may consequently lie in between the values found in whole core and slurry incubations. It can be argued that the gel probe technique gives more realistic estimates of DNRA activity in diffusion-dominated sediments, while slurry incubations are more suitable for advective-dominated sediments. Further methodical improvements should aim at DNRA activity measurements in intact sediments with realistic advective dynamics, since DNRA is apparently important in coastal marine sediments in which advection creates microsites at which both $\text{NO}_3^-$ and sulfide are available.
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