Changes in soil carbon sequestration in *Pinus massoniana* forests along an urban-to-rural gradient of southern China

H. Chen¹, W. Zhang¹, F. Gilliam², L. Liu¹, J. Huang¹, T. Zhang¹, W. Wang¹, and J. Mo¹

¹Key Laboratory of Vegetation Restoration and Management of Degraded Ecosystems, South China Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510650, China
²Department of Biological Science, Marshall University, Huntington, WV 25755-2510, USA
³University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, China

Correspondence to: J. Mo (mojm@scib.ac.cn)

Received: 30 April 2013 – Published in Biogeosciences Discuss.: 9 July 2013
Revised: 9 October 2013 – Accepted: 11 October 2013 – Published: 22 October 2013

**Abstract.** Urbanization is accelerating globally, causing a variety of environmental changes such as increases in air temperature, precipitation, atmospheric CO$_2$, and nitrogen (N) deposition. However, the effects of these changes on forest soil carbon (C) sequestration remain largely unclear. Here, we used urban-to-rural environmental gradients in Guangdong Province, southern China, to address the potential effects of these environmental changes on soil C sequestration in *Pinus massoniana* forests. In contrast to our expectations and earlier observations, soil C content in urban sites was significantly lower than that in suburban and rural sites. Lower soil C pools in urban sites were correlated with a significant decrease in fine root biomass and a potential increase in soil organic C decomposition. Variation of soil C pools was also a function of change in soil C fractions. Heavy fraction C content in urban sites was significantly lower than that in suburban and rural sites. By contrast, light fraction C content did not vary significantly along the urban-to-rural gradient. Our results suggest that urbanization-induced environmental changes may have a negative effect on forest soil C in the studied region.

1 Introduction

Urbanization is accelerating globally, with 50% of the world’s population currently living in cities, with a projected increase to 70% by 2050 (UNFPA, 2007). Rapid urban development has the potential to alter regional carbon (C) budgets through urbanization-induced environmental changes (Trusilova and Churkina, 2008; Pouyat et al., 2002). Urbanization-induced environmental changes include a variety of environmental changing factors caused by accelerating urbanization, such as increases in air temperature, precipitation, atmospheric CO$_2$, and nitrogen (N) deposition (Shen et al., 2008). Numerous studies have shown air temperature (Jones et al., 1990), precipitation (Botkin and Bevridge, 1997; Gilbert, 1989), atmospheric CO$_2$ (Idso et al., 2002; Pataki et al., 2003), and N deposition (Lovett et al., 2000; Fenn et al., 2003) to be higher in urban areas than in rural surroundings. This environmental gradient may even be a useful tool for investigating how global environmental change influences forest ecosystem structure and function, since such changes in cities are also known to be major drivers of global change (Carreiro and Tripler, 2005; Shen et al., 2008).

The current scientific evidence supports the belief that urbanization-induced environmental changes should increase soil C sequestration of urban forests. Results from long-term N addition experiments in the United States and Europe have shown that N deposition can increase forest soil C sequestration from 0.51 to 0.69 Mg C ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ (Hyvonen et al., 2008; Pregitzer et al., 2008). Using a meta-analysis of experiments carried out over > 2 yr periods, Jastrow et al. (2005) reported that elevated CO$_2$ concentration would increase soil C sequestration by 0.19 Mg C ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$. If combined with N addition, this positive effect of elevated CO$_2$ on soil C storage would be more pronounced (van Groenigen et al., 2006; Hungate et al., 2009). This belief was also supported by recent direct field measurements along an urban-to-rural...
gradient in New York red oak (Quercus rubra L.) forests (Pouyat et al., 2002) and in a semi-arid tropical desert ecosystem in Phoenix, Arizona (Koerner and Klaptek, 2010). However, besides the above-mentioned two direct measurements, this belief has not been tested in other cities, forests and (or) climate zones (Pouyat, 2003; Yesilonis and Pouyat, 2012). Soil warming induced by elevated urban air temperature may reduce soil C storage in the short-term by increasing decomposition. This may be offset by increasing C input and SOM stabilization in the long-term (Conant et al., 2008; Giardina and Ryan, 2000). As a result, diversity in the responses of forest soil C to urbanization-induced environmental changes may also be existent.

China has undergone rapid urbanization, largely resulting from economic reform and the “open door policy” initiated in late 1978 (Chen et al., 2006). The population of Guangdong Province, southern China, increased nearly two-fold from 1982 to 2010 (i.e., from 53.6 million to 104.3 million persons) (SBGP, 2011). Despite this notable increase, no data are available that relate the response of forest soil C to these urbanization-induced changes.

To address this, we established urban-to-rural gradients in Guangdong Province, beginning with the Pearl River Delta (PRD) economic region at the center of development; the PRD covers nearly 25% of the provincial area and supports ~54% of the population (SBGP, 2011). The purpose of this study was to assess the potential effects of urbanization changes on forest soil C in southern China utilizing this urban-to-rural gradient. Masson pine (Pinus massoniana L.) plantations were chosen because of their wide distribution in southern China, accounting for 45% of the total plantation area in Guangdong Province (Kuang et al., 2008). In addition, Masson pine forests have relative structural and spatial homogeneity, eliminating the confounding of other factors. We hypothesized that urbanization-induced environmental changes would increase soil C sequestration in these pine forests.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study region

This study comprised sites located throughout Guangdong Province, southern China (Fig. 1). The PRD economic region is the fastest developing area in the province. The following environmental gradients have been related to patterns of urbanization extending from the core of PRD to its surrounding areas: (1) air temperature is approximately 0.5–2.0 °C higher in the core of PRD than in its surroundings due to the effect of “urban heat island” (Mai et al., 2011; Dou and Zhao, 2011; (2) CO2 emissions are relatively elevated in PRD, accounting for 70% of total emissions in Guangdong Province (Liu, 2009); (3) rates of N deposition vary from approximately 46 kg ha−1 yr−1 toward the core of PRD to <20 kg ha−1 yr−1 in the most distant rural areas (Huang et al., 2012; Kuang et al., 2011); and (4) annual average precipitation is also higher in urban areas than in surrounding areas (Li et al., 2009).

Because the pattern of urbanization of this region is not always linear, we combined both distance from center and land-use status to determine our gradients. We initially used distance to define four urbanization classes in this study region: (1) urban, 0–65 km from the urban core; (2) urban/suburban, 65–130 km from the urban core; (3) suburban/rural, 130–195 km from the urban core; (4) rural, 195–260 km from the urban core (Fig. 1). We further divided each class into 10 subzones of equal area. In each class we randomly chose 3 or 4 subzones to locate our sampled forests based on a land-use map. In total, 14 forests were selected in this study – three in the urban class (Huilushan, Maofengshan, and Shunfengshan, abbreviated to HLS, MFS, and SFS, respectively), four in the urban/suburban class (Heshan (HS), Dinghushan (DHS), Guanyinshan (GYS), and Xiangtoushan (XTS)), four in the suburban/rural class (Heishiding (HSD), Shimentai (SMT), Yunjishan (YJS), and Dachouding (DCD)), and three in the rural class (Huaiji (HJ), Dadongshan (DDS), and Wuzhishan (WZS) (Fig. 1). Longitude of these forests ranges from 111°54′19.78″E to 114°25′37.54″E, and latitude ranges from 22°40′13.31″N to 24°46′40.25″N (Table S1). Annual precipitation ranges from 1566 to 2133 mm, and mean annual air temperature ranges from 19.45 to 22.2 °C in the study region (Table S1).

All pine plantations used in this study had remained unmanaged following planting. Several criteria were used in site selection to ensure comparability among forests: (1) no disturbance after planting, including fire, insect infestations, logging, and fertilization; (2) stand age between 40 and 60 yr; (3) stand density between 600 and 800 trees ha−1 (Table S1); (4) soils of lateritic red earth (Ultisols in USDA soil
Soil sampling was conducted from January to May 2011. In each forest site, three random subplots (5 m × 5 m) were selected to sample soil from three soil layers (0–10, 10–20 and 20–40 cm depths) using a 10 cm inside diameter (ID) corer. Soil samples passed through a 2 mm sieve, and roots and plant residues were removed. Soil organic carbon (SOC) was determined by dichromate oxidation and titration with ferrous ammonium sulfate (Walkley and Black, 1934). Soil total nitrogen (TN) was measured using the micro-Kjeldahl method (Jackson, 1964). For bulk density determination, soil was collected in a 0.25 m² × 0.5 m deep pit in each subplot, using a 5 cm ID corer. Bulk density measures were used to calculate SOC content.

Soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC) was estimated by the chloroform fumigation extraction technique (Vance et al., 1987). Soluble C was extracted using a 0.5 M K₂SO₄ solution from 10 g soil samples before and after fumigation. Extracts were analyzed for total dissolved C using a total C analyzer (Shimadzu model TOC-500, Kyoto, Japan). Soil MBC was calculated as the difference in extractable C between fumigated and non-fumigated soil, divided by 0.45. Soil extractable dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was measured on the same samples used for the analysis of MBC, and calculated as the K₂SO₄-extractable C concentration.

Soil C was separated into two fractions using a density fraction method: (1) light fraction (LF), which tends to have younger soil C pools and include undecomposed or partly decomposed organic residues and micro-biomass (Christensen, 2001); and (2) heavy fraction (HF), which generally contains older soil C pools and includes C associated with mineral surfaces or concealed within micro-aggregates (Trumbore, 1993). Methodology for soil C fractionation followed McLauchlan and Hobbie (2004), with alterations as previously described.

2.4 Fine root biomass

Root cores were collected from the 0–10 cm soil layer using a 10 cm ID corer. Fine roots (≤ 2 mm diameter) were sorted from washed cores by hand into living and dead components following procedures from Silver and Vogt (1993). Root samples were washed with distilled water, oven dried, and measured for living and dead fine root biomass. The SOC and TN of living fine root samples were also analyzed as described.

2.5 Statistical analysis

All data analyses were carried out using SAS software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary NC, USA). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to compare the differences between four urbanization classes (urban, urban/suburban, suburban/rural, and rural) in fine root biomass, fine root C and N concentration, and soil respiration. Two-way ANOVA was used to test differences between urbanization classes and soil depths in the variables, which were measured in multiple soil layers. Correlation and regression analyses were used to examine relationships between variables and distances from urban to rural centers. Statistically significant differences were set at P < 0.05, unless otherwise stated. Mean values are expressed ±1 standard error of the mean.

3 Results

3.1 SOC and TN concentrations

Both SOC and TN concentrations varied significantly with the urbanization class, with both increasing from urban to rural conditions (Table 1). Significant and positive correlations existed between SOC concentrations, soil TN concentrations and the distance from urban to rural in all soil depths (0.52 ≤ R² ≤ 0.66, all P < 0.001). Distance explained approximately 24–31 % and 21–36 % of changing for SOC and soil TN among sites, respectively. Two-way ANOVA showed that urbanization-induced environmental changes significantly reduced SOC and TN concentrations in urban sites compared with those in suburban and rural sites, in all soil depths (Table 1, all P < 0.05). As a result, no significant differences between gradient classes were shown for the soil C : N ratio in any soil layer (Table 1, all P > 0.05).

3.2 SOC content

When SOC was calculated as content (i.e., as Mg ha⁻¹), it increased significantly from urban to rural conditions, exhibiting a positive linear relationship with distance across all soil depths (Fig. 2a, R² = 0.717, P < 0.001). Two-way ANOVA
Table 1. Comparison of SOC %, TN %, soil C:N ratio and soil bulk density (g cm$^{-2}$) (in 0–10, 10–20, and 20–40 cm soil layers) among four urbanization gradient classes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil depth (cm)</th>
<th>Urbanization classes</th>
<th>SOC (%)</th>
<th>TN (%)</th>
<th>C : N ratio</th>
<th>Soil bulk density (g cm$^{-2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–10 cm</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>2.10 (0.13) a</td>
<td>0.19 (0.02) a</td>
<td>10.92 (1.05) a</td>
<td>1.25 (0.17) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban/Suburban</td>
<td>2.63 (0.47) a</td>
<td>0.23 (0.03) ab</td>
<td>12.03 (2.09) a</td>
<td>1.22 (0.14) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suburban/Rural</td>
<td>3.75 (0.40) b</td>
<td>0.28 (0.04) bc</td>
<td>13.47 (2.91) a</td>
<td>1.04 (0.13) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>3.99 (0.63) b</td>
<td>0.31 (0.03) c</td>
<td>12.91 (2.52) c</td>
<td>1.03 (0.05) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–20 cm</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1.33 (0.16) a</td>
<td>0.10 (0.01) a</td>
<td>14.28 (2.55) a</td>
<td>1.41 (0.10) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban/Suburban</td>
<td>1.59 (0.48) ab</td>
<td>0.11 (0.02) a</td>
<td>14.98 (3.12) b</td>
<td>1.34 (0.12) ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suburban/Rural</td>
<td>2.04 (0.40) ab</td>
<td>0.15 (0.03) ab</td>
<td>14.18 (2.92) b</td>
<td>1.15 (0.08) ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>2.19 (0.06) b</td>
<td>0.15 (0.01) b</td>
<td>15.46 (1.07) b</td>
<td>1.19 (0.03) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–40 cm</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>0.81 (0.09) a</td>
<td>0.05 (0.02) a</td>
<td>18.05 (1.23) ab</td>
<td>1.48 (0.10) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban/Suburban</td>
<td>0.93 (0.20) a</td>
<td>0.05 (0.02) a</td>
<td>18.23 (1.02) ab</td>
<td>1.41 (0.06) ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suburban/Rural</td>
<td>1.47 (0.20) b</td>
<td>0.08 (0.01) ab</td>
<td>18.28 (1.03) ab</td>
<td>1.21 (0.13) ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1.51 (0.12) b</td>
<td>0.08 (0.02) b</td>
<td>18.34 (0.94) ab</td>
<td>1.26 (0.01) b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The different letters indicate significant differences at $P < 0.05$ level, and no letters indicate no significant differences between different urbanization gradient classes, respectively (SNK test). Values are means with S. E. in parentheses ($N = 3$ for urban and rural, $N = 4$ for urban/suburban and suburban/rural).

Fig. 2. Change in SOC content in the top 40 cm soil. (A) correlation analysis of bulk SOC content (in the 0–10 cm, 10–20 cm, and 20–40 cm soil layers) and the distance from urban to rural; (B) comparisons of SOC content among four urbanization gradient classes. Error bars indicate $\pm$ S. E. ($N = 3$ for urban and rural, $N = 4$ for urban/suburban and suburban/rural). Different letters denote significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) between gradient classes (SNK test).

showed that SOC content increased significantly from urban sites to rural sites at 0–10 cm depth (Fig. 2b, $P < 0.001$), but not at 10–20 and 20–40 cm depths (Fig. 2b, $P = 0.5060$ and 0.0821, respectively). When calculating SOC content to 40 cm depths, the mean SOC contents were 64.87 ± 4.17, 79.12 ± 11.7, 93.83 ± 8.71, and 96.43 ± 6.60 Mg ha$^{-1}$ in urban, urban/suburban, suburban/rural and rural sites, respectively.

3.3 Soil density fractions

LF and HF showed different patterns along the urban-to-rural gradient. HF comprised > 94 % of total soil mass and contained the majority of soil C content (approximately 70–85 %) for all sites combined (Table 2). Mass proportions of LF and HF, LF organic carbon (LF-OC) concentrations, and the LF-OC content did not vary significantly along the gradient (Table 2). By contrast, HF organic carbon (HF-OC) concentrations increased from urban to rural conditions in the 0–10 and 10–20 cm soil layers (Table 2, both $P < 0.0001$). N concentrations in LF showed no significant differences among four urbanization classes, but significantly increased in HF from urban to rural in both the 0–10 and 10–20 cm soil layers (Table 2, $P = 0.0001$ and 0.0244, respectively). No significant change was observed for the C:N ratio of LF and HF in two soil layers (Table 2, both $P > 0.05$).

3.4 Fine root, microbial biomass C, and extractable DOC

Living and dead fine root biomass exhibited similar patterns along the urban-to-rural gradient. Living fine root biomass was significantly higher than dead root biomass ($P < 0.001$, $n = 14$), and comprised approximately 70 % of the total fine root biomass (living plus dead). Living, dead and total fine root biomass were all significantly lower in urban sites than in other urbanization classes (Fig. 4a). Living fine root C concentration exhibited no significant difference among four gradient classes, but N concentrations of living fine root increased significantly from urban sites to rural sites (Fig. 5, $P < 0.0001$). C:N ratios declined from 44 ± 4 in urban sites to 40 ± 3, 33 ± 2 and 28 ± 4 in urban/suburban, suburban/rural, and rural sites, respectively ($P < 0.0001$).

Microbial biomass C decreased significantly from urban to rural sites in the 0–10 cm soil layer (Fig. 4b, $P < 0.05$), but not significantly in 10–20 and 20–40 cm (Fig. 4b, both $P > 0.05$). Conversely, the extractable DOC was not significantly different among urbanization classes in any soil layer (Fig. 4c, $P > 0.05$ for each layer).
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Table 2. Characteristics of two soil fractions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil fraction</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Urban classes</th>
<th>C (%)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>C : N ratio</th>
<th>Percent of bulk soil mass (%)</th>
<th>Percent of bulk soil C (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>25.96 (3.66)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.11)</td>
<td>28.04 (0.91)</td>
<td>3.62 (0.53)</td>
<td>28.80 (4.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Urban/Suburban</td>
<td>21.50 (3.84)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.13)</td>
<td>25.29 (4.01)</td>
<td>3.54 (0.99)</td>
<td>28.25 (5.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>21.68 (2.92)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.05)</td>
<td>26.46 (2.46)</td>
<td>5.87 (1.33)</td>
<td>26.60 (4.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>25.29 (3.97)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.03)</td>
<td>40.67 (7.68)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.06)</td>
<td>19.81 (1.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Urban/Suburban</td>
<td>21.72 (2.50)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.02)</td>
<td>38.09 (5.52)</td>
<td>1.35 (0.21)</td>
<td>20.14 (1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Suburban/Rural</td>
<td>27.23 (5.30)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.11)</td>
<td>41.27 (5.43)</td>
<td>1.19 (0.24)</td>
<td>17.91 (1.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>25.55 (7.24)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.12)</td>
<td>36.74 (7.03)</td>
<td>1.55 (0.56)</td>
<td>15.06 (2.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1.66 (0.10) a</td>
<td>0.12 (0.02) a</td>
<td>14.30 (2.99)</td>
<td>96.37 (0.48)</td>
<td>71.20 (4.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Urban/Suburban</td>
<td>1.99 (0.40) a</td>
<td>0.15 (0.03) ab</td>
<td>14.21 (2.12)</td>
<td>96.45 (0.99)</td>
<td>71.75 (5.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Suburban/Rural</td>
<td>2.93 (0.54) b</td>
<td>0.19 (0.04) bc</td>
<td>14.97 (1.91)</td>
<td>95.90 (1.34)</td>
<td>72.78 (3.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>3.16 (0.44) b</td>
<td>0.25 (0.07) c</td>
<td>16.67 (3.10)</td>
<td>94.12 (1.33)</td>
<td>73.95 (4.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1.15 (0.18) a</td>
<td>0.09 (0.01) a</td>
<td>13.77 (2.32)</td>
<td>98.94 (0.06)</td>
<td>80.28 (1.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Urban/Suburban</td>
<td>1.21 (0.25) ab</td>
<td>0.09 (0.02) a</td>
<td>13.46 (2.93)</td>
<td>98.64 (0.21)</td>
<td>79.83 (1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Suburban/Rural</td>
<td>1.52 (0.36) bc</td>
<td>0.13 (0.03) ab</td>
<td>11.71 (2.06)</td>
<td>98.80 (0.24)</td>
<td>82.54 (1.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1.75 (0.22) c</td>
<td>0.17 (0.09) b</td>
<td>15.45 (4.14)</td>
<td>98.44 (0.56)</td>
<td>84.94 (1.15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The different letters indicate significant differences at $P < 0.05$ level, and no letters indicate no significant differences between different urbanization gradient classes, respectively (SNK test).

Values are means with S. E. in parentheses ($N = 3$ for urban and rural, $N = 4$ for urban/suburban and suburban/rural).

4 Discussion

SOC content ranged along the urban-to-rural gradient from 64.87 to 96.43 Mg ha$^{-1}$ in top 40 cm soil, well within the range (41.74 to 102.17 Mg ha$^{-1}$) reported for pine forests in Guangdong Province and other subtropical regions of China (Fang and Mo, 2002; Kang et al., 2006; Zheng et al., 2008; Jiang et al., 2011). Our results suggest that urbanization-induced environmental change has significantly decreased soil C content (Fig. 2b), rejecting our initial hypothesis and contradicting results from other studies. Pouyat et al. (2002) analyzed soil in New York red oak (*Quercus rubra* L.) forests and showed that soil C content significantly increased in urban sites compared with that in rural sites. In a semi-arid tropical desert ecosystem, similar results were also found by Koerner and Klpaték (2010) along an urban-to-rural gradient in Phoenix, Arizona.

Although the reasons for our observed pattern are not clear, we suggest two possible explanations. First, C input may be decreased in urban sites due to the reduction in below-ground root input to the soil. We found that fine root biomass was significantly lower in urban sites than that in suburban and rural sites (Fig. 4a). Indeed, C input via fine roots can equal C input from above-ground production (Nadelhoffer and Raich, 1992). Furthermore, because annual productivity of fine roots typically decreases with excess N availability (Nadelhoffer, 2000), it is likely that decreased fine root production arose from higher N deposition in more urbanized areas (Gilliam, 2006, 2007).

Second, soil C loss from urban sites may be enhanced by increasing SOM decomposition. Decomposition of SOM can be influenced by a variety of factors, including organic matter quality, microbial activity, and microclimate (Chapin et al., 2002). In our study, organic matter quality did not appear to change with degree of urbanization, since there were no sign-
al., 2009; Williams et al., 2005). Compared with such anthropogenic influences, our results suggest that the effects of urbanization-induced environmental changes on soil DOC flux may be negligible.

Decreases in soil C storage in urban areas appears largely driven by the change in the HF-OC pool (often considered passive C) rather than in the LF-OC pool (labile C) (Fig. 3). Contrary to our results, other work has found higher total passive C and lower labile C in soil from urban forests compared with soil from rural forests (Groffman et al., 1995), which was attributed to decreasing SOM recalcitrance, which was strongly linked to the reduction in air pollution and earthworm activity.

It has been suggested that the recalcitrance of SOM would increase with the formation of stable organo-mineral complexes via adsorption reactions (Sollins et al., 1996). We found that N concentration of HF was higher in rural sites than in suburban and urban sites (Table 2), suggesting that increasing amounts of N-rich material were adsorbed into mineral soil, possibly forming stable organo-mineral complexes in rural areas. N-rich proteinaceous compounds are important in the formation of organo-mineral complexes (Kleber et al., 2007). We suggest that these N-rich materials may arise from dead roots, considering that both dead fine root biomass and root N concentrations increased toward rural sites (Fig. 5). In addition, the enzyme-kinetic hypothesis predicts that degradation of low-quality substrate (recalcitrant molecular structure) has a higher temperature sensitivity compared with labile substrate, because the former requires higher total activation energy to fully mineralize substrate (Bosatta and Agren, 1999). Therefore, higher temperature in urban areas is likely to cause accelerated decomposition of HF-C and may be another reason for the lower HF-C content in urban sites.

In conclusion, we measured the forest SOC content along an urban-to-rural gradient in Guangdong Province, southern China. We found that the SOC content was significantly lower in urban areas than that in suburban and rural areas. It was suggested that decreased fine root biomass and potentially increased SOC decomposition were the possible reasons for this lower soil C pool in urban forests. We further found that HF-OC content also increased from the urban sites to rural sites, which was the main driver of the change in the total soil C pool. By contrast, LF-OC had no significant change in this study. These results are contrary to the general belief and the earlier studies, suggesting that
urbanization-induced environmental changes may decrease soil C sequestration in the studied forests. Our findings would be typical for tropical plantation forests; however, the results and corresponding control mechanisms should be further validated in various ecosystems and regions in the future.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at http://www.biogeosciences.net/10/6609/2013/bg-10-6609-2013-supplement.pdf.
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