Climate change and ocean acidification impacts on lower trophic levels and the export of organic carbon to the deep ocean
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Abstract. Most future projections forecast significant and ongoing climate change during the 21st century, but with the severity of impacts dependent on efforts to restrain or reorganise human activity to limit carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) emissions. A major sink for atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2}, and a key source of biological resources, the World Ocean is widely anticipated to undergo profound physical and – via ocean acidification – chemical changes as direct and indirect results of these emissions. Given strong biophysical coupling, the marine biota is also expected to experience strong changes in response to this anthropogenic forcing. Here we examine the large-scale response of ocean biogeochemistry to climate and acidification impacts during the 21st century for Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) 2.6 and 8.5 using an intermediate complexity global ecosystem model, MEDUSA-2.0. The primary impact of future change lies in stratification-led declines in the availability of key nutrients in surface waters, which in turn leads to a global decrease (1990s vs. 2090s) in ocean productivity (−6.3 %). This impact has knock-on consequences for the abundance of the low trophic level biogeochemical actors modelled by MEDUSA-2.0 (−5.8 %), and these would be expected to similarly impact higher trophic level elements such as fisheries. Related impacts are found in the flux of organic carbon to seafloor communities (−40.7 % at 1000 m), and in the volume of ocean suboxic zones (+12.5 %). A sensitivity analysis removing an acidification feedback on calcification finds that change in this process significantly impacts benthic communities, suggesting that a better understanding of the OA-sensitivity of calcifying organisms, and their role in ballasting sinking organic carbon, may significantly improve forecasting of these ecosystems. For all processes, there is geographical variability in change – for instance, productivity declines −21 % in the Atlantic and increases +59 % in the Arctic – and changes are much more pronounced under RCP 8.5 than the RCP 2.6 scenario.

1 Introduction

Starting at the dawn of the industrial era, atmospheric concentrations of carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) have steadily increased from approximately 280 ppm to almost 400 ppm, an absolute change that is greater than that between previous glacial and interglacial periods. This change has been driven largely by the combustion of so-called fossil fuels, but also by concomitant land-use changes and cement production. Many socio-economic analyses forecast it to continue into the future, with some scenarios of future human impact elevating CO\textsubscript{2} to almost 1000 ppm by the close of the current century (Houghton et al., 2001).

Nonetheless, rising atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} is already mitigated by a suite of earth system processes, on both land and in the ocean, that act to remove anthropogenic CO\textsubscript{2} to these reservoirs. In the case of the land, this is largely believed to be driven by the “fertilisation effect” that elevated atmospheric ρCO\textsubscript{2} has on terrestrial plants, which are able to fix CO\textsubscript{2} at a lower cost in terms of water loss (Van Minnen et al., 2009). By contrast, uptake of anthropogenic CO\textsubscript{2} by the ocean is believed to be driven by a series of physicochemical factors collectively referred to as the solubility pump. Here, elevated CO\textsubscript{2} dissolution in cold waters combines with
deep water formation in the same locations to draw CO₂ into the ocean’s interior. It is estimated that this process has accounted for about 30 % of the total anthropogenic emissions of CO₂ (Sabine et al., 2004), although it is suggested by some workers that the strength of the ocean’s solubility pump is in decline (Le Quéré et al., 2007).

Alongside the ocean’s solubility pump is the so-called biological pump, which represents the sum of a series of biologically mediated processes that act to transport carbon – as organic carbon – into the ocean’s interior. This pump is ultimately driven by the production of organic carbon by phytoplankton in the sunlit surface ocean and its subsequent aggregation into sinking particles (Volk and Hoffert, 1985; Buesseler et al., 2007). As dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) is abundant in seawater, primary production in the ocean is not limited by CO₂, but may instead be limited by the availability of nutrients such as nitrogen, silicon or iron (and by the activity of grazing zooplankton). As a result, the biological pump is not believed to have played any significant role in the ocean’s response to anthropogenic CO₂ to date (Gruber et al., 1996; but see also Boyce et al., 2010).

However, though the physical state of the ocean has changed only slightly since the start of the Industrial Revolution (cf. Levitus et al., 2005), future global warming is expected to cause significant changes to its stratification and circulation, with likely consequences for the biological pump (Van der Waal et al., 2010; Doney et al., 2012). An analysis of phytoplankton abundance (based on in situ and satellite observations) has suggested that change is already detectable and that they are declining in response to rising sea surface temperatures (Boyce et al., 2010, 2012; but see also Mackas, 2011; McQuatters-Gollop et al., 2011; Rykaczewski and Dunne, 2011; Boyce et al., 2011). And a number of modelling studies have found a similar relationship between increasing ocean stratification and declining ocean productivity and associated export (e.g. Bopp et al., 2001; Steinacher et al., 2010; but see also Taucher and Oschlies, 2011).

Related to oceanic uptake of anthropogenic CO₂ is ongoing ocean acidification (OA). This occurs as the dissolved anthropogenic CO₂ combines with H₂O and speciates into bicarbonate and carbonate ions and protons. It is estimated that, since the start of the Industrial Revolution, surface ocean pH has declined from approximately 8.2 to 8.1, an effective increase in acidity ([H⁺]) of 30 % (Orr et al., 2005), and a comparable magnitude change is expected by 2050 depending upon future CO₂ emissions (Orr et al., 2005). Furthermore, the longevity of significant change in ocean pH has been estimated at several hundred years, and extends far beyond the period of anthropogenic emissions of CO₂ (e.g. Caldeira and Wickett, 2003).

There are a number of potential consequences of OA (Raven et al., 2005; Doney et al., 2009), but one that is believed significant is its impact on the solubility of the mineral calcium carbonate (CaCO₃). This is widely produced by marine organisms ranging across both the trophic- and size-spectra, from primary producers, such as coccolithophorids, through to heterotrophs, such as protistan foraminifera and metazoan pteropods. It is typically produced to form structures such as cell coverings, shells or skeletons, and occurs in two major crystal forms, calcite and aragonite, that differ in their solubility. As the ocean acidifies, carbonate chemistry shifts such that the concentration of bicarbonate increases, but that of carbonate decreases. As a result, the solubility of CaCO₃ will increase, with potentially serious consequences for organisms using it for structural purposes (Orr et al., 2005; Fabry et al., 2008; Gangstø et al., 2011).

A more indirect impact of increased CaCO₃ solubility may lie in its connection with the biological pump. Based on sediment trap observations, it has been hypothesised that the sinking flux of organic material to the deep ocean is enhanced in the presence of CaCO₃, which acts to “protect” from remineralisation (Armstrong et al., 2002; Klaas and Archer, 2002). Should the abundance of calcifying organisms in the upper ocean decline in response to OA, this may shoo the remineralisation of organic material and diminish its flux into the abyssal ocean (Heinze, 2004). This may act to retain nutrients at shallower depths, but it may also act to decrease the food supply to seafloor communities reliant on sinking material.

Here we examine issues of future ocean productivity in response to climate change and OA using an intermediate complexity ecosystem model, MEDUSA-2.0. This model is used in simulations for the period 1860–2100 for two IPCC Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) of future CO₂ concentrations (RCP 2.6 and RCP 8.5), and with sensitivity experiments to explore the impact of OA on CaCO₃ production. The manuscript is structured as follows. First, MEDUSA-2.0 is briefly introduced, followed by a description of the simulation protocol. This is followed by an overview of the simulation’s performance, both physically and biogeochemically, for the recent period (1980–2010). Next, results are presented for the 21st century, with a particular focus on the changes found between the 1990s and the 2090s. Most of the analysis deals with the higher CO₂ pathway, RCP 8.5, but RCP 2.6 is also examined. The sensitivity of certain ecological processes such as export production to OA, through its connection to CaCO₃ production, is also explored. Key questions examined are

- What are the sign and magnitude of changes in primary and export production driven by climate and OA change?
- How is calcification affected by OA change, and how does this impact organic carbon supply to the deep ocean?

This manuscript is concerned with changes to low trophic level actors and major biogeochemical processes at the global scale, with some intercomparison between major basins where behaviour differs geographically. This
The aim of Hood et al., Moore et al. lists the partial differential equations that are used to model the plankton community. Though model diatoms have a higher C:N ratio than other types of phytoplankton, they are assumed to have a lower ratio; Anderson, 2005). This variable stoichiometry is accounted for in the flow of organic material to detrital pools, where both slow- and fast-sinking particulate organic material (POM) have variable C:N ratios depending upon the processes (plankton mortality, zooplankton egestion) that contribute to them. Note, however, that MEDUSA-2.0 omits the possibility of a dynamic response of phytoplankton C:N ratio (and, thus, organic carbon production) to increases in the oceanic concentration of DIC (Riebesell et al., 2007). Though model diatoms have a fixed C:N ratio, MEDUSA-2.0 includes a separate state variable for diatom opal, allowing a dynamic Si : N ratio. This allows MEDUSA-2.0 to reflect known diatom responses to the availability of silicic acid and other nutrients (Mongin et al., 2006).

In addition to the state variables for the 3-D water column, 4 further state variables have been added to represent 2-D pools of organic and biogenic material at the seafloor. These pools permit temporary storage of particulate material before it is returned to dissolved pools, and they represent an extremely crude submodel of the benthic ecosystem.

Table A1 lists MEDUSA-2.0’s state variables and their units. Appendix A lists the partial differential equations that describe MEDUSA-2.0. For further details, a full description of MEDUSA-2.0 can be found in Yool et al. (2013), with additional material from the description of its predecessor, MEDUSA-1.0, in Yool et al. (2011). However, within the context of this study, MEDUSA-2.0’s handling of CaCO₃ production is relevant, and is expanded here.

This biomineral is used within shells and other structures of a wide range of pelagic organisms, including phytoplankton and zooplankton. However, the factors (physico-chemical and ecological) controlling its production are not fully understood (cf. Hood et al., 2006), and there is considerable diversity in the approaches taken to represent calcification in marine models (e.g. Tyrrell and Taylor, 1996; Moore et al., 2002; Gehlen et al., 2007; Ridgwell et al., 2007; Zahariev et al., 2008; Yool et al., 2010). Reviewing this, Kelly-Gerreyn et al. (2009) found no strong support for any one approach.
over its rivals, and in MEDUSA-1.0 (Yool et al., 2011), calcification was via the rain ratio of CaCO$_3$ : C$_{org}$ made a simple function of latitude (partly following Dunne et al., 2007). Such a simplistic approach suited earlier work, but here the importance of OA and climate change favour a revised consideration.

In MEDUSA-2.0, calcification is instead calculated as a function of the ambient saturation state of the CaCO$_3$ polymorph calcite, $f_O(\Omega_{calcite})$. This varies geographically (and in time), with

$$f_O(\Omega_{calcite}) = (\Omega_{calcite} - 1)^n \cdot r_0$$

(1)

where $f_O(\Omega_{calcite})$ is the rain ratio of fast-sinking detrital particles. This approach to calcification is based on the formulation of Ridgwell et al. (2007), and uses the concentrations of calcium (normalised to salinity) and carbonate (calculated from DIC) ions to calculate $\Omega_{calcite}$. This term normalises CaCO$_3$ saturation such that values greater than 1 denote supersaturation (i.e. CaCO$_3$ insoluble), while values lower than 1 denote undersaturation (i.e. CaCO$_3$ soluble). Parameters $n$ and $r_0$ then control the shape of this function (per Ridgwell et al., 2007). In MEDUSA-2.0 $\Omega_{calcite}$ is calculated locally (horizontally and vertically), and also dictates where the biomineral is dissolves in the water column. The total quantity of CaCO$_3$ produced is the product of $f_O(\Omega_{calcite})$ and the production of such particles, in terms of C$_{org}$. Note that, although calcite is used as the basis of calcification in MEDUSA-2.0, $\Omega_{aragonite}$ is also calculated as a diagnostic (see Sect. 3).

2.2 Ocean physics

The underlying physical model used in this work is version 3.2 of the Nucleus for European Modelling of the Ocean (NEMO; Madec, 2008). This is comprised of an ocean general circulation model, OPA9 (Madec et al., 1998; Madec, 2008), coupled with a sea-ice model, Louvain-la-Neuve Ice Model version 2 (LIM2; Timmermann et al., 2005). This physical framework is configured at approximately $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ horizontal resolution (292 $\times$ 362 grid points), with a focus on resolution around the equator to improve the representation of equatorial upwelling. Vertical space is divided into 64 levels, which increase in thickness with depth, from approximately 6 m at the surface to 250 m at 6000 m. To improve the representation of deep water circulation, partial level thicknesses are used in the specification of bottom topography. Vertical mixing is parameterised using the turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) scheme of Gaspar et al. (1990), with modifications by Madec (2008).

The sea-ice submodel used here, LIM2, is based upon viscous–plastic ice rheology (Hibler, 1979) and three layer (two layers of sea ice, one layer of snow) thermodynamics (Semtner, 1976), with a number of updated physical processes (see Timmermann et al., 2005; and references therein). Model sea ice is coupled to the ocean every 5 ocean time steps through the non-linear quadratic drag law of the shear between sea-ice and ocean surface velocity (Timmermann et al., 2005). Freshwater exchange between the ocean and sea ice is calculated from precipitation and ice formation/melting (Fichefet and Morales Maqueda, 1997), where sea-ice salinity is assumed to be 4 psu and rain/snow are assumed fresh. The heat flux between the sea ice and ocean is proportional to the departure in temperature from salinity-dependent freezing point and the friction velocity at the ice–ocean interface. Solar radiation can penetrate sea ice not covered by snow, and is dissipated by brine pockets within the ice where it increases latent heat storage (Fichefet and Morales Maqueda, 1997).

2.3 Surface forcing

In Yool et al. (2011), NEMO was forced at the ocean surface for the period 1966–2005 using DFS4.1 fields developed by the European DRAKKAR collaboration (DRAKKAR Group, 2007). As MEDUSA-2.0 includes the ocean’s carbon cycle, and since this is currently undergoing secular change driven by increasing atmospheric concentrations of CO$_2$, simulations running over a longer period of time are necessary. There are a number of approaches to achieve this including, for instance, the use of a climatological average or “normal year” (e.g. Najjar et al., 2007), or the repeated cycling of historical forcing (e.g. Yool et al., 2010). These have the advantage of using actual observationally derived forcing, but also assume that the recent past from which they are derived is representative of earlier periods of time (in spite of ongoing climate change). An alternative approach is to utilise forcing derived from either atmospheric models or coupled ocean-atmosphere models. These are routinely run in long duration simulations that span pre-industrial or pre-20th century periods when there was comparatively little change in climate or the carbon cycle. They also offer the opportunity to forecast biogeochemical cycles into the future with a significantly different climate from that of the present day.

Here, NEMO is forced following this latter approach, using output from a simulation of the HadGEM2-ES Earth system model developed by the UK Meteorological Office (UKMO). HadGEM2-ES comprises underlying physical atmosphere and ocean components with representations of the terrestrial and oceanic carbon cycles, and tropospheric chemistry and aerosols (Collins et al., 2011). The simulations used here were performed as part of the UKMO’s input to the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project 5 (CMIP5) (Jones et al., 2011) and Assessment Report 5 (AR5) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). HadGEM2-ES output was processed into the same forcing fields as that provided by the DFS4.1 forcing previously used with MEDUSA-1.0. The frequency of the output fields also matched that of DFS4.1, i.e. monthly for precipitation (rain, snow, runoff), daily for radiation (downwelling short- and long-wave) and 6-hourly for the turbulent variables (air
temperature, humidity and wind velocities). Note that the reference height of forcing in HadGEM2-ES differs from that of DFS4.1, but that NEMO’s bulk formulae allow this height to readily be changed to accommodate HadGEM2-ES.

2.4 Initialisation

For maximum congruence with the surface forcing, temperature and salinity fields are initialised here using output from HadGEM2-ES valid for the same time as the forcing. To prevent excessive drift, sea surface salinity (SSS) is relaxed towards that derived from HadGEM2-ES. Unlike simulations under DFS4.1, where an invariant monthly mean climatology of SSS values is used, here the SSS target consists of a monthly time series running across the forcing period. The relaxation timescale is approximately 30 days for the open ocean, and 12 days under sea ice. The freshwater budget is also monitored for imbalances between integrated downward and upward fluxes, and a correction term applied between years (i.e. an imbalance in year \(X\) is corrected for in year \(X+1\)).

MEDUSA-2.0’s fields of DIN, silicic acid and oxygen were initialised using January values from the World Ocean Atlas 2009 (Garcia et al., 2010a). Similarly to MEDUSA-1.0, total iron was initialised using an iron field derived from a long-duration simulation of a lower resolution GCM (Parekh et al., 2005; Dutkiewicz et al., 2005). DIC and alkalinity were initialised using the GLODAP climatology (Key et al., 2004). It was assumed that GLODAP’s pre-industrial DIC field is approximately valid for the 1860 start of this simulation, though this approach has known issues concerning the ocean’s anthropogenic CO\(_2\) inventory in 1860 (e.g. Yool et al., 2010). Note that the GLODAP fields used here required modification to account for large regional lacunae such as the Arctic Ocean. This was achieved using a series of localised multiple linear regressions (MLRs) derived using World Ocean Atlas (WOA) and Global Ocean Data Analysis Project (GLODAP) values from adjacent regions – see Yool et al. (2013) for a description of the procedure.

2.5 Simulation

The configuration of NEMO–MEDUSA-2.0 described above was simulated from 1860 through 2005. In 2005 two separate simulations were initialised from the model’s state to follow either the RCP 2.6 or RCP 8.5 CO\(_2\) pathways to the end of 2099. Figure 2 shows the atmospheric pCO\(_2\) in these scenarios, together with the resulting globally averaged surface air temperatures (as simulated in HadGEM2-ES). Surface air temperatures increase by around 1.5 °C through the next century in RCP 2.6, with CO\(_2\) concentrations increasing by around 50 ppm. Temperatures are broadly stable from 2050 onwards. RCP 8.5, by contrast, sees increases in CO\(_2\) concentration of over 500 ppm and warming of 5 °C with temperatures increasing rapidly by 2100.

Fig. 2. The left panel shows atmospheric pCO\(_2\) concentrations for the historical period (black; 1860–2005), RCP 2.6 (green; 2005–2100) and RCP 8.5 (red; 2005–2100). The right panel shows global average surface air temperature simulated for the same period.

3 Results

3.1 Validation

This subsection presents a brief overview of the performance of both NEMO and MEDUSA-2.0 in recent decades for the purposes of validation and to illustrate the “ground state” against which change occurs. A more thorough overview of MEDUSA-2.0’s performance can be found in Yool et al. (2013), including an intercomparison with comparable biogeochemical models from the CMIP5 archive.

Figure 3 shows an intercomparison of simulated, globally averaged sea surface temperature (SST) and total Arctic sea-ice area (= grid cell area \(\times\) fractional ice cover) with that from the Hadley Centre Sea Ice and Sea Surface Temperature (HadISST) observational dataset for the period 1980 to 2009 (inclusive). In the case of both plots, the solid lines indicate annual average, while the shaded regions denote the extent of monthly ranges for both properties. While the patterns of interannual variability in SST are clearly not identical between observations and model, the long-term warming trend is comparable, though the model tends to show a slightly broader monthly range. Similarly with Arctic sea-ice trends, though the model tends to systematically overestimate sea-ice area.

Figure 4 shows corresponding geographical plots of SST for June-July-August (JJA) and December-January-February (DJF), averaged in this case for the period 2000–2009. While there is considerable, and unsurprising, congruence between the observations and model, there are also noticeable differences. For instance, the Peruvian and Benguela upwelling regions – demarcated by lower temperatures – are much less pronounced in NEMO. Also, the position of the Gulf Stream in the North Atlantic is clearly shifted eastwards in the model relative to observations, and Southern Ocean waters are marginally warmer in NEMO than in the HadISST observations.
Fig. 3. The panels show annual average (solid lines) and seasonal range (shaded regions) of sea surface temperature (left) and Arctic sea-ice area (right) for the HadISST climatology (red) and NEMO (blue).

Fig. 4. Observed (left) and simulated (right) sea surface temperature for the periods June-July-August (JJA; top) and December-January-February (DJF; bottom). Averages based on the period 2000–2009 inclusive. Temperature in °C.

SST is only one aspect of the physical ocean that influences ocean biology. Of greater importance is the vertical structure of the ocean since this governs the availability of light and nutrients for phytoplankton. Figure 5 illustrates this by comparing annual average fields of observational and NEMO mixed layer depth, pycnocline depth and nutricline depth. Observational fields are derived here from the WOA while, similarly to Fig. 4, model fields are averaged for the period 2000–2009. Mixed layer depth is calculated here using a temperature criterion of 0.5°C (Monterey and Levitus, 1997), and NEMO’s global mean of 133 m is close to that calculated from the WOA, 127 m. Nonetheless, there are a number of differences, with NEMO typically overestimating the size of areas of deep mixing at high latitudes, as well as areas of shallow mixed layer depth at tropical latitudes. Pycnocline depth is calculated using the method of Gnanadesikan et al. (2002), and geographical agreement between NEMO and that estimated from the WOA is generally better than that of MLD. At the global scale, average pycnocline depth is 570 m in NEMO and 584 m in the WOA. Finally, while NEMO’s nutricline (where the steepest vertical gradient in DIN concentration occurs) shows broad agreement with the WOA in terms of spatial patterns, there are significant differences, most notably within the subtropical gyres where NEMO’s nutricline can be several hundred metres shallower than that estimated from the WOA. However, estimating nutricline depth from the WOA is complicated by its relatively low vertical resolution, and by limited data availability in some regions (note the blotches caused by interpolation in the Southern Ocean). NEMO’s global average nutricline is 172 m compared to 174 m estimated from the WOA.

Figure 6 shows annual average sea-ice cover for the north and south polar regions. In the case of the Arctic, while NEMO tends to underestimate the level of ice cover in the high Arctic all year around, it does better with sea-ice extent, though slightly underestimating it (90.7 % of observed). The situation is less satisfactory in the Southern Hemisphere, where NEMO consistently and significantly underestimates sea ice (49.0 % of observed). While this is most noticeable in the summer, where sea-ice retreats almost completely (10.2 % of observed), modelled winter sea ice is also substantially below that observed (62.4 % of observed; results not shown).
These Southern Ocean discrepancies in SST and sea ice are related to deficiencies in modelled large-scale circulation in this region. While the strength of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) is estimated at around 130–140 Sv (Cunningham et al., 2003), in NEMO it is noticeably stronger at 220 Sv, and toward the high end of comparable models (CMIP5 range of 90–264 Sv; Meijers et al., 2012). This is accompanied by stronger deep water formation around the margins of Antarctica, and the penetration of greater volumes of Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW) into the Pacific and, particularly, the Atlantic basins. As previous work with conventional surface forcing (e.g. Yool et al., 2011) has produced circulation states more in keeping with those observed, while experiments with initial physical states derived from the WOA instead of HadGEM2-ES are similar to that used here, the stronger circulation here would appear a function of the HadGEM2-ES forcing used in this work. Note that ocean circulation in other regions of the model is more congruent with that observed.

In terms of biogeochemistry, this excessive Southern Ocean circulation manifests itself in the distributions of biogeochemical tracers, most notably in over-ventilated deeper waters. For instance, this leads to elevated surface nutrient concentrations in this region and more distinct “tongues” of water with AABW nutrient signatures in the deep Atlantic and Pacific basins (results not shown; see Yool et al., 2013). In terms of dissolved oxygen, the elevated ventilation means that the higher concentrations of this tracer that occur in surface waters are mixed down to abyssal depths in NEMO, with values around 50 mmol m$^{-3}$ higher than those observed. Away from the Southern Ocean, discrepancies are less pronounced and MEDUSA-2.0’s nutrient and oxygen distributions are more similar to those observed. Recognised issues are iron stress in the equatorial Pacific tends to decrease production in this region; low silicic acid concentrations outside of the Southern Ocean, particularly in the northern Pacific where concentrations in this HNLC region should be higher; and too-shallow remineralisation, most noticeably in the equatorial Pacific, where subsurface concentrations of nutrients and DIC are elevated and oxygen depleted (Yool et al., 2013).

Switching to biogeochemical processes, the upper panels of Fig. 7 show observationally estimated and modelled fields of primary production. For simplicity of presentation, “Observed” here is a simple average of three estimates made using the VGPM (Behrenfeld and Falkowski, 1997), Eppley-VGPM (Carr et al., 2006) and CbPM (Westberry et al., 2008) techniques. In general, MEDUSA-2.0’s spatio-temporal distribution of production follows that of observations, though there are a number of notable differences. For instance, North Atlantic productivity is too low, while Southern Ocean production is too high. In the tropics, equatorial upwelling regions are more productive in MEDUSA-2.0, while oligotrophic regions are less productive than in observational estimates; in addition, MEDUSA-2.0’s total production, 41.6 Pg C yr$^{-1}$, is slightly below the bottom of the broad range of observational estimates, 46–60 Pg C yr$^{-1}$ (though above many comparable models; e.g. Steinacher et al., 2010).

The lower panels of Fig. 7 compare patterns of observationally estimated (Takahashi et al., 2009) and modelled air–sea CO$_2$ flux. Again, general patterns in MEDUSA-2.0 follow that of the observational climatology, though, again, there are a number of differences. For instance, outgassing in the Indian Ocean is much less pronounced in MEDUSA-2.0, while outgassing in the Peruvian upwelling region is stronger. The modelled North Atlantic is also a more homogeneous sink than observations suggest (e.g. around Iceland), as well as being weaker in summer months (results not shown). Though, overall, model performance is reasonable, with modelled global annual net flux, 1.35 Pg C yr$^{-1}$, close to that estimated from observations, 1.42 Pg C yr$^{-1}$ (Takahashi et al., 2009).

As noted above, for a more comprehensive overview of simulated biogeochemistry, the reader is directed to Yool et al. (2013). In general, and in common with comparable models (Steinacher et al., 2010; Meijers et al., 2012), NEMO and MEDUSA-2.0 present a number of discrepancies with observed quantities. As such, qualitative and relative changes are of greater significance than their absolute magnitudes.

### 3.2 1990s versus 2090s

In this subsection, the change in major biogeochemical processes across the 21st century driven by climate and OA is examined and illustrated. For simplicity, analysis is primarily focused on two decades one century apart: the 1990s and the 2090s. The results presented are from the more extreme RCP 8.5, with corresponding findings under RCP 2.6 presented in a following subsection.
Contrasting with Fig. 3, Fig. 8 shows the evolution of sea surface temperature and Arctic sea-ice area through the 21st century, for both RCP 2.6 and RCP 8.5. As previously noted, though $pCO_2$ differs significantly between the two scenarios (particularly after 2030), sea surface temperature is changed to a more modest degree. In the case of RCP 2.6, SST is elevated by around 1°C, whereas with RCP 8.5 it increases by around 3.5°C. However, SST is approximately stable after 2050 in RCP 2.6, but still increasing (at 0.5°C per decade) under RCP 8.5 at 2100.

While temperature trends are relatively smooth, Arctic sea ice shows distinctly more step-like behaviour under RCP 8.5. Around 2050, the seasonal minimum of Arctic sea-ice area drops dramatically by more than $2 \times 10^6$ km$^2$ over the course of just a decade, and from around 2070 onwards, the Arctic experiences near-zero sea ice for at least some of the year. By contrast, RCP 2.6 shows Arctic sea-ice stabilisation after around 2050, with an average cover approximately 70% that in the 1980s. Note, however, that even under RCP 2.6’s weaker warming, the modelled seasonal minimum is less than half of that during the 1980s.

To illustrate the geographical patterns in the physical ocean under climate change, Fig. 9 shows decadal-average SST and mixed layer depth (MLD) for the 1990s and 2090s under RCP 8.5. Though there are regions such as the Southern Ocean where warming is less pronounced, most other areas are significantly warmer by the 2090s. Equatorial waters, for instance, are around 4–5°C warmer, while high latitude regions in the Northern Hemisphere are 5–10°C warmer. Mixed layer depths are generally shallower, with significant declines in mixing in regions, such as the subpolar North Atlantic and the Southern Ocean, where deep water formation typically occurs. Already shallow mixing in the tropics and subtropics is further shoaled. An exception is the Arctic Ocean, where waters previously isolated by permanent sea-ice cover are now (at least) seasonally ice-free, and mixing is enhanced (MLDs are broadly doubled, 15 m to 30 m).

Figure 10 further illustrates the changes in MLD, together with those in pycnocline and nutricline depths. At global scale, MLD decreases from a 1990s average of 135 m to a 2090s average of 113 m, and while there is considerable scatter in local changes, it is clear that there is a systematic and significant shallowing of MLD in those regions which previously had deep mixed layers. Pycnocline depths also decrease from a 1990s average of 574 m to a 2090s average of 520 m. Figure 10 shows that while changes are not as large as for MLD, there is a tendency for regions with deeper pycnoclines (> 500 m) to experience the largest absolute shallowing. In particular, the deep pycnoclines in the northern
portions of the Atlantic and Pacific basins show pronounced shallowing. Changes in nutricline depth are different again, though the 1990s average of 174 m also declines, to 165 m, by the 2090s. However, as Fig. 10 shows, shallow nutriclines (< 200 m) tend to deepen from the 1990s to the 2090s, while deep nutriclines (> 300 m) instead broadly tend to shoal, though there is a degree of scatter about this general pattern. This deepening occurs because, in temperate and sub-polar regions where there were once shallower nutriclines, warming enhances stratification, with the result that mixing is unable to replenish nutrient levels from deeper waters.

As an illustration of the contrasting nature of the poles, Fig. 11 shows the seasonal maxima of sea-ice cover for both the north and south poles for the 1990s and 2090s. Though Southern Ocean sea-ice cover has significantly declined by −38.2 % (March: −95.5 %; September: −33.9 %), Arctic sea ice is catastrophically depleted by −78.8 % (March: −55.4 %; September: −100 %), with sea ice confined to areas adjacent to surrounding landmasses, and with almost no sea ice over the north pole itself. During summer months (results not shown), both Antarctic and Arctic sea ice is entirely lost throughout their respective regions.

Figures 12–15 illustrate the change in key biogeochemical variables and processes between the 1990s and the 2090s. In Fig. 12, the impact on the surface concentrations of macronutrients is shown. DIN concentrations are uniformly decreased, particularly in the equatorial Pacific and, especially, the North Atlantic. The North Pacific is less impacted, with almost no change in the west of the basin, and modest changes in the east. Silicic acid concentrations are similarly impacted, though already low concentrations in the North Atlantic make the changes there less pronounced. The Southern Ocean sees the largest regional declines, particularly adjacent to the Antarctic landmass (though concentrations remain far above limiting values), but there is also a region of increase in a northward plume in deep water off the western coast of South America. Overall, 2090s surface DIN changes by −11.2 %, whereas surface silicic acid changes by only −1.7 % (upper 100 m changes are, respectively, −8.7 % and −1.5 %).

The situation is somewhat different for the micronutrient iron (MEDUSA-2.0 uses the submodel of Dutkiewicz et al., 2005; see Yool et al., 2013 for more details). As well as being transported to the surface ocean by physical processes, this nutrient is directly supplied to the ocean in MEDUSA-2.0 by aeolian deposition (global; spatio-temporally variable) and by benthic supply (coastal; spatio-temporally constant). As such, its patterns are distinct and different from those of macronutrients, and these differences continue into its future response to climate change (results not shown). In marked contrast to both nitrogen and silicon, Atlantic Ocean iron concentrations – particularly those at high northern latitudes – increase. The Pacific and Southern oceans generally
see declines in surface iron, with the North Pacific showing large-scale drops (though with some coastal increases on its western margin). The plume of silicic acid in the southeastern Pacific noted previously is associated with one such decline in iron. Note, of course, that there is no modelled change in aeolian iron into the future—total deposition and its geographical pattern remain fixed despite climate change.

In contrast to nutrient concentrations, dissolved oxygen concentrations, surface and deep, serve to illustrate a quite different impact of climate warming, namely on the solubility of biogeochemically significant gases. Isocones of oxygen are uniformly pushed polewards in both hemispheres, with particularly large declines in the Arctic Ocean, where both elevated temperatures and increased exposure to the atmosphere occur under future climate change (results not shown). Globally averaged, the surface dissolved oxygen concentration changes by $-5.9\%$ between the 1990s and the 2090s. Meanwhile, total oceanic concentration of dissolved oxygen falls by $-2.1\%$, and there is an increase in the volume of suboxic ($<20$ mmol O$_2$ m$^{-3}$) waters by 12.5%. Since the simulation forecasts lower export production into the future, subsurface oxygen demand by remineralisation is also reduced, which should instead favour higher concentrations. However, the decline in dissolved oxygen is driven in part by stronger stratification and weaker mixing that act to decrease ventilation of the ocean interior, and in part by warmer SSTs that decrease the saturation concentrations of oxygen in newly formed water masses. In passing, note that MEDUSA-2.0 overestimates the present-day (2000–2009) volume of suboxic water relative to the WOA ($30.4 \times 10^6$ km$^3$ compared to $13.1 \times 10^6$ km$^3$).

In terms of the ocean biota, Fig. 13 shows the changes in both surface chlorophyll concentrations and total phytoplankton biomass across the 21st century. The largest changes in terms of chlorophyll occur in the Northern Hemisphere, with the North Atlantic showing significant and widespread declines, particularly in the east, while the North Pacific shows a marked increase, particularly in the west. Changes elsewhere are much less dramatic, typically being small declines. In terms of phytoplankton standing stock, these patterns are generally repeated, although the Pacific increase in chlorophyll is on top of a marked decline in underlying biomass. Overall, annual average biomass changes by $-5.7\%$ over the 21st century, with the decline split unevenly between the diatoms ($-12.2\%$) and the non-diatoms ($-3.5\%$). However, the biomass of both groups increases significantly in one region, the Arctic ($+25.8\%$, $+58.5\%$ respectively), albeit from a low base in the ice-bound 1990s.

Switching from standing stock to productivity, Fig. 14 shows total primary production, and the fraction that occurs in the upper mixed layer, between the 1990s and the 2090s. Globally integrated production changes by $-6.3\%$, but there is considerable regional variability. The Atlantic sees the largest decline, falling by $-21.1\%$, while the Arctic sees the greatest rise, $+59.1\%$ (again, from a relatively low base).

Interestingly, though phytoplankton biomass declines in the North Pacific, productivity follows surface chlorophyll and shows a noticeable increase. In terms of where productivity lies vertically, the lower panels of Fig. 14 show that, almost universally, less production occurs within the mixed layer by the 2090s. This is most marked in the Atlantic ($-27.5\%$) and the Arctic ($-31.7\%$) oceans.

These changes to phytoplankton productivity are driven by the increasing ocean stratification—and the resulting nutrient changes—previously shown. Figure 15 illustrates another facet of this, namely the most limiting nutrient for both modelled phytoplankton. In the case of non-diatoms, the area of the ocean most limited by the availability of iron decreases as DIN becomes more limiting, most notably in the North Atlantic and parts of the Arctic. For diatoms, the Atlantic regions where productivity is most affected by DIN grow, but otherwise silicic acid limitation imposes restrictions on growth. Iron does play a more important role in the southern Pacific (particularly in the east), but in the Northern Hemisphere, iron stress becomes relatively less significant.

Though phytoplankton productivity has declined, aspects of the changes in ocean physics should favour their growth. For instance, warmer temperatures permit higher maximum growth rates (Eppley, 1972), while shallower mixing confines phytoplankton cells within a thinner mixed layer and increases the average irradiance that they experience. Table 1 presents a summary of the changes in phytoplankton biomass, productivity and growth rates between the 1990s and 2090s. As already noted, both biomass and productivity decline across the 21st century, but this occurs against the backdrop of increasing potential growth rates, both for non-diatoms and diatoms. However, both modelled phytoplankton groups experience increasing nutrient limitation, and
actual growth rates are markedly lower than potential ones. Nonetheless, in the case of non-diatoms, the increase in potential growth rates is sufficient to – on average – marginally offset increasing nutrient limitation, although this is not the case for model diatoms. Note that the average growth rates shown here are calculated using a weighting by biomass to avoid being skewed by those from warm, well-lit, but unproductive, regions such as ocean gyres.

The patterns in primary production mentioned above are broadly echoed in those of detritus production and export production at 100 m (results not shown). The former declines globally by −7.6 % (Atlantic: −24.2 %; Arctic: +63.7 %), and the latter by −11.8 % (Atlantic: −28.3 %; Arctic: +48.8 %). Interestingly, the magnitude of change between the 1990s and 2090s increases as detrital material sinks down the water column. At 200 m it is −16.0 %; at 500 m it is −25.8 %; and at 1000 m it is −40.7 %. This is indicative of shallower remineralisation, driven in part by warmer ocean temperatures and faster recycling, and in part by changes to detrital ballasting (see below).

Alongside organic material, MEDUSA-2.0 estimates the production of the biominerals opal (produced by diatoms) and CaCO₃ (a function of fast-sinking particle production). As noted above, the latter is impacted by ocean acidification as well as changes in ocean productivity. As shown in the upper panels of Fig. 16, opal production broadly declines into the future, falling by −5.8 %, but with a strong decline in the Atlantic Ocean (−18.0 %) and a small increase in the Southern Ocean (+2.9 %). This general decline is smaller than the drop in both diatom biomass (−12.2 %) and diatom fraction of primary production (−14.1 %), and indicates an increase in the Si : N ratio of diatom productivity (which is also apparent in the Si : N ratio of diatom standing stock). This is driven by MEDUSA-2.0’s diatom silicon submodel (Mongin et al., 2006), which permits diatoms to continue to uptake silicic acid and synthesise opal even while biomass production is limited by nitrogen or iron availability.

The production of CaCO₃ changes much more significantly during the 21st century. As the lower panels of Fig. 16 show, production universally declines, with a global average fall of −54.9 % between the 1990s and 2090s. Unsurprisingly, given changes in general productivity, this decline is largest in the Atlantic Ocean (−66.8 %), but it occurs even in the Arctic Ocean (−47.3 %) which is otherwise considerably more productive (+59.1 %). This latter feature is due to the acidification feedback included in MEDUSA-2.0 mentioned previously, which has its strongest impact in Arctic waters.
Fig. 16. Annual average 1990s (left) and 2090s (right) opal production (top; mmol Si m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) and CaCO\(_3\) production (bottom; mmol C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)).

Fig. 17. Annual average 1990s (left) and 2090s (right) surface pH (top; –) and surface Ω\(_{\text{calcite}}\) (bottom; –).

Fig. 18. Time evolution of global vertical profiles of DIC (top left; mmol C m\(^{-3}\)), alkalinity (top right; meq m\(^{-3}\)), pH (bottom left; –) and Ω\(_{\text{calcite}}\) (bottom right; –).

where the solubility of CaCO\(_3\) (Ω\(_{\text{calcite}}\)) is most significantly impacted.

Figure 17 shows the impact of ocean acidification on both surface pH and Ω\(_{\text{calcite}}\). During the 21st century, the global pH declines from 8.07 to 7.74, which corresponds to an increase in proton concentration ([H\(^+\)]\(_{\text{aq}}\)) by a factor of 2.18. The change in pH is greatest in the Arctic Ocean, where [H\(^+\)]\(_{\text{aq}}\) increases by a factor of 2.81 (pH falls from 8.15 to 7.69). Globally, Ω\(_{\text{calcite}}\) falls from 4.56 to 2.72, but in the Arctic Ocean it changes from 2.37 to 1.08. While this spatio-temporal average value is above 1.0, the Arctic is widely unsaturated with respect to CaCO\(_3\) both regionally and seasonally by the 2090s.

Figure 18 shows the corresponding changes in profiles of carbonate-relevant properties over the 21st century. While the upper right panel shows the nearly unchanging profile of ocean alkalinity, the upper left panel shows the steady invasion of the ocean by anthropogenic CO\(_2\). The result of which can be seen in the lower two panels showing pH and Ω\(_{\text{calcite}}\). These show the significant reorganisation of the ocean’s carbonate chemistry system in the surface and midwater driven by ocean acidification.

Finally, to illustrate the temporal progression of ocean acidification, Fig. 19 shows the calendar time at which surface waters in the Arctic and Antarctic—the regions most impacted by acidification during the 21st century—first become undersaturated (based on monthly average output). The upper panel shows the results for the calcite polymorph of CaCO\(_3\), while the lower panels show the same for the aragonite polymorph. Because calcite is the more stable polymorph, it was chosen as the yardstick with MEDUSA-2.0 estimates changes in pelagic calcification in the ocean. However, as the panels show, this choice gives a more conservative answer on impacts and may miss significantly earlier change to polar communities caused by shifts in the ecological success of calcifying organisms. Nonetheless, even in the case of calcite parts of the Arctic Ocean are estimated by MEDUSA-2.0 to be undersaturated by the middle of the 21st century. The Southern Ocean does not become undersaturated during this century, but the aragonite panel indicates ubiquitous undersaturation by the closing decades of the century. Note also that while the Southern Ocean exhibits a “bullseye” appearance whereby time-of-first-undersaturation correlates well with latitude, the Arctic Ocean shows considerable horizontal heterogeneity associated with bathymetry, sea-ice formation, runoff receipt and the sources of its water masses (Atlantic vs. Pacific). This complexity of response...
will likely complicate monitoring efforts in this latter basin (Popova et al., 2013).

### 3.3 RCP 2.6 versus RCP 8.5

In the preceding section, emphasis was placed on the more extreme of the two scenarios considered in this work, RCP 8.5. Here, the results from RCP 2.6 are examined.

As already shown in Figs. 2 and 8, RCP 2.6 displays significant climate warming in spite of considerably lower CO₂ concentrations relative to RCP 8.5. However, as illustrated by Fig. 20 and Tables 2 and 3, the biogeochemical changes are markedly weaker than those found under RCP 8.5. Consistent with the stabilisation of climate change during the latter half of the 21st century, and with the decline in atmospheric pCO₂, downward trends from the first half of the century cease or are in reverse by 2100.

Nonetheless, the impacts of ocean acidification are still felt under RCP 2.6, with the largest percentage change in Table 3 being that of CaCO₃ production. The decline in calcification and the acidification-driven shoaling of the lysocline can also be seen in the downward fluxes of export production. While, as noted above, primary (and detrital) production is elevated in the 2090s (+1.4 %; +1.1 %), CaCO₃ production still significantly declines (−9.6 %), and export production at 100 m and, especially, 1000 m is significantly decreased (−8.2 %).

Overall, while the climate-driven impacts on ocean biogeochemistry can be much lower under RCP 2.6, those from ocean acidification are still very evident.

### 3.4 Calcification feedback

In the results of RCP 8.5 above, attention was drawn to OA impacts on calcification in MEDUSA-2.0. However, as discussed in the introduction, there remains considerable uncertainty in how this process will respond to OA into the future, and how best to model it. Here, the results of a sensitivity experiment, RCP 8.5B, in which calcification is made insensitive to OA are examined.

Insensitivity to 21st century OA was implemented as follows. Equation (1) was used as normal until the end of year 1999. At this point, $f_o(O_{\text{calcite}})$ was switched from dynamic variable to one based on an unchanging seasonal climatology. This climatology was prepared from monthly averages of $f_o(O_{\text{calcite}})$ for the 1990s. Note that though this effectively decoupled the synthesis of CaCO₃ from OA, the dissolution of CaCO₃ was still modelled as a function of ambient $O_{\text{calcite}}$.

Tables 4 and 5 respectively present a summary of the changes in upper ocean tracer concentrations, and major biogeochemical fluxes that result. In terms of tracer changes,
while the two simulations are not identical, most differences are relatively minor. However, there are differences in the sign of change between the 1990s and 2090s for silicic acid, iron and alkalinity. Previously a small increase in surface iron was reported, but here it is a larger decrease, while silicic acid shows the reverse. These differences show no systematic geographical patterns, nor are they reflective of large-scale changes in biogeochemical fluxes (e.g. despite more silicic acid, opal production declines further). The alkalinity change is more straightforward, since declining calcification decreases the export of alkalinity from the upper ocean driven by the so-called “hard tissues” component of the biological pump. Note that the seemingly small magnitude of alkalinity change is the result of a large background reservoir size.

In terms of fluxes, these are also broadly similar between the two simulations, but there are noticeable systematic differences. Calcification, while still down in RCP 8.5B relative to the 1990s, is much less impacted (−17.5 % versus −55.6 %), and a major consequence of this lies – as implied previously – in the fate of the export flux. While this too is decreased in RCP 8.5B relative to the 1990s, it is much less so, and this reflects a greater penetration of $C_{\text{org}}$ into the ocean interior, per MEDUSA-2.0’s ballast submodel. In fact, both seafloor receipt and standing stock of $C_{\text{org}}$ are elevated in RCP 8.5B relative to the 1990s. This change between RCP 8.5 and RCP 8.5B suggests that a major biotic response to OA may be in the fate of benthic communities that may actually be isolated from the direct effects of OA. The panels of Fig. 21 illustrate this by showing the change in both $\text{CaCO}_3$ production and $C_{\text{org}}$ flux at 1000 m for RCP 8.5 and RCP 8.5B. Declines in both fields are much more pronounced in RCP 8.5, and a strong correlation between both fluxes is clearly visible in the two simulations.

Finally, integrated over the course of the 21st century, oceanic uptake of $\text{CO}_2$ in RCP 8.5B is slightly lower than that in RCP 8.5 (−2.2 % of total uptake). Since both simulations share a common climate, this effect stems from changes in ocean biogeochemistry. As noted above, RCP 8.5B exhibits both lower production (primary and export) and lower surface alkalinity compared with RCP 8.5, both of which act to decrease the ocean’s absorption of $\text{CO}_2$. The former by removing a direct source of $\text{CO}_2$ consumption (and sequestration), the latter by decreasing the ocean’s buffering capacity for DIC.

4 Discussion

Here we have described the application of an intermediate complexity ecosystem model, MEDUSA-2.0, to forecasting the future behaviour of ocean biogeochemistry under plausible future climates that span a broad range of implied human influence on the climate. Prior to quantifying
future change, the performance of both MEDUSA-2.0 and its attendant physical model, NEMO, were evaluated for recent decades against a range of physical and biogeochemical properties. Although there were deficiencies in performance, for instance weak reproduction of surface chlorophyll and generally low ocean productivity, other aspects such as nutrient distributions and surface CO2 exchange provided sufficient credibility.

In simulating the changing ocean during the 21st century, MEDUSA-2.0 estimated broadly declining trends in ocean productivity. While global scale change was a modest −6 % under the most extreme warming scenario, regionally this varied from −21 % in the Atlantic up to +59 % in the case of a newly ice-free Arctic. On this point, the collapse of the North Atlantic bloom in response to mixed layer depth shoaling and circulation changes is particularly notable, and, if accurate, would have significant socio-economic impacts. This change in ocean productivity was accompanied by a shift in the balance of production away from larger phytoplankton to smaller phytoplankton (diatom fraction: 13 % − 11 %), and with a smaller fraction of production occurring within the mixed layer in response to increasing ocean stratification (mixed layer fraction: 68 % → 64 %). Concomitantly, there was a decline in the production of important biominerals such as opal (−6 %) and calcium carbonate (−55 %), the latter impacted by both productivity changes and ocean acidification. Allied to the decline in organic production, and enhanced in MEDUSA-2.0 by this drop in inorganic production, export production fell (−8 %), particularly that falling below 1000 m (−41 %). In parallel, the volume of suboxic ocean waters increased by 13 %.

However, while the direction of most of these trends was the same under the more “optimistic” RCP 2.6 scenario, the scale of change was much less, and in some cases the trend was actually reversed. For instance, though declining until 2050, Arctic sea ice recovers to 78 % of its 2000s value by the 2090s, and Antarctic sea ice actually slightly increases (+0.7 %). In the case of primary production, a decline under RCP 8.5 (−6 %) is a slight rise under RCP 2.6, although there are still declines in the diatom fraction and in opal and CaCO3 production.

In all of the above, attention was drawn to the impact of ocean acidification on modelled calcification – a decline of −55 % between the 1990s and 2090s. In the default simulation, MEDUSA-2.0 assumes a strong relationship between the saturation state of CaCO3 and its production by the biota, but a sensitivity simulation broke this link to investigate the consequences of this assumption (while retaining the connection for subsequent dissolution). This found that, though CaCO3 production still declined into the future (−18 %; in part because of its link to organic production), its presence enhanced export production to the deep ocean (through the ballast submodel). Whereas this strongly declined in the default simulation (−41 %), in the sensitivity simulation it fell to a much lesser degree (−18 %). As a consequence, both seafloor receipt of organic material and benthic “biomass” were found to increase (+5 %; relative to the 1990s) in this sensitivity simulation. Nonetheless, the results of both simulations illustrate a potential coupling of the efficiency of the ocean’s biological pump to ocean acidification via the production of, and protection by, the biomineral CaCO3.

Comparing these results with those from other studies, in terms of ocean primary productivity, MEDUSA-2.0’s decline into the future is consistent with a number of other model studies (Cox et al., 2000; Bopp et al., 2001, 2005; Steinacher et al., 2010). For example, in a review of four comparable models run under a similar future scenario, SRES A2 (pCO2 800 ppm at 2100), Steinacher et al. (2010) found declines in primary production (between 2000 and 2095) of −1 to −10 % (−5 % in the multi-model mean). In the same study, export production declines were found to range from −4 to −16 %, in parallel with primary production, as found with
MEDUSA-2.0. However, other studies have found decreased export production to instead be associated with increased primary production (Schmittner et al., 2008; Taucher and Oschlies, 2011).

In these latter models, temperature dependent parameterisations (cf. Eppley, 1972) and the elevated temperatures associated with climate change instead act to accelerate both primary production and remineralisation, with the result that nutrient trapping in the surface ocean fuels a large increase in regenerated production. In the case of Schmittner et al. (2008), production increases by approximately +10% across the 21st century, but ultimately almost doubles by the year 3000. By running separate simulations with and without such temperature dependence, Taucher and Oschlies (2011) supports this interpretation. Nonetheless, MEDUSA-2.0’s decrease in both primary and export production occurs despite temperature dependencies in both phytoplankton growth rates and (slow-sinking) detritus remineralisation that—in principle—parallel those of Schmittner et al. (2008). As such, more subtle differences in model function forms/parameters, or changes in less immediately obvious ecosystem pathways, may be responsible for this disparity, and merit further investigation.

One such subtlety may be the role played by biominerals in export, at least in MEDUSA-2.0. Here, shifts away from diatoms and opal production (see also Bopp et al., 2005), OA-driven decreases in CaCO$_3$ production and a shallowing of the CCD, act to decrease the “protection” offered to fast-sinking detrital particles, with the result that organic remineralisation occurs higher in the water column. As noted above, this has immediate implications for benthic communities reliant on organic rain from above, but the geographical interplay between upwelling nutrients, biomineralisation and detrital remineralisation may permit a broad range of responses from ostensibly the same general framework.

However, these factors—changes in C$_{org}$, opal, CaCO$_3$ and the CCD—do not act equally, and Fig. 22 shows an estimate of their separate impacts. Global average C$_{org}$ flux down the water column is calculated by applying MEDUSA-2.0’s ballast submodel to 100 m fluxes of C$_{org}$, opal and CaCO$_3$ in fast-sinking detritus (slow-sinking detritus is ignored here because it plays a limited role away from the surface ocean; but see below). Figure 22a first shows the change in the estimated normalised (to 100 m) flux of C$_{org}$ from the 1980s through to 2100. As described previously, the attenuation of this flux changes significantly across the 21st century. Fig. 22b then shows the profile of normalised flux for the 1990s, the 2090s and for four variants of the 2090s in which 1990s C$_{org}$, opal, CaCO$_3$ and the CCD are substituted for their 2090s values. The intention being to determine which of these is most responsible for the decline seen in Fig. 22a. To supplement this, Table 6 reports the estimated values of fast-sinking detritus at horizons down the water column for the same calculations, with the final row reporting the flux of organic carbon at 5000 m normalised to that at 100 m. This analysis finds that the dominant factor in the change in the deep flux of organic carbon over the 21st century is the loss of ballasting CaCO$_3$. Opal and the CCD play very minor roles and the “starting” flux of organic carbon plays practically no role, since the ballast submodel quickly attenuates the excess 1990s C$_{org}$ (to the point that the normalised flux at 5000 m is lower than the case using 2090s C$_{org}$). As such, this further emphasises the importance of understanding both the veracity of export submodels based around ballasting and OA impacts on a key driver of these, calcification.

Note that the situation is slightly more complicated in shallow regions of the ocean, where slow-sinking detritus can persist long enough to reach the seafloor, and can make an important contribution to benthic supply of organic carbon. In these regions, elevated ocean temperatures in the future act to increase the rate of remineralisation to provide a separate mechanism by which the food supply to benthic communities is decreased.

By way of representing how these changes relate to natural, or background, variability in export production, Fig. 23 illustrates spatial variability and how this changes between the 1990s and 2090s. Here, export is characterised by calculating the critical $b$ parameter of Martin et al. (1987), which effectively sets the exponential decay of sinking organic carbon and the vertical pattern of remineralisation. While originally assigned a constant value, 0.858, from a relatively limited dataset, subsequent work has found $b$ to be significantly geographically variable—for example, Buesseler et al. (2007) report values of 1.33 and 0.51 for neighbouring North Pacific sites, while the global synthesis of Henson et al. (2012) reports a broad geographical range from 0.24 to

![Fig. 22. Change in the vertical profile of normalised attenuation of fast-sinking detritus for the period 1980-2100 (left), and the attribution of this to changes in regulating factors (right). In the latter panel, artificial profiles of 2090s attenuation are created by the substitution of 1990s fields of C$_{org}$, opal, CaCO$_3$ and CCD depth.](image-url)
Table 6. Calculated carbon fluxes (mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) based on 100 m C\(_{org}\), opal and CaCO\(_3\) fluxes and CCD depth. Fluxes extrapolated to ocean interior depths using MEDUSA-2.0 ballast submodel. “+ 1990s” columns refer to fluxes calculated using 2090s values except for the indicated and replaced value. The final row lists the 5000 m carbon flux normalised to the 100 m flux.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>1990s</th>
<th>2000s</th>
<th>+ 1990s</th>
<th>+ 1990s</th>
<th>+ 1990s</th>
<th>+ 1990s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C(_{org})</td>
<td>C(_{org})</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>CaCO(_3)</td>
<td>CCD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.0220</td>
<td>3.6110</td>
<td>3.6110</td>
<td>3.6110</td>
<td>3.6110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>2.5000</td>
<td>2.2181</td>
<td>2.2467</td>
<td>2.2205</td>
<td>2.2521</td>
<td>2.2181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.7159</td>
<td>0.5852</td>
<td>0.6375</td>
<td>0.5900</td>
<td>0.6588</td>
<td>0.5852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.2498</td>
<td>0.1584</td>
<td>0.1618</td>
<td>0.1627</td>
<td>0.2420</td>
<td>0.1584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0.1965</td>
<td>0.1093</td>
<td>0.1093</td>
<td>0.1122</td>
<td>0.1936</td>
<td>0.1093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>0.0960</td>
<td>0.0458</td>
<td>0.0458</td>
<td>0.0464</td>
<td>0.0912</td>
<td>0.0477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>0.0239</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
<td>0.0114</td>
<td>0.0129</td>
<td>0.0253</td>
<td>0.0132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 23. Frequency distribution of Martin et al. (1987) \(b\) parameter values estimated from the 100 m and 1000 m fluxes of slow- and fast-sinking detritus for the 1990s and 2090s (left), and the distribution of differences between the 2090s and 1990s (right).

1.18. Based on the change in total (slow- and fast-sinking) organic carbon fluxes between 100 m and 1000 m, and weighting spatially by flux magnitude, MEDUSA-2.0 has a average \(b\) of 1.342 in the 1990s, and 1.524 in the 2090s. To illustrate variability, Fig. 23a shows the spread of simulated \(b\) values for both the 1990s and 2090s, while Fig. 23b shows the corresponding distribution of change in \(b\) across the 21st century. In MEDUSA-2.0, \(b\) has a peaked, non-unimodal distribution, that broadly persists but shifts upwards between the 1990s and 2090s. This is congruent with results above that the sinking flux of organic material is attenuated more rapidly with depth into the future. The mode change in \(b\) across the 21st century is 0.125, though it ranges widely, and some regions experience a decrease in \(b\) – i.e. a larger proportion, but not necessarily a larger absolute amount, of sinking material reaches deeper into the ocean interior. This change is dependent on MEDUSA-2.0’s use of both temperature-dependent remineralisation of slow-sinking detritus and ballast-based export remineralisation.

As already suggested above, there are a number of caveats concerning these results. Firstly, as illustrated by the validation exercise, MEDUSA-2.0 exhibits a number of deficiencies in its spatio-temporal reproduction of biogeochemistry. While some of these, for instance surface chlorophyll, reflect weaknesses in MEDUSA-2.0 itself, others, for instance deep nutrient mismatches, are (at least in part) the result of problems with the ocean circulation simulated by NEMO (see Yool et al., 2013).

Secondly, some of the more interesting results found are dependent on MEDUSA-2.0’s parameterisation of CaCO\(_3\) production (cf. Ridgwell et al., 2007). This explicitly links calcification (or, rather, its export) to the saturation state of this biomineral and, thus, ocean acidification (cf. Riebesell et al., 2000; Zondervan et al., 2001). However, as a number of observational and laboratory studies have shown, the relationship between calcification and OA is more diverse than assumed in MEDUSA-2.0 (e.g. Buitenhuis et al., 1999; Langer et al., 2006; Iglesias-Rodriguez et al., 2008). As such, the modelled decline of CaCO\(_3\) here (which is also found in other models; e.g. Heinze, 2004; Gehlen et al., 2007) may ultimately prove oversimplified or artifactual. Note, however, that MEDUSA-2.0’s equating of CaCO\(_3\) with calcite rather than the less stable polymorph aragonite potentially acts to make the model more conservative on this point.

Thirdly, and on a directly related point, the resulting changes in export production depend in large part on the assumption of a regulatory role for biominerals in the fate of sinking material. MEDUSA-2.0 includes the widely used ballast submodel (e.g. Moore et al., 2004; Dunne et al., 2007; Oka et al., 2008) which effectively allows biominerals associated with sinking particles to “protect” them from remineralisation, allowing a deeper penetration of organic detritus to the ocean interior. However, work such as Wilson et al. (2012) finds that this relationship with biominerals exhibits geographical variability, and suggests that it may not be a robust one. Furthermore, the experimental study of Passow and De La Rocha (2006) suggests a different relationship between sinking organic and inorganic material to that postulated by Armstrong et al. (2002). As such, the exaggerated decline of organic supply to the deep ocean found...
by MEDUSA-2.0 may overstate future behaviour in the real world.

Finally, MEDUSA-2.0 is an intermediate complexity model that aims to resolve major biogeochemical actors and fluxes, but it omits a large number of others. In particular, unresolved processes such as denitrification and nitrogen fixation are liable to change in the future (Moore and Doney, 2007) with the potential to alter nutrient availability and ocean productivity in ways that cannot be captured by MEDUSA-2.0. While these omissions are deliberate and reflect either the assumed lesser importance of such processes or our comparative ignorance of their details (cf. Anderson, 2005), they nonetheless represent a truncation of reality and a limitaton on the diversity of future change that MEDUSA-2.0 can forecast.

5 Conclusions

- Surface macronutrient concentrations broadly decline into the future as their resupply from deeper waters is impeded by increased water column stratification; this is particularly true of the North Atlantic.

- In contrast, the surface concentrations of the micronutrient iron increase significantly in the North Atlantic as primary production is limited more by macronutrient concentrations; however, most other ocean regions experience a decline in surface iron.

- With the exception of the Arctic Ocean, all regions of the World Ocean experience declines in productivity associated with decreased nutrient availability, with the Atlantic Ocean, particularly impacted; productivity generally shifts to below the mixed layer and away from that driven by diatoms.

- Near-surface export production declines broadly in line with primary production; however, because of the concurrent decrease in ballasting “protection” and the shoaling of CaCO₃ dissolution, the amount of sinking detrital material decreases above and beyond the production decline, increasingly so with depth and with potential consequences for seafloor communities.

- Scenario RCP 2.6 experiences significant (but stabilised) warming, but changes to ocean biogeochemistry are minor relative to those under RCP 8.5; ocean productivity is even marginally increased in the 2090s relative to the 1990s, though ocean acidification impacts are still evident.

- The reliance of certain key results on particular features of MEDUSA-2.0’s formulation suggests improving understanding of poorly constrained processes will be critical for accurate forecasts of the ocean’s biogeochemical cycles during this century’s unprecedented change.

### Table A1. MEDUSA-2.0 state variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pn</td>
<td>Non-diatom phytoplankton</td>
<td>mmol N m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>Diatom phytoplankton</td>
<td>mmol N m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl_pn</td>
<td>Chlorophyll in non-diatoms</td>
<td>mg chl m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl_pd</td>
<td>Chlorophyll in diatoms</td>
<td>mg chl m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PdSi</td>
<td>Diatom phytoplankton (silicon)</td>
<td>mmol Si m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zµ</td>
<td>Microzooplankton</td>
<td>mmol N m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zm</td>
<td>Mesozooplankton</td>
<td>mmol N m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Slow-sinking detritus (N)</td>
<td>mmol N m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_C</td>
<td>Slow-sinking detritus (C)</td>
<td>mmol C m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Nitrogen nutrient</td>
<td>mmol N m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Silicic acid</td>
<td>mmol Si m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Iron nutrient</td>
<td>mmol Fe m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC</td>
<td>Dissolved inorganic carbon</td>
<td>mmol C m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALK</td>
<td>Total alkalinity</td>
<td>meq m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>Dissolved oxygen</td>
<td>mmol O₂ m⁻³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Appendix A

#### MEDUSA-2.0 equations

The following partial differential equations describe the biogeochemical tendency terms that operate on MEDUSA-2.0’s state variables. Beneath each term there is a brief description of the process that it represents. The abbreviations used in these descriptions are: “PP” for primary production; “µzoo” for microzooplankton; “mzoo” for mesozooplankton; “non-lin” for non-linear; “remin” for remineralisation of organic material; and “diss” for dissolution of inorganic biominerals (e.g. opal or CaCO₃). Almost all of the terms shown refer to more complex functions not described in this manuscript, and readers are referred to Yool et al. (2013) for further details. Note that the equations below omit reference to physical operators such as advection and diffusion.

\[
\frac{\partial Pn}{\partial t} = + \left[ \frac{PP_{Pn}}{\text{non-diatom PP}} \right] - \left[ G_{mzoo} \right] - \left[ G_{Pn} \right] \quad (A1)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial Pd}{\partial t} = + \left[ \frac{PP_{Pd}}{\text{diatom PP}} \right] - \left[ G_{mzoo} \right] - \left[ G_{pd} \right] - \left[ M_{Pd} \right] \quad (A2)
\]
\[ \frac{\partial \text{Chl}_{\text{Pn}}}{\partial t} = \theta_{\text{Chl}_{\text{Pn}}} \cdot \xi^{-1} \cdot \left( + \left[ R_{\text{Pn}} \cdot \text{PP}_{\text{Pn}} \cdot \text{Pn} \right] \right) \]  
\text{non-diatom PP} \tag{A3}

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Chl}_{\text{Pd}}}{\partial t} = \theta_{\text{Chl}_{\text{Pd}}} \cdot \xi^{-1} \cdot \left( + \left[ R_{\text{Pd}} \cdot \text{PP}_{\text{Pd}} \cdot \text{Pd} \right] \right) \]  
\text{diatom PP} \tag{A4}

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Pd}_{\text{Si}}}{\partial t} = + \left[ \text{PP}_{\text{Pd}_{\text{Si}}} \cdot \text{Pd}_{\text{Si}} \right] - \left[ \text{Gm}_{\text{p}} \cdot \text{M}_{1}\text{Pd}_{\text{Si}} \right] - \left[ \text{M}_{1}\text{Pd}_{\text{Si}} \right] \]  
\text{mzoo grazed} \tag{A5}

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Zm}_{\text{m}}}{\partial t} = + \left[ \text{Fm}_{\text{m}} \right] - \left[ \text{Gm}_{\text{m}} \right] - \left[ \text{M}_{1}\text{Zm}_{\text{m}} \right] \]  
\text{all grazing} \tag{A6}

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Zm}_{\text{z}}}{\partial t} = + \left[ \text{Fm}_{\text{z}} \right] - \left[ \text{M}_{1}\text{Zm}_{\text{z}} \right] - \left[ \text{M}_{2}\text{Zm}_{\text{z}} \right] \]  
\text{non-linear losses} \tag{A7}

\[ \frac{\partial \text{D}_{\text{m}}}{\partial t} = + \left[ \text{M}_{2}\text{Pn} \right] + \left[ \text{M}_{2}\text{Zm}_{\text{z}} \right] \]  
\text{non-linear losses} \tag{A8}

\[ \frac{\partial \text{D}_{\text{z}}}{\partial t} = + \left[ \text{M}_{2}\text{Pn} \right] + \left[ \text{M}_{2}\text{Zm}_{\text{z}} \right] \]  
\text{diatom losses} \tag{A9}

\[ \frac{\partial \text{S}}{\partial t} = - \left[ \text{PP}_{\text{Pd}_{\text{Si}}} \cdot \text{Pd}_{\text{Si}} \right] + \left[ \text{M}_{1}\text{Pd}_{\text{Si}} \right] \]  
\text{mzoo losses} \tag{A10}

\[ \frac{\partial \text{F}}{\partial t} = - \left[ R_{\text{Fe}} \right] - \left[ \text{F}_{\text{atmos}} \right] + \left[ \text{F}_{\text{benth}} \right] \]  
\text{coupled to N aerolion sediments} \tag{A11}

\[ \frac{\partial \text{DIC}}{\partial t} = - \left[ \text{PP}_{\text{Pn}} \cdot \text{Pn} \right] - \left[ \text{PP}_{\text{Pd}} \cdot \text{Pd} \right] \]  
\text{mzoo losses} \tag{A12}
The above equations are applied throughout the domain of the physical ocean model, without regard to horizontal or vertical position. This approach is inherited from MEDUSA-1.0 but differs from that of some other models (Popova et al., 2006) where different equations are applied in different volumes of the ocean to account, for instance, for photic and aphotic zones. Note that terms such as air–sea gas exchange, aeolian dust deposition and fluxes from the benthic submodel (see below) only apply in ocean grid cells in contact with either the atmosphere or benthos.

The following differential equations describe the benthic reservoirs of model currency that store and release biogenic material at the base of each water column in MEDUSA-2.0. As previously, each term is accompanied by a description of the process it describes.

\[
\frac{d\text{ALK}}{dt} = -2 \cdot \frac{\text{FD}_{\text{CaCO}_3}}{\text{CaCO}_3 \text{ production}} + 2 \cdot \frac{\text{LD}_{\text{CaCO}_3}(k)}{\text{CaCO}_3 \text{ diss}} + \text{BF}_{\text{CaCO}_3} + \text{ASF}_{\text{CO}_2} \quad (A13)
\]

\[
\frac{d\text{O}_2}{dt} = + \text{non-diatom PP} + \text{diatom PP} - \text{mzoo messy feeding, Pn} - \text{mzoo messy feeding, Pd} - \text{mzoo messy feeding, Zm} \quad (A14)
\]

\[
\frac{d\text{N}}{dt} = + \text{w}_g \cdot \frac{\partial \text{N}}{\partial \zeta} + \text{T}_{\text{N}(\zeta)} + \text{slow N deposit} - \lambda_{\text{N}} \cdot \text{B}_\text{N} \quad (A15)
\]
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\[
\frac{dB_{\text{Si}}}{dt} = + \left[ T_{\text{Si}}(z) \right] - \left[ \lambda_{\text{Si}} \cdot B_{\text{Si}} \right] \quad (A16)
\]

\[
\frac{dB_{\text{C}}}{dt} = + \left[ \frac{w_{g} \cdot \frac{\partial D_{\text{C}}}{\partial z}}{\text{slow C deposit}} \right] + \left[ T_{\text{C}}(z) \right] \quad (A17)
\]

\[
\frac{dB_{\text{Ca}}}{dt} = + \left[ T_{\text{Ca}}(z) \right] - \left[ \lambda_{\text{Ca}} \cdot B_{\text{Ca}} \right] \quad (A18)
\]

Material enters these reservoirs as slow- and fast-sinking detritus, and remineralises (or dissolves) to DIN, iron, silicic acid, DIC and alkalinity. As with the rest of MEDUSA-2.0, iron is coupled via fixed stoichiometry to the nitrogen cycle and so is handled implicitly. Note that there is no horizontal communication between the benthic reservoirs in MEDUSA-2.0.
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